

00001

01 CAMP CROFT RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING
01 *****

02
02
03 PLACE: SC School for the Deaf and the Blind
03 Robertson Hall

04
04
05 DATE: Tuesday, April 9, 1996

06
06 TIME: 7:05 p.m. to 9:10 p.m.

07
08 PRESENTATIONS
08 GIVEN BY: Suzy McKinney
09 Zapata Engineering, P.A.
09 1100 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 104
10 Charlotte, North Carolina 28204

11 Wayne Bogan
11 Project Manager
12 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers
12 Charleston District

13
14 BOARD MEMBERS
14 PRESENT: George Mullinax
15 Kathy Burrell
15 Gary Hayes
16 Sherry Wheeler
16 Clary H. Smith
17 William Littlejohn, Jr.
17 David Mullinax
18 Fritz Hamer
18 Gerard Perry
19 Harold D. Osborne
19 James B. Thompson
20 Norma Borkowski
20 Darwin J. Wilson
21 W. Brownlee Lowry

22
23 REPORTED BY: Sandy Satterwhite Reporting
23 P.O. Box 742
24 Roebuck, South Carolina 29376
24 (864)574-1455

00002

01 * * * * *
02 Welcome by Ms. McKinney. 3
03 Notice of Appropriation of Funds 4
04 Report from Subcommittee on Written Justification
05 Supporting Resolution Recommendations. 7
06 Election of Chairperson. 29
07 Presentation by Mr. Bogan. 29
08 Questions and Answers. 37
09 Presentation Continued by Mr. Bogan. 43
10 Questions and Answers. 47
11 New Business 98
12 Certificate of Reporter. 115

00003

01 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

02 Good evening and welcome. We would like to call
03 this meeting to order.

04 My name is Suzy McKinney, and I'm with Zapata
05 Engineering. Before we get started, I'd like to walk
06 through tonight's agenda.

07 The first agenda item will be to elect a
08 chairperson, and for those of you who did not receive
09 an agenda, there are some out on the front table.

10 We will elect a chairperson. We will discuss
11 the notice of the appropriation of funds that has come
12 through. We will hear the report from the
13 subcommittee on the written justification for
14 clearance to depth. Wayne will continue his
15 presentation on the Supplemental Archive Search
16 Report. We'll discuss any new business, review the
17 agenda items for the May meeting, and hopefully close
18 by 9:00 this evening.

19 All right. First of all, we would like to go
20 ahead and vote for the chairperson for the Board.
21 We'll do this by a majority vote by ballot. We've had
22 two individuals express an interest to serve as the
23 chairperson, Mr. Osborne and David Mullinax.

24 Mr. Osborne.

25 BY MR. OSBORNE:

00004

01 No, I'm just ---

02 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

03 Oh, you're acknowledging yourself.

04 In addition to these two individuals, are there
05 any other nominations from the Board for chairperson?
06 (NO RESPONSE)

07 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

08 Okay. If there are no other nominations, if you
09 would like to complete your ballot, and Melanie has an
10 envelope that she will collect them in, and then we'll
11 get a tally of those in a few minutes.

12 (MELANIE PASSING OUT BALLOTS)

13 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

14 While we're waiting for Melanie to tally up the
15 votes, we'll go ahead and briefly discuss the news
16 article in the 19 -- in the March 30th edition of the
17 Spartanburg Herald Journal.

18 In that article it was announced that \$7.5
19 Million has been approved for the cleanup of the
20 former Camp Croft, and I believe this was a fairly
21 direct result of all the efforts of the Board members
22 in preparing that resolution and sending it forward
23 and individual's efforts in contacting their
24 delegates, and I think you all need to be commended on
25 those efforts for the appropriation of funds.

00005

01 Wayne is going to talk a little bit more about
02 what that means and the ongoing activities to get us
03 started with that. Wayne.

04 BY MR. BOGAN:

05 One thing I wanted to mention primarily about
06 the appropriation of funds is that it's not actually
07 Congress coming aside or the Assistant Secretary of
08 the Army or anyone else and saying, "Here's an
09 additional \$7.5 Million for your work at Camp Croft."

10 What was done is in the action memorandum that
11 we sent forward was signed. It was signed by the
12 Assistant Secretary of the Army and by the
13 headquarters of our Corps of Engineers. What that did
14 was it authorized and approved a project for funds up

15 to \$7.5 Million.

16 Now what they'll essentially do is turn around
17 and say, "Hey, Corps of Engineers, you have a pot of
18 money that we've given you for this year. You've got
19 a pot of money we're going to give you for next year.
20 You need to pull that \$7.5 Million out of that pot of
21 funds we're going to give you."

22 In talking with Huntsville they've assured us
23 that they're going to give us all the money that we
24 need to work on this project, but, as I mentioned in
25 one of the previous meetings, if for some reason a

00006

01 project comes up where there, say, is a chemical
02 incident on a state park in another state, the money
03 can be pulled from this project and placed on that
04 project first.

05 I think we all agreed that that would be of a
06 higher importance if there is a chemical there that
07 threatens the general public in a state park or
08 downtown in certain areas. We do have some sites
09 across the nation that are in the middle of downtown
10 areas.

11 So -- but it has been approved and set aside or
12 authorized us to use \$7.5 Million on four of the
13 areas. Those areas are OOU7, which is the recreation
14 area, campgrounds, horse ring, fitness trail; OOU1B,
15 which is just north of Twin Oaks picnic shelter; OOU2,
16 which is off of Henningston Road; OOU3, which is a
17 subdivision off of Wedgewood; and some money is set
18 for us to use on A-39, which is the aerial photography
19 information that we got from the Supplemental Archive
20 Search Report overlooking and doing information on the
21 horse trails.

22 Even if they gave us \$7.5 Million right now, the
23 way the money is set up that we use within the Corps
24 of Engineers, that would mean that we would have to
25 spend all \$7.5 Million before September 30th, the end

00007

01 of our fiscal year.

02 Even if we had -- we're looking to have three
03 crews doing work, and I'll explain that later on, they
04 wouldn't be able to spend all \$7.5 Million in that
05 time frame, so it will be -- we'll spend some of the
06 money through September 30th, which is our fiscal year
07 for '96, and then we'll start using the rest of the
08 money for the fiscal year '97, and I'll get into a
09 little bit later on the general time frames and what
10 we think it's going to take for us to do the cleanup
11 of these different areas.

12 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

13 In our last meeting we had a subcommittee formed
14 to prepare a written justification on why certain
15 parts of the park the Board would recommend that those
16 areas be cleared to depth, and we would like to hear
17 the report from the subcommittee at this time.

18 BY DR. LOWRY:

19 As I understand it, we were asked to justify the
20 recommendation -- please don't (referring to
21 photographer) -- justify for recommendations of going
22 to depth versus the recommendation of the Corps.

23 There were four members of this subcommittee:
24 Myself, Harold Osborne, Gerard Perry and Sanford
25 Smith. OOU7 is the area of the Park in which the

00008

01 playgrounds -- basically where everybody goes, the

02 horse stables, etcetera.

03 The Corps has recommended clearance to two feet.

04 What we did, basically, was to recommend that
05 clearance be obtained to two feet, and that if they
06 have an anomaly, a magnetometer signature existed
07 below two feet, that it be dug to four feet.

08 The justification for this recommendation comes
09 from a brochure sent to us or a paper sent to us by
10 the Department of Defense, Explosive Safety folder,
11 which says, "That for public access, which includes
12 farming, agriculture, surface recreation, vehicular
13 parking or surface supply storage, that the
14 recommended depth of in use for this is four feet,"
15 and so our recommendation is two feet. If you find
16 something, go to four after you've gone to two, and
17 the justification is the Department of Defense's own
18 recommendations.

19 The recommendations for OOU1B, which included
20 horse trails and hiking trails and so forth, we
21 recommended that a clearance of two feet, as the Corps
22 recommends, be done on the horse trails themselves and
23 ten feet on either side. If they get a magnetometer
24 signature, and it is sufficient to go four feet, we
25 recommend that they go to four feet. The same

00009

01 justification is used. "Public access, farming,
02 agriculture, surface recreation," etcetera.

03 We further recommended that signs be posted on
04 the edge of these trails where they have been cleared
05 stating that they're basically off limits, No Public
06 Access, and that the rest of it be surface cleared.

07 We further recommended that if any of it be used
08 -- is to be used for public access, that it be cleared
09 to four feet before that happens.

10 OOU2, Henningston Road area, surface -- surface
11 clearance only with signs placing it off limits for
12 public access.

13 Basically what we want to do is to take it off
14 of the surface and take it totally out of the Park's
15 perimeter and make it inaccessible to the public. We
16 didn't recommend fencing it.

17 Originally, I think a Quit Claim Deed does
18 recommend fencing it, but we just simply said it's in
19 a remote area. There's no need to spend this money if
20 it needs to be spent elsewhere, but surely nobody
21 needs to go in it, so we would accept surface
22 clearance if the Park agrees to take it totally out of
23 service, and those are the four areas that we
24 recommended doing something on, which I think are the
25 only four you asked us for.

00010

01 BY MR. THOMPSON:

02 Was the subcommittee unanimous on this?

03 BY DR. LOWRY:

04 I thought so.

05 BY MR. THOMPSON:

06 Just wanted to know.

07 BY DR. LOWRY:

08 Well, I'm here. Gerard is here and Harold is
09 here.

10 BY MR. THOMPSON:

11 Sanford is the only one missing.

12 BY DR. LOWRY:

13 Sanford has gone to -- out west. I figured if
14 he can afford to do that, he doesn't matter. You've

15 got a copy of this. Is there a problem with any of
16 this?

17 BY MR. BOGAN:

18 Not that I see from our ---

19 BY DR. LOWRY:

20 Is there a representative here from the State
21 Parks?

22 BY MR. FOLEY:

23 (Acknowledges by raising hand).

24 BY DR. LOWRY:

25 Now I talked -- who are you, sir?

00011

01 BY MR. FOLEY:

02 I'm Mike Foley. I spoke with you.

03 BY DR. LOWRY:

04 No, I talked to Buddy Jennings, but I talked to
05 you about ---

06 BY MR. FOLEY:

07 I talked to you a few months ago.

08 BY DR. LOWRY:

09 --- six months ago. Do you all have a problem
10 with this?

11 BY MR. FOLEY:

12 Well, we're going to -- we'll follow the lead of
13 the recommendations of this Board, and I would like to
14 have the Corps' reactions. You know, I certainly I
15 have no idea of what the difference in that
16 recommendation in 00U7 would mean as far as costs.

17 You know, that's a question that I can't answer.
18 I don't know what it would do. Would it diminish the
19 efforts in other areas? You know, those are technical
20 questions.

21 BY DR. LOWRY:

22 Yeah, and that's -- that's what we studied, and
23 we felt like four feet was the -- was the minimum that
24 the Department of Defense recommended, except for
25 cattle grazing, which was 12 inches; but if you're

00012

01 going to have children playing in a playground and
02 people camping, it needs to be to depth, which is the
03 standard of four feet.

04 Now if they're going to be digging and you all
05 are not going to post signs saying there is No Dig,
06 then the recommendation is ten feet, so I really think
07 that, you know, we all need to get together and decide
08 what we're going to do.

09 But if they're going to be digging, there's
10 going to be sub-surface recreation, the recommendation
11 is ten feet, which is far more expensive, and so
12 that's why I'm asking you now, in public, what is the
13 willingness of the Park to cooperate with these, and I
14 would ask that you all do so, otherwise we'll have to
15 make other recommendations and we will.

16 BY MR. FOLEY:

17 Well, this is certainly -- it's certainly a
18 technical question, and I think the Corps is going to
19 have to react.

20 BY DR. LOWRY:

21 Well, I've been studying this for three years,
22 and I'm not an expert, but I can read a piece of paper
23 that has recommendations on it.

24 BY MR. FOLEY:

25 Okay. But it's certainly is a question of

00013

01 budget. It's a question of time. It's a question

02 of ---

03 BY DR. LOWRY:

04 It's a question of human safety, as far as I'm
05 concerned, Mr. Foley, and nothing else.

06 BY MR. THOMPSON:

07 How long will that area have to be shut off?

08 BY MR. BOGAN:

09 Maybe we'll address a couple of points, and then
10 I'll try to answer yours.

11 The only thing that's really changed between
12 this and the recommendation that we made in the EE/CA,
13 all right, is that, let's see, in Area 1B, where
14 you're talking about going down to four foot on the
15 anomalies if they find it below two foot, and the
16 fencing is off limits, and I've got some of the
17 posting signs. There's already a cost line item in
18 the cost estimate for Area 1B and for Area 2, also,
19 talking about signage and public awareness for these
20 areas. That's already built into the cost estimate.

21 In Area -- let me address Area 7 first. Going
22 down to two foot and the four foot, that's covered in
23 the scope of work for Area 7. The policy from the
24 Department of Defense does say that in recreation
25 areas you go down to four foot. That also says in the

00014

01 policy that that's a generic standard if you don't
02 know anything else about the site. You go down four
03 foot, and construction ten foot and whatever.

04 Based on our sampling and what we know of the
05 ordnance found in the area, the EE/CA suggested two
06 foot. However, in the scope of work we wrote two
07 feet, and if we find anything deeper, we will go down
08 to the four foot, and so I think that's not going to
09 be anything different than what we have here.

10 BY DR. LOWRY:

11 The reason we recommended this so strongly is
12 because there's been a bunch of dirt moved in that
13 area, and you have no idea what to expect really until
14 after you push it up with a bulldozer; so that's why
15 we figured it was going to be 90 percent of the Park's
16 visitors in one place, and the place to put the money
17 is where most of the people are; and that's what we've
18 done is basically put most of the money, we figured,
19 where the people are, and where the people are not,
20 put it off limits.

21 BY MR. BOGAN:

22 We've already got it written in, so if they find
23 -- if they dig down to two feet and there is still an
24 anomaly, and there's a reason to believe there's
25 something other than just barbwire or a tin can there,

00015

01 then they will go down to four foot.

02 I'll -- what I'll end up doing is presenting
03 this to the ordnance experts in Huntsville to get --
04 to see if we can change anything in EE/CA and our
05 recommendations for the cleanup of the area and see if
06 I can get that in writing, and I'll try to get that
07 back before the next RAB meeting, because hopefully
08 right after the next RAB meeting we're going to have a
09 little more action taking place and we'll have the
10 people turn around on this.

11 In Area 1B, going down to the two foot, we've
12 already got in certain work going down four foot, and
13 if an anomaly exists, then they'll have to respond in
14 writing back on that one.

15 And the same with Area 2, going down to -- well,
16 you didn't change anything other than making sure that
17 it's posted as not being used for development.

18 What I have to do on posting the signs is make
19 sure we'll check with our legal office, because we can
20 recommend to the landowners, in this case the State
21 Park, for example, Area 00U3, recommend that -- we can
22 recommend that they put the signs, and we'll say,
23 "This is what we would like to put up," but then we'll
24 have to coordinate with them because we can't tell
25 them, "You have to do this."

00016

01 BY DR. LOWRY:

02 Well, the deed says that they have to fence it,
03 so, you know, we ---

04 BY MR. BOGAN:

05 In Area 2, yes. In Area 1B, it doesn't say
06 that, so I don't see a bit of a problem with it. I'll
07 present it to Huntsville.

08 I'll get something in writing and respond back
09 and let you know if there is any -- if they agree or
10 disagree with the one or two minor changes you got in
11 here.

12 You had another question related to, I think, or
13 something other than this?

14 BY MR. THOMPSON:

15 I think you probably answered it. On 00U7,
16 tha's approximately how many acres?

17 BY MR. BOGAN:

18 I would say it's around 100 acres. It just
19 depends. With the ordnance, we start where we know it
20 is, and then go out and start finding ---

21 BY MR. THOMPSON:

22 That's the same one we looked at that Saturday
23 morning, right, Ranger?

24 BY MR. BOGAN:

25 Right.

00017

01 BY MR. PERRY:

02 Yes.

03 BY MR. THOMPSON:

04 All right. Now that's not being used at all now
05 by the State Parks?

06 BY MR. BOGAN:

07 The hilltop is not. The campgrounds and the
08 horse rings are still being used.

09 BY MR. THOMPSON:

10 The hilltop is what we saw, isn't it?

11 BY MR. PERRY:

12 We didn't see the hilltop.

13 BY MR. BOGAN:

14 No, we didn't even go up the hilltop. We walked
15 along the side of the road.

16 (OFF THE RECORD DISCUSSION)

17 BY MR. THOMPSON:

18 We're just discussing what is Area 7 now. See I
19 was assuming that Area 7 was to the left of the road
20 only, but it's on both sides of the road.

21 BY DR. LOWRY:

22 It's the campground, playground and all that.

23 BY MR. BOGAN:

24 Area -- Area 7 includes all that, and the way
25 the contractors work is that as long as we've got the

00018

01 money and we keeping them working, if they find

02 something and we keep finding it, then we'll go out;
03 and then with this being our first priority -- with
04 this being our first priority and what you've already
05 told us before -- if we come in and find out that if
06 for some unknown reason it covers 300 acres, then we
07 may have to adjust our priorities and spend all of our
08 money there and then worry about the 1B and Area 2 and
09 Area 3. However, I don't expect that to happen.

10 I think we've got a good idea of where it's
11 going to be located and our estimates have been fairly
12 competent in what we believe is there, but it does
13 include more than just the hilltop.

14 Any other questions?

15 BY MR. OSBORNE:

16 At that point what does the Park say?

17 BY MR. FOLEY:

18 Concerning what? What question?

19 BY MR. OSBORNE:

20 What he just said.

21 BY MR. FOLEY:

22 Well, he described an area is what he just said.

23 BY MR. OSBORNE:

24 No, the area is all the horse trails, the picnic
25 area, the playground, the automobile parking area, the

00019

01 camping, and he -- this is the point that he says if
02 we have to close it, we need to close it.

03 BY MR. BOGAN:

04 I ---

05 BY MR. FOLEY:

06 I didn't hear that.

07 BY MR. BOGAN:

08 We didn't get into the closing. A little bit
09 later on we'll get into the options of where I've
10 actually gotten with the State Park and we've talked
11 about closures and how we're going to coordinate
12 working in each of the different areas of the State
13 Park.

14 BY DR. LOWRY:

15 Are we going to allow areas opened that we know
16 that there's not admission for the public until it
17 gets cleaned up or before it gets cleaned up?

18 BY MR. BOGAN:

19 What areas are you talking about specifically?
20 Talking about Area 7?

21 BY DR. LOWRY:

22 Area 7 where the playground is.

23 BY MR. BOGAN:

24 Take, for instance, Area 7 -- I was going to try
25 and wait -- I'll go ahead and address it now.

00020

01 BY DR. LOWRY:

02 If you want to wait, that's fine.

03 BY MR. BOGAN:

04 Yeah, I'd -- I'd like to wait until after I give
05 my discussion on the other areas, and then go into
06 priorities and how we're looking at doing the work in
07 each of the different areas.

08 Yes, sir.

09 BY MR. HAYES:

10 I've got a question about how close to the roads
11 or do they clear up under a gravel road or not a paved
12 road or what's -- what's done for that?

13 BY DR. LOWRY:

14 I can tell you from my property that they did

15 not clear under a road.
16 BY MR. HAYES:
17 Yes, but ---
18 BY DR. LOWRY:
19 Even if it's gravel, simply because they cannot
20 dig through it.
21 BY MR. BOGAN:
22 I don't remember, again, specifically reading a
23 written scope of work as to whether or not they would
24 go underneath a road. In talking with Huntsville,
25 majority of time the road is there, if they tear up

00021

01 the road, you've got to come in and have future
02 construction plans, which means you have to come back
03 to a certain area and do additional work then, so the
04 roads and parking lots, we wouldn't go in and tearing
05 them up or go up underneath.

06 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

07 Mr. Bogan ---

08 BY MR. BOGAN:

09 Is there ---

10 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

11 I'm sorry. I think what we're looking for is
12 perhaps that the PRT will certainly fully cooperate
13 with whatever the recommendations are of the Corps of
14 Engineers with regard to certain areas of the Park,
15 and I think that may be kind of what we're looking
16 for.

17 We're not -- I don't think we're saying this is
18 got to close and that's got to close. I think we're
19 looking for that -- because you will certainly make
20 your decision based on what the Corps of Engineers ---

21 BY MR. FOLEY:

22 We have followed the lead of the Corps in
23 everything. We haven't made any decision without
24 sometimes -- and Wayne and I have had conversations
25 where Wayne said -- Wayne would say, "Well, let me --

00022

01 let me get a full answer and talk to the people in the
02 field," and he has conferred and come back with an
03 answer that he felt very comfortable with and with
04 very technical questions.

05 The horse trails that we have closed now were
06 based on advise from the Corps. We certainly are not
07 competent to make -- to make decisions based on our
08 own expertise. We have to rely on the Corps and their
09 competence, and Wayne has been very good at getting
10 the information that we requested in order to make
11 those decisions.

12 We will certainly continue to do that, and we're
13 here tonight to listen what this Board recommends to
14 the Corps, and certainly the Corps has got to make a
15 decision based on the contracting and the clearing and
16 the technical questions, and then we will follow their
17 lead in how we open areas, closed areas and what kind
18 of schedules we go on. We have to depend upon their
19 expertise.

20 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

21 I think that's the type of input that we wanted.

22 BY MR. BOGAN:

23 Dr. Lowry.

24 BY DR. LOWRY:

25 Do we, as a Board, have any influence as to

00023

01 where you spend most of the money first?

02 BY MR. BOGAN:

03 I guess I would have to ask you do you disagree
04 with our cost estimates and our priorities?

05 BY DR. LOWRY:

06 I will wait for you to present that.

07 BY MR. BOGAN:

08 The priorities, the way we had them set up
09 before in the cost estimates, I'll give you copies of
10 the cost estimates from the EE/CA so you'll know how
11 much it's going to cost for each of the different
12 areas. I've got it here, if we need to go back over
13 those.

14 We look at the priorities, and I believe you
15 essentially agreed with me when you sent your letters
16 to the congressional representatives in saying that we
17 wanted to go to Area 7 first, then 1B and then to Area
18 A-39, Area 2, Area 00U3.

19 The way we're going to go now is we're going to
20 go to Area 7 first. We said that, you all agreed with
21 us. The Park had no problem with us going on and
22 doing that, and I met with them yesterday down in Area
23 7 to discuss some of the work and how it's going to
24 progress.

25 BY DR. LOWRY:

00024

01 How many people use the horse trails every year?
02 What is the total population that ride across those
03 trails every year?

04 BY MR. PERRY:

05 I can't give you an exact amount on that. I'd
06 have to go back to the office and get the records.

07 BY DR. LOWRY:

08 About 5,000?

09 BY MR. PERRY:

10 It's about 2,000 to 4,000, I guess. That's a
11 rough estimate.

12 BY DR. LOWRY:

13 Okay. From 2,000 to 4,000. So if they were
14 closed until this work got done, you really wouldn't
15 be hampering a whole lot of people?

16 BY MR. PERRY:

17 No, we didn't -- you know, we closed last year.
18 We closed the trails, most of the trails.

19 BY DR. LOWRY:

20 2,000 to 4,000. You do have those records?

21 BY MR. PERRY:

22 Uh-huh (affirmative response).

23 BY MR. BOGAN:

24 Another example, in addition to what Mike
25 mentioned earlier, is that the area that's closed off

00025

01 now, the fitness trail in Area 7, we walked in after
02 the Time Critical Removal Action, and I wasn't the
03 project manager at the time but I would be on the site
04 visit. Essentially Bill Davis from Huntsville made a
05 recommendation to the State Park at that time that we
06 may want to close off the hilltop, even though we had
07 already cleared the fitness trail. Right then and
08 there, they said, "Okay. How do you want to do it?"

09 That afternoon we had signs -- or was it the
10 next week we had signs put up, reproduced and those
11 are the ones that you saw on the trees out there that
12 we put up, and we haven't had any problems with them
13 coming in and doing what we've requested them to do at
14 this point.

15 I know there was some controversy about the Park
16 wanting to leave Area 7 opened primarily in the summer
17 because of the high use, but in meetings with them, as
18 I mentioned before, they told us they'll work with us
19 in any way that they can. Area 7, when we go to work
20 in it, it's going to have to be closed parts of the
21 time just because of the safety distances.

22 BY DR. LOWRY:

23 What is the safety distance for the 60
24 millimeter and 81 millimeter mortars?

25 BY MR. BOGAN:

00026

01 Which is standard for the crew and the explosive
02 operations they're going to be doing is 2,000. It
03 doesn't matter what type of ordnance, whether it's a
04 hand grenade or ---

05 BY DR. LOWRY:

06 Same for 105?

07 BY MR. BOGAN:

08 It's the same for all of them. So if we've got
09 to ---

10 BY DR. LOWRY:

11 In all directions?

12 BY MR. BOGAN:

13 Excuse me?

14 BY DR. LOWRY:

15 In a radius of 2,000 feet, approximately?

16 BY MR. BOGAN:

17 Right.

18 BY DR. LOWRY:

19 So 4,000 feet, total, right?

20 BY MR. BOGAN:

21 Right, 2,000 feet in either -- in either
22 direction.

23 BY DR. LOWRY:

24 All right.

25 BY MR. BOGAN:

00027

01 That's -- that's to ensure the safety of the
02 crews and anyone near the crews at work; and when they
03 do blow up a piece of ordnance, there's usually only
04 two ordnance technicians that are there and the rest
05 of the crew leaves the area before they blow up the
06 ordnance.

07 Any further questions on this recommendation the
08 subcommittee has made?

09 BY DR. LOWRY:

10 Do any of you on the Board have any suggestions
11 of us that were on the subcommittee?

12 BY MR. HAMER:

13 I guess my -- my only comment is based on the
14 financial restraints that we're looking at, I think
15 that's the best that we can do. I -- you know, if I
16 were in a perfect world, I would want more.

17 BY DR. LOWRY:

18 So would I.

19 BY MR. HAMER:

20 And four foot is, I guess, the minimum that I
21 can accept.

22 BY DR. LOWRY:

23 So then should I ask for this Board to accept
24 this subcommittee's recommendations?

25 BY MR. BOGAN:

00028

01 I believe that would be up to the Board to vote

02 on the recommendation, to have a motion to vote on the
03 recommendation for the Board to accept it so I can
04 take it to Huntsville.

05 BY DR. LOWRY:

06 Can I make that recommendation?

07 BY MR. BOGAN:

08 Uh-huh (affirmative response).

09 BY DR. LOWRY:

10 I'd like to recommend that you all accept our
11 work.

12 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

13 I'll make the motion.

14 BY MR. THOMPSON:

15 Second.

16 BY MR. BOGAN:

17 So the motion is that the recommendation for the
18 subcommittee be accepted as written. We have a
19 second?

20 BY MR. THOMPSON:

21 I seconded it to get it started, the discussion
22 started.

23 BY MR. BOGAN:

24 Any further discussion? We've already killed
25 that. All those in favor say, "I."

00029

01 (SEVERAL RESPOND)

02 BY MR. BOGAN:

03 All opposed, "Nay."

04 (NO RESPONSE)

05 BY MR. BOGAN:

06 Motion carries. I guess the next thing is my
07 presentation.

08 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

09 Sure. Are we going to announce the Chair?

10 BY MR. BOGAN:

11 Oh, please do.

12 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

13 All right. We have the votes in, and the Chair
14 for the upcoming year for the Restoration Advisory
15 Board is David Mullinax.

16 So, we can get together either after the meeting
17 or between now and the next meeting to set an agenda
18 and the questions you've got and we'll work through
19 those.

20 BY MR. BOGAN:

21 David, congratulations. All right.

22 I've changed my presentation slightly, probably
23 a little more than slightly from the last meeting.

24 The last meeting I gave you some slide presentations,
25 a bunch of slide overheads of the different areas. I

00030

01 went through each one because they were high
02 priorities.

03 What I want to address primarily tonight is the
04 medium priority sites. All that I have done at this
05 point is gone through each of the medium priority
06 sites and the information that I've already given you
07 and just discuss briefly what was found at each one of
08 those sites and why we want to go forward.

09 Low priority sites I'm really not going to get
10 into tonight because there was a large number of them.
11 I mean, I'll read you the definition for low priority
12 in a minute, and you'll probably won't want me to go
13 through each one of those sites.

14 I'm going to be working off the handout that I

15 gave you last time that shows the chart of high,
16 medium, low and no further reconnaissance
17 recommendations. If you don't have those, I have
18 extra copies in my briefcase that I can give to you if
19 anybody in the audience wants a copy. Let me grab
20 those real quick.

21 All right. Yes, sir.

22 (NO RESPONSE)

23 BY MR. BOGAN:

24 All right. If you have any questions, or if you
25 have any questions as we're going along, please ask.

00031

01 Since I'm not placing anything on the overhead
02 projector, I'm not showing you the map in any detail,
03 I think that will help.

04 First, I want to go over the definition of what
05 a medium priority site is. A medium priority site is
06 a site that could not be completely investigated when
07 we did our supplemental site visits, because it was
08 either too large of an area or we didn't have a right
09 of entry to that area at the time. Okay. An area
10 that we think that there's a potential that there is
11 ordnance on that site.

12 Two, that there was either scattered or deep
13 magnetometer anomalies and that some population usage
14 exists in the area. We can have a site where we
15 didn't have right of entry at all, but we think either
16 someone with the forestry service or someone else in
17 an interview told us there might be ordnance there,
18 since we couldn't get access to the site, we
19 recommended that we do further study on it, instead of
20 just saying, "Okay. We didn't get right of entry,
21 just let it alone." We recommended that we do further
22 work on it later on.

23 The first site you see there is Site Number 2,
24 which is the south end, and I won't point out every
25 one of these because there's so many of them on here.

00032

01 The only thing that was found there was they found a
02 few magnetometer anomalies. They don't know what they
03 were. There's a potential that there is ordnance
04 there. It could also be a tin can, but better safe
05 than sorry. We're going to come back.

06 Number 9, we found sub-surface anomalies and
07 they found potential grenade fragments, and that's up
08 toward the subdivision and an area that we've already
09 identified as potential grenade range.

10 Number 24, there were two sub-surface anomalies,
11 and they're next to what was sampling grid Number 6 in
12 the EE/CA that we've just completed, and that would be
13 part of 00U1A, which is recommended for no -- no
14 further action, so, you see, we're even going back and
15 double checking ourselves in some of the areas we've
16 already looked in.

17 Number 27, no anomalies. It's suggested for
18 further study. We've gotten reports that there was
19 ordnance in the general area.

20 Number 30, which is up in the subdivision. We
21 found several magnetometer anomalies, and they
22 couldn't determine exactly what type of anomaly we
23 had, whether it was barbwire or ordnance, so we
24 recommended that as a -- one of our other sites.

25 Number 39, that's a potential infantry maneuver

00033

01 area, based on some information we received. We

02 didn't find any anomalies from the magnetometer, but
03 we had reports from the forestry service personnel as
04 a potential area for ordnance being found there.

05 Number 40, it's a possible mortar firing
06 position and a potential machine gun firing position,
07 and they found three anomalies, and they didn't know
08 what they were, so they're going to go back and do
09 further sampling.

10 Number 41A, divided up into two sections. This
11 is also within 00U1A from the EE/CA. They found a
12 mortar pin in some recent field dirt. Now whether the
13 dirt came from someplace else that had ordnance or
14 whether there's something buried down there, we're not
15 sure, so we're going to go back and look at that more.

16 Number 44, there were several magnetometer
17 anomalies that's associated with the aerial
18 photography site A-24 that we didn't have the right of
19 entry for, at least not all of A-24.

20 Number 46, we didn't have a right of entry. We
21 did a windshield survey, just based on somebody
22 telling us something might be there. We want to go
23 back and do some more sampling.

24 Number 60, on the Milliken property, there were
25 several magnetometer anomalies out in the opened

00034

01 field.

02 Number 67, again, no right of entry. They did a
03 windshield survey. A windshield survey is when they
04 sit in a car and pull off the side of the road and
05 just took pictures of the site. They didn't get a
06 chance to go out there with the magnetometer so
07 requesting further surveys and sampling.

08 Number 71, we had numerous anomalies. They said
09 it was a potential mortar firing point, so we're going
10 to go back and sample there.

11 Number 72, again, no right of entry. They did a
12 windshield survey, drove by and took some pictures, so
13 we want to do more -- more sampling.

14 Number 78, this is near A-26 -- or A-26, aerial
15 photography. That's a reported firing line. There
16 were several anomalies found on the magnetometers.

17 Number 85, it's a firing point for the mortars.
18 They found several anomalies.

19 Number A-2 is a suspected maneuver area. Now
20 we're getting into the actual -- the numbers 2 through
21 85 where they were interviewed, where we had someone
22 interviewed, and they told us, "We think something is
23 here."

24 The next one is A-2 through A-39 of the aerial
25 -- where we took the aerial photography.

00035

01 A-2 is a suspected maneuver area. No ordnance
02 was observed.

03 A-3, there's a pill box there left over, piece
04 of concrete and a suspected maneuver area. They
05 didn't find any ordnance. They did find some trenches
06 and fox holes.

07 A-5 is one area that has a line of -- two lines
08 of fox holes. They've all been filled in since that
09 point.

10 A-7, they had no right of entry and they didn't
11 do windshield survey. They couldn't get access, and
12 so we recommended trying to get right of entry and go
13 in and do these.

14 A-8, no right of entry, again.

15 A-15, no right of entry. It's a private hunting
16 ground.

17 A-23, that's the same as site Number 60.

18 A-25, no right of entry. There's a cemetery
19 there. We still want to recommend some sampling near
20 the cemetery.

21 A-31 -- A-31 is in Danger Area 1 that I
22 mentioned last month when I was talking about the high
23 priority sites. It's also adjacent to site Number 36,
24 where OE was -- OE being Ordnance Explosive -- was
25 observed. Three anomalies. They found some

00036

01 fragments.

02 A-32, no right of entry. They did a windshield
03 survey on most of the property, and on the property
04 adjacent to it they found a 2.36 -- 2.36 inch rocket
05 that the Sheriff's Department came in and got rid of.
06 This is not far off of Dairy Ridge Road up next to
07 295.

08 A-34, again, no right of entry. They did a
09 windshield survey of the property. This area is
10 already covered -- has already been posted.

11 Construction is the same as OOU3 in the EE/CA.

12 And the last, A-39, there were four anomalies,
13 and that's, again, right adjacent to or right next to
14 OOU1A, which is where we did sampling during the EE/CA
15 and didn't find anything; and A-39 was divided into
16 several parts. Down and near the pool they did find
17 two or three anomalies off and down the side of one
18 hill.

19 That's it for the medium priority sites. What I
20 would like to do is, if anybody has any questions
21 about any one particular of these sites, and you want
22 me to look at it, and I can go through the list and
23 answer any questions about what not -- be close to
24 what instead of sitting and showing you each slide
25 time after time again.

00037

01 BY MR. HAMER:

02 Let me ask about these no right of entry places.
03 What -- what you leads to believe you get on the next
04 go around when you couldn't before?

05 BY MR. BOGAN:

06 We may not be able to, but we're going to try
07 again and see if we can. Some of the right of entries
08 we got after the surveys were done, so there are some
09 properties that we could have done but we just got the
10 right of entries too late, and there are other times
11 when we can't find the landowner.

12 I know there is one landowner that lives in New
13 York somewhere, and we've never been able to get in
14 touch with that landowner, and there are a few
15 landowners that don't want us to come on their
16 property. We'll try again.

17 If we can't get right of entries, then we'll try
18 to send a letter to the landowners saying, "Hey, we
19 tried to survey your property. There is a potential.
20 We don't know that anything is there. Just beware."
21 And if they don't let us on, there's nothing much we
22 can do.

23 Yes, Dr. Lowry.

24 BY DR. LOWRY:

25 I've forgotten what mine -- I'm on OOU6, but

00038

01 what am I in the "A" category? I'm A-what?

02 BY MR. BOGAN:
03 The "A" category is A-19 and A-17.
04 BY DR. LOWRY:
05 Yeah, and where does that put me? Am in the low
06 priorities?
07 BY MR. BOGAN:
08 A-17 was a low priority.
09 BY DR. LOWRY:
10 Uh-huh (affirmative response).
11 BY MR. BOGAN:
12 A-19 was no further reconnaissance.
13 BY DR. LOWRY:
14 Yeah, I'd like to know why if 2,000 to 4,000
15 people travel horse trails each year, and I have 8,000
16 to 10,000 people on my roads each year, why one is a
17 high priority and one is a low priority? It seems you
18 all got it switched.
19 BY MR. BOGAN:
20 Well, the priority was based on whether or not
21 they found any magnetometer anomalies.
22 BY DR. LOWRY:
23 They found 2,000 within a 400 foot length 20
24 feet wide, and you know what the story is on my
25 property.

00039

01 BY MR. BOGAN:
02 But we've already proposed your property for
03 cleanup.
04 BY DR. LOWRY:
05 It's been listed as low priority.
06 BY MR. BOGAN:
07 Just these sites, the A -- was it 19 and 17?
08 A-17 is off to the southeast of your property?
09 BY DR. LOWRY:
10 Yeah.
11 BY MR. BOGAN:
12 And A-19 is ---
13 BY DR. LOWRY:
14 A-19 is a high impact area, but I've got 8,000
15 to 10,000 people coming through there, and they've got
16 2,000 people coming through, and we get a different
17 priority, and I was just wondering what we -- I
18 thought these priorities were based on human safety?
19 BY MR. BOGAN:
20 All right. Priorities on what they did on A-39,
21 and since we already know that there is an impact area
22 on your site, I don't know why -- I'll have to double
23 check. My assumption is that they didn't visit the
24 property because they already know that there's an
25 impact area there.

00040

01 BY DR. LOWRY:
02 Well, you know they spent almost a million
03 dollars cleaning it, so they're very much familiar
04 with what's there.
05 BY MR. BOGAN:
06 Sure.
07 BY DR. LOWRY:
08 I'd like -- I'd like to know somehow, maybe at
09 the next meeting, how these priorities are done, and
10 if I have that many people coming through my property,
11 why I'm not right up there at the top with the rest of
12 them.
13 BY MR. BOGAN:
14 Do you still have the definition of priorities

15 that I gave you at the last meeting? I think I gave
16 you a sheet at the last meeting.

17 BY DR. LOWRY:

18 Yes.

19 BY MR. BOGAN:

20 It has the priorities, and that's -- this is how
21 they based the priorities.

22 BY DR. LOWRY:

23 But the EE/CA -- I'm sorry. The Action
24 Memorandum, my property was not signed and every other
25 one on that EE/CA was signed; is that correct?

00041

01 BY MR. BOGAN:

02 The -- right, and that -- that falls off
03 separate from the Supplemental Archive Search Report.
04 This is just a report to try and find additional
05 ordnance sites.

06 BY DR. LOWRY:

07 So we're not really -- I shouldn't be upset by
08 this?

09 BY MR. BOGAN:

10 No. Your property is already identified as a
11 cleanup by it being a government buyback, and I'll
12 discuss that.

13 BY DR. LOWRY:

14 I'd like to get it on the high priority range,
15 is what I'm trying to say, because I don't want to
16 live as long as Strom Thurmond and have my land still
17 contaminated and never have been able to use it, which
18 I'm sure you understand.

19 BY MR. BOGAN:

20 Yes, sir. Any other questions on the medium
21 priorities?

22 (NO RESPONSE)

23 BY MR. BOGAN:

24 If you want, I can address the low priorities.
25 I won't be here in the May meeting. I start my two

00042

01 weeks with the National Guard training May 4th, so
02 I'll miss that meeting, but I'll have somebody here
03 who might be able to address those or I can address
04 them in the June meeting if you want.

05 BY MS. KATHIE NOGOSKY:

06 Excuse me. Do you have a map that identifies
07 these locations that you're talking about?

08 BY MR. BOGAN:

09 Sure.

10 BY MS. KATHIE NOGOSKY:

11 I'm looking at this, and I have no idea which
12 area my property is located.

13 BY MR. BOGAN:

14 Two options, one you can ask me this afternoon
15 before the meeting is over with or after the meeting,
16 and I -- we can look and try and identify your
17 property.

18 I finally yesterday got a copy of this report
19 placed in the public library, so you can go and pull
20 this map out and look at it and go through the actual
21 report and find anything on that map.

22 BY MS. KATHIE NOGOSKY:

23 Thank you.

24 BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:

25 Wayne, how about people that have come to you

00043

01 recently wanting you to check their property or they

02 think there's something there?

03 BY MR. BOGAN:

04 Right. What I'm trying to do to address that is
05 I'm trying to set up -- there's a gentleman I talked
06 to about two or three weeks ago that says he knows
07 where some stuff was buried, some small arms
08 ammunition, and I'm trying to visit as many of those
09 as I can on the trips that I make and try and get
10 those included in the next EE/CA.

11 We won't do another Archive Search Report. This
12 is primarily historical information. This time we
13 weren't able to come in and get site surveys included.
14 Any additional sampling and surveys of the areas are
15 going to be included in the next EE/CA, which we're
16 planning on starting work on in the fall.

17 We've already got the contract started and lined
18 -- and getting the contractor lined up, which will be,
19 again, ESE, Environmental Science and Engineering, out
20 of Gainesville, Florida.

21 Each time I find something new, I'm trying to
22 feed the information to Huntsville, and then give it
23 to the contractor when they start working in the fall.

24 Any further questions on that?

25 (NO RESPONSE)

00044

01 BY MR. BOGAN:

02 I would like to cover just quickly the
03 methodology for the cleanup. I like to address a
04 couple of issues I think that were leftover from the
05 last meeting.

06 As we told the Park officials, and as I'm trying
07 to get more information out to you, right now the
08 estimated start is around mid-May, around the 15th of
09 May. It may be a little bit after that, hopefully a
10 little bit before that.

11 The contractor was supposed to submit his work
12 plan. It's supposed to be in my office today or
13 tomorrow. I've got until Friday to review it, turn
14 back any comments. We have to get comments to the
15 contractor back on Monday.

16 The contractor is going to be Human Factors
17 Applications, the same contractor that did both of the
18 Time Critical Removal Actions -- one within inside the
19 Park and one at Dr. Lowry's property.

20 They know the area, and I hope that they don't
21 have any problems in going through this. They've
22 worked with us. They know the site, so there
23 shouldn't be any problems.

24 As long as their submittals are okay, and as
25 long as the safety submissions that we have to give to

00045

01 our headquarters to the safety board are okay and they
02 don't see any problems with the contractor working,
03 then the contractor has told us somewhere around
04 mid-May period he can get the crews to start working.

05 I sat down and talked yesterday with Mike Foley
06 and Buddy Jennings and Gerry Perry, and some of the
07 others, about the priorities in the Park. Again, we
08 still agreed on the priorities, go into Area 7. Go
09 into 1B, and I presented our options.

10 We've essentially got three crews that are going
11 to be working. All right. That's what the contractor
12 is going to have available to get to us.

13 We've looked at the alternatives of having one
14 crew in Area 7 and one crew in 1B and one crew in

15 A-39, all three crews in Area 7, so we've presented
16 these to the Park.

17 They were -- we've talked about it, and we think
18 that probably the best option is to place as many
19 crews as possible in Area 7 first, get that out of the
20 way, and then hit each of the other areas.

21 Now 1B is probably going to be an option to work
22 in just because it's small. It should be a fairly
23 quick turnaround on that, so maybe we'll have one crew
24 working in there.

25 I made recommendations, just verbally, not

00046

01 written, to the Park on closures. When the crews are
02 working in Area 7, we'll need to close Area 7 just
03 because of the safety distances.

04 If we've got two crews working and one is over
05 on this side and one is on the other side, then you've
06 got to have 2,000 feet either way between them for the
07 radius of the safety distance, then it's going to be
08 hard to have anybody in that area. I don't think we
09 want anybody in the area.

10 The crews are going to be working four days a
11 week, ten hours a day, Monday through Thursday. We
12 have the option of having them start work on Monday,
13 finish up on Thursday and opening the State Park on
14 Friday, Saturday and Sunday. We have the option of
15 having the Park closed seven days a week until we
16 finish it up.

17 It would be easier for us to have it closed
18 seven days a week, but most likely, just because if
19 you open it up on the weekends, the crews have to
20 clean up on Thursday afternoons, so that cuts out some
21 of their time that they could be doing a little bit
22 more work; then they got to start back up again Monday
23 morning early, and it causes a lot of problems as far
24 as scheduling and getting things done. It can be
25 done. It's an option.

00047

01 I have a general idea of what the Park wants to
02 do, but they don't want to make a decision until they
03 hear from you on what you want. Do you ---

04 BY MR. THOMPSON:

05 What's the time frame? You have four days
06 versus seven days? Do they work seven days?

07 BY MR. BOGAN:

08 No, sir.

09 BY MR. THOMPSON:

10 Just work five?

11 BY MR. BOGAN:

12 They'll just work -- they can only work 40 hours
13 a week. That's all that they're allowed to work.

14 BY MR. THOMPSON:

15 It would be the same time.

16 BY MR. BOGAN:

17 Dr. Lowry.

18 BY DR. LOWRY:

19 I hate to hog this conversation, but I've been
20 through all this before for quite a while, and I know
21 what these people -- when they set up magazines --
22 they set up magazines and separate and have grids up
23 24 hours a day, seven days a week, it's a tremendous
24 undertaking, and I don't think it would be wise, quite
25 frankly, when we know that there's live ordnance there

00048

01 to admit people to an area that you're cleaning up the

02 live ordnance.

03 I mean, no other place in the whole wide world,
04 if you had bubonic plague, you would clean it up four
05 days a week and you let somebody come in for three
06 days. So if you're hunting for a recommendation from
07 me personally, I'll be glad to make a motion that Area
08 7 be closed until it's cleaned starting tomorrow, and
09 whoever wants to second it, fine. If no one, then I
10 will go along as the only person who says it.

11 BY MR. OSBORNE:

12 I second it.

13 BY DR. LOWRY:

14 It's a tremendous amount of trouble for these
15 people to set the grids up. They grid this place off
16 just like you do a checker board, and these -- every
17 single inch of this area is searched. It's not just a
18 haphazard thing. Every one of these is brought in and
19 surveyed. They even put bench markers down. They do
20 it with GPS, as well.

21 It's a tremendous undertaking, so that there
22 won't be a spot as big as any of these tiles on this
23 floor that goes unsearched; and for them to have to
24 take those down every time and set them up, it means
25 that they're going to be there an awful lot longer, so

00049

01 I strongly recommend that we allow them to do their
02 work.

03 I've got a letter here from Dr. Jack Keith who
04 clearly states that "please don't do anything to
05 interfere with the work of the Corps," and so I think
06 I've got two votes for it anyway.

07 BY MR. BOGAN:

08 We have a motion that -- if I can state this the
09 best -- that Area 7, 00U7 be closed seven days a week
10 until the area is cleared?

11 BY DR. LOWRY:

12 Starting tomorrow.

13 BY MR. BOGAN:

14 Starting tomorrow.

15 BY DR. LOWRY:

16 If it's not safe two months from now, it's not
17 safe now.

18 BY MR. OSBORNE:

19 Right.

20 BY MR. FOLEY:

21 Let me ask a question, because I think you've
22 made several points. One -- one, and it's a very
23 valid point because this is something we're concerned
24 with and have asked Wayne about.

25 If we close seven days a week while work is

00050

01 going on, the question we had was will that speed the
02 eventual cleanup up? In other words, by -- and the
03 point you made is valid.

04 By not having to demobilize and remove whatever
05 stakes are there, they're obviously not going to
06 remove any ordnance on Thursday, but the grid stakes
07 and grid lines and all of those things, the
08 demobilization on Thursday and remobilization Monday
09 will take some valuable work and may, as Wayne told
10 us, would logically extend the time of work.

11 BY DR. LOWRY:

12 It would cut down considerably.

13 BY MR. FOLEY:

14 Okay. That -- that -- that is the point that

15 would be very valid for closing seven days a week once
16 they began working.

17 BY MR. THOMPSON:

18 And they can't start to begin work until the
19 15th; is that right?

20 BY MR. BOGAN:

21 Right.

22 BY MR. FOLEY:

23 So I want to be very clear about the motion
24 you're making about -- about closing down the Park,
25 because then you threw in the comment that if it's not

00051

01 safe then, it's not safe today, because the argument
02 you made concerned mobilization and demobilization,
03 not whether it's safe now or whether it was safe last
04 week.

05 BY DR. LOWRY:

06 Right, I believe you can make more than one
07 argument in a statement.

08 BY MR. FOLEY:

09 I understand, but I want to make sure that
10 everybody understands the difference.

11 BY DR. LOWRY:

12 Okay. I said two things. One is that they can
13 do it quicker if we don't make them shut down and
14 remove their stakes. If the Park closes down and lets
15 them go about their business, as they will on any
16 other piece of land, as they did on mine, then it will
17 happen quicker.

18 Secondly, I don't think the place is safe. Have
19 you read the Time Critical Removal Action Report that
20 are in the library right here?

21 BY MR. FOLEY:

22 Yes, I have.

23 BY DR. LOWRY:

24 Did you read where it says very clearly in three
25 areas contamination assessment of the scope of work

00052

01 and the work done that there were a -- none of the
02 anomalies were disturbed.

03 BY MR. FOLEY:

04 I've read that.

05 BY DR. LOWRY:

06 And you've also read that there are about 48
07 more, as they estimate, maximum per acre in this area?

08 BY MR. FOLEY:

09 When we got the EE/CA, we went to the Corps.

10 BY DR. LOWRY:

11 I know, but I'm just saying that's in that
12 report, right?

13 BY MR. FOLEY:

14 I read it, and we asked for -- we asked for a
15 clarification on that report. We were very, very
16 interested in that, and we have met with the Corps.

17 Wayne and the Colonel, in fact, have discussed
18 those questions with the people in Huntsville, and the
19 answer they gave us satisfied us that it was safe to
20 open under the qualification we don't allow any
21 subsurface search, and hence that's what we did.

22 BY DR. LOWRY:

23 I'm familiar with that.

24 BY MR. FOLEY:

25 And I understand, and you have spoken to us

00053

01 about that issue. You've talked to Buddy Jennings and

02 talked to Jay McDowell {phonetic spelling}, in fact,
03 but we have to depend on the experts, and that's what
04 we've done.

05 BY DR. LOWRY:

06 No doubt about it. And the experts on the
07 report.

08 BY MR. FOLEY:

09 And we went -- we went beyond -- we went beyond
10 the question.

11 BY DR. LOWRY:

12 And the experts on the report says that no
13 subsurface anomalies were disturbed; is that correct,
14 Wayne?

15 BY MR. BOGAN:

16 In the report that identifies some of those
17 areas and says that they were not ---

18 BY DR. LOWRY:

19 This was on a surface clearance of Area 7, to be
20 specific.

21 BY MR. BOGAN:

22 But since that report, we've come back and done
23 some clarification in writing from Huntsville that
24 says on the anomalies for the areas, such as the
25 campground, that they did go below the surface and

00054

01 went down the one foot in these areas to remove those
02 pieces of ordnance.

03 BY DR. LOWRY:

04 But the report doesn't say that.

05 BY MR. BOGAN:

06 But I've got the clarification in writing and
07 provided the writing to the Park since then saying
08 that we have done that.

09 BY DR. LOWRY:

10 And the workers don't say that.

11 BY MR. BOGAN:

12 Well, I have gone back and also had our
13 Huntsville talk with the contractor, and the
14 contractor says they don't know who of the workers are
15 saying that, and ---

16 BY DR. LOWRY:

17 But if you all feel like it's ---

18 BY MR. BOGAN:

19 --- but they still ---

20 BY DR. LOWRY:

21 If you feel like it's safe, I believe I'd drop
22 that part of it, but I don't personally say it, and I
23 said, as far as I'm concerned, this is just for the
24 motion on my part. It's the way I feel, and I've got
25 a right to express myself.

00055

01 BY MR. FOLEY:

02 I understand, and ---

03 BY DR. LOWRY:

04 I think that you all are not wise in opening it
05 when you've got three weeks to go and the daggone
06 place is going to be cleaned up, but you all have just
07 got to have people in there and that, to me, makes no
08 sense at all.

09 BY MR. FOLEY:

10 Every question that you have stated just in the
11 last few minutes we've stated -- we've stated to
12 ourselves, and we went back and got to the bottom of
13 it.

14 BY DR. LOWRY:

15 Well, I'm just making a statement on my own, and
16 I'm allowed to do that, and I can make my statement
17 and I've done so.

18 BY MR. FOLEY:

19 And I understand. And every -- everything --
20 everything that you've said is ---

21 BY DR. LOWRY:

22 If anybody wants to modify motion and simply
23 state that the area will be closed while the Corps is
24 doing its work, that's up to this Board, instead of
25 closing it tomorrow.

00056

01 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

02 Well, now, let me ask a question. Using the
03 word Area.

04 BY DR. LOWRY:

05 Area 7.

06 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

07 Are we talking about closing Area 7 or are we
08 talking about closing the Park?

09 BY DR. LOWRY:

10 Area 7.

11 BY MR. BOGAN:

12 Now the motion that's stated was that the Board
13 recommends OOU7, which is Area 7, be closed seven days
14 a week starting tomorrow.

15 Now to reinforce what Mike has said, and what
16 I've just mentioned awhile ago, is that you have the
17 report. It doesn't specifically identify that the
18 anomalies were disturbed or removed. Nothing was dug
19 up below the surface because that was the scope of
20 work that was set aside.

21 We came back and got Huntsville to put it in
22 writing for us, and we have given it in writing to the
23 Park that in certain areas where we believe that it
24 was -- if it were removed, the anomalies, because to
25 do it, we would need to go down to four feet.

00057

01 They didn't do it on every single anomaly, and
02 we have told them that it is safe for the Park to stay
03 opened, and we still believe that -- even if we've got
04 crews going in there and working to clear up Area 7,
05 we presented them to the option of leaving the Park
06 opened on the weekends, Friday, Saturday and Sunday.

07 For logistics purposes, going ahead and closing
08 down the Park seven days a week makes it easier for
09 the crews having it opened on the weekends, Friday,
10 Saturday and Sunday, may add a little extra work for
11 the crews as far as cleanup, removing flags and tape,
12 some of which they already do just so the scavengers
13 won't find where we're digging and find and dig
14 something up; but just for the ease of the crews
15 working, trying to help out Gerry on some of his work,
16 as far as scheduling, or we figured it would be easier
17 seven days a week.

18 Because what happens if Thursday afternoon all
19 of a sudden we found a mortar round that has to be
20 moved and he's got something scheduled on Friday?
21 We've got to cancel it right then and there because we
22 may have to detonate that Friday morning or somebody
23 finds something on Friday.

24 So, we can't guarantee them that on Fridays,
25 Saturdays and Sundays when we told them it would be

00058

01 opened that we won't find something Thursday afternoon

02 where we may have to, you know, bring in additional
03 crew or something to work Friday to remove a situation
04 where we believe it's dangerous enough to remove.

05 And the crews are only allowed to work four days
06 a week, ten hours a day. That's the way they're set
07 up. It's 40 hours a week maximum. That's all the
08 safety regulations allow them to work. No overtime
09 just because of the stress of the job. But if
10 something comes up, they're -- and we're going to have
11 to do something on a Friday or a Saturday, we'll find
12 a way to do that.

13 BY MR. MCBAIN:

14 May I ask a question?

15 BY MR. BOGAN:

16 Yes, sir.

17 BY MR. MCBAIN:

18 Why can't you work one crew three days and
19 another crew three days and another crew three days.
20 Has it got to be all the same crews working? It just
21 sounds a little strange.

22 BY MR. OSBORNE:

23 Ain't that the truth. I agree.

24 BY MR. BOGAN:

25 We can only work three crews total.

00059

01 BY MR. MCBAIN:

02 Why?

03 BY MR. BOGAN:

04 That's all the contractor has to give us and
05 because of safety distances.

06 BY MR. MCBAIN:

07 Then do we need more contractors?

08 BY MR. BOGAN:

09 Well, because of safety distances, we probably
10 can't put more crews in the area, even if we have them
11 available.

12 I mean, I've got to review the work plan when I
13 get back to see why they're saying they only have
14 three crews available. I haven't read that, sir. It
15 just came in.

16 BY DR. LOWRY:

17 How many people are on the crew? It varied on
18 my place from five to eight.

19 BY MR. BOGAN:

20 It will be about the same. It will be between
21 five to eight, maybe nine.

22 BY DR. LOWRY:

23 And HFA doesn't have but 24 people?

24 BY MR. BOGAN:

25 I don't know. Like I said, I haven't read the

00060

01 work plan. I don't know why they propose three crews.

02 I mean, just because of safety distances in Area 7,
03 they may only be able to work three crews at a time.

04 BY DR. LOWRY:

05 Well, I understand perfectly, but what this man
06 is asking why not work them then -- on my property
07 they offered to work on the weekends, for instance,
08 and so what he's asking is why -- let's bring in six
09 crews and on the day that you've got both of them
10 working, let one of them work in one of the areas
11 that's not Area 7, for instance, so I just ---

12 BY MR. BOGAN:

13 I would have to look at the work plan to see if
14 it would be an increased cost than what we've already

15 got set aside. The contractor bid on the job.
16 BY DR. LOWRY:
17 Okay.
18 BY MR. BOGAN:
19 And said ---
20 BY DR. LOWRY:
21 He's already bid?
22 BY MR. BOGAN:
23 It's already been set up. He's given us what he
24 thinks is going to do the work.
25 BY DR. LOWRY:

00061

01 We might as well drop it.
02 BY MR. HAMER:
03 We have a question in the audience.
04 BY MS. FRETWELL:
05 One question. You talked about regulation and
06 efficiencies and personal safety factors for the crews
07 about working them four ten-hour days; and if the Park
08 is going to be closed during the whole time, in other
09 words, all seven days a week, it seems like it would
10 be a little bit more efficient and effective work out
11 of totally working five eight-hour days than you would
12 working four ten-hour days, unless there is some cost
13 saving, for instance, if you bid on this where these
14 crew members are only housed four nights a week and
15 driven back home or something for the weekends.
16 If that's a cost savings there, maybe it doesn't
17 make a difference; but otherwise, I believe you're are
18 going to get better productive and safer work out of a
19 crowd that's working five eight-hour days than four
20 ten-hour days.
21 BY MR. BOGAN:
22 That's a potential. I'll have to look at the
23 work plan to see why they proposed the four ten-hour
24 days.
25 BY MS. FRETWELL:

00062

01 When you were talking about -- when you're
02 talking ten-hour days, you're talking about pushing
03 something to safety maximum.
04 BY MR. THOMPSON:
05 Is this something -- is this something that they
06 maybe worked out with the PRT?
07 BY DR. LOWRY:
08 No.
09 BY MR. PERRY:
10 No.
11 BY MR. MCBAIN:
12 It may be interesting to know whether or not
13 they intend to rotate the crews back to Charlotte
14 every day after the ten hours.
15 BY MR. BOGAN:
16 I believe that some of the personnel from the
17 crews do take a leave on weekends. The crew is from
18 Maryland.
19 BY MR. MCBAIN:
20 You should see they ---
21 BY MS. FRETWELL:
22 But the question is what we pay them by the
23 contractor or not, they may be leaving of their own --
24 you know, I don't know the answer those questions.
25 BY MR. BOGAN:

00063

01 Right. From what I understand, and I don't know

02 all the technicalities of how the crews' works and the
03 hours, and that's the contractor's side in Huntsville.
04 I'd have to get some clarification from them, but
05 I believe it's standard practice for these crews to
06 work four days, ten hours a day.

07 BY DR. LOWRY:

08 That's considerable distances from home to come
09 there and having to leave on Thursday.

10 BY MS. FRETWELL:

11 I mean, that's probably why, and that's what I'm
12 saying that if that's the case, then what I was
13 suggesting wouldn't work. If that's not, then I'm
14 saying it might work.

15 BY MR. BOGAN:

16 Yes, sir.

17 BY MR. MCBAIN:

18 Pardon me for coming late. Don't we have a
19 demolition section in the National Guard over here in
20 Greer, and they didn't serve over in Desert Storm?

21 BY MR. BOGAN:

22 I don't know about Greer. I've checked with the
23 National Guard group that's coordinating any of the
24 National Guard units doing this type of work, and they
25 told me that all -- that they have 60 personnel

00064

01 nationwide doing this type of work. All 60 are tied
02 up for their annual training for two weeks in Utah for
03 this fiscal year '97.

04 The thing that they told us that they would like
05 to be able to do in addition to that is potentially
06 work on Camp Croft in the fiscal year '98, which --
07 but in addition to that, personnel from their crews
08 want additional training.

09 They might be able to send two or three people
10 up here at a time for a week to do some sampling in
11 addition to their normal two weeks of annual training,
12 and so I -- they're meeting with Huntsville sometime
13 during the first of May and the first week or two to
14 discuss how they're going to work with Huntsville on
15 projects nationwide; and whether the Greer units is in
16 with this, I'm not sure.

17 I just -- Huntsville told me to contact this
18 group while Alabama was doing the coordination for the
19 National Guard units.

20 BY MR. MCBAIN:

21 There are also some other units and other
22 branches of the service that are available for this.
23 Do you have the address -- not taking anymore of your
24 time, but do you have the address for the people in
25 Huntsville for the Corps ---

00065

01 BY MR. BOGAN:

02 Yes, sir.

03 BY MR. MCBAIN:

04 --- of Engineers?

05 BY MR. BOGAN:

06 If you want, I've put some more brochures that I
07 threw together the other day on the table over here,
08 and it has on the back the Public Affairs' Office for
09 Huntsville.

10 BY MR. OSBORNE:

11 Question.

12 BY MR. BOGAN:

13 Yes, sir.

14 BY MR. JOHNSON:

15 Do you all have a time, an estimated time of how
16 long it will be before the whole thing is being
17 cleaned up?

18 BY MR. BOGAN:

19 For everything?

20 BY MR. JOHNSON:

21 Yes, sir.

22 BY MR. BOGAN:

23 Let me give you a quick idea. For the four
24 areas we've addressed, talking about primarily
25 tonight, Area 7, we're looking at two to three months.

00066

01 Again, I haven't read the work plan to see what
02 the contractor says his schedule would be. He may say
03 that he can do it in one and a half months or he may
04 say it will take three and a half months.

05 But what I have been told and in talking with
06 Huntsville, and they've been talking with the
07 contractor, to get all four of these areas, we're
08 looking at around October or November to get these
09 cleaned up.

10 Now they're going to come back and do some more
11 sampling, just like they've already done, and that
12 will take about a year. That's going to take us -- if
13 they start this November doing a sampling, so it's
14 going to be November of '97 before they finish that
15 sampling.

16 Then it's going to take us another, just like
17 it's done this time, another four to nine months to
18 get a crew on here to clean up the other areas, so
19 that might take us another year.

20 BY MR. JOHNSON:

21 So you all have started this? Have you all
22 already started?

23 BY MR. BOGAN:

24 Yeah, we've already got the contractor coming to
25 do this removal. We've already got the contractor

00067

01 coming in and doing the additional sampling starting
02 in the fall.

03 BY MR. JOHNSON:

04 What happens -- what happens if you don't find
05 anything? What happens then?

06 BY MR. BOGAN:

07 If they don't find anything during the ---

08 BY MR. JOHNSON:

09 I'm talking about the whole entire area.

10 BY MR. BOGAN:

11 During the sampling in the fall when they start
12 looking at all these different areas?

13 BY MR. JOHNSON:

14 Right, because with all these people coming in
15 here, you're not really talking about a whole big
16 area, because we were born and raised here, and we've
17 been all over this area, because, I mean, you know, if
18 everybody comes in and works, I mean, you
19 shouldn't ---

20 BY MR. BOGAN:

21 Yeah.

22 BY MR. JOHNSON:

23 I mean, you ought to be able to get over the
24 complete grounds and let people come in.

25 BY MR. BOGAN:

00068

01 The estimate right now for the overall goes

02 through about the year 2000, 2001, but things are
03 moving a little bit faster now than things initially
04 estimated.

05 For example, when this Engineering Evaluation
06 Report was completed in January, that normally takes
07 about nine months before we can ever get a crew, nine
08 to twelve months to get a crew in here and start
09 working. We're doing that within three to four
10 months, so some things are moving a little bit faster.

11 BY MR. HENDERSON:

12 Wayne.

13 BY MR. BOGAN:

14 So I think it will take less time.

15 BY MR. HENDERSON:

16 How long did you say for Area 2 and 3?

17 BY MR. BOGAN:

18 I looked at the report. The only one that I've
19 been pushing any action on is Area 7, and they're
20 saying -- estimate now about two to three months.

21 I'll know for sure after I read the report this week.

22 BY MR. HAYES:

23 Are there some of the -- are there some of the
24 areas that overlap, like OOU1B, might have -- if
25 they're working down there, they won't be able to

00069

01 drive past there or something to get to OOU7 or ---

02 BY MR. BOGAN:

03 Yeah, we may have to shut off that part of the
04 road.

05 BY MR. HAYES:

06 Are you going to have to do that first before
07 you get to 7 or do 7 and get back to it or ---

08 BY MR. BOGAN:

09 Well, what we'll probably end up doing, since
10 Area 1B and Area 7 are so close is try and hit both of
11 those at the same time. Area 1B is going to take a
12 lot less time to clean up than Area 7 because surface
13 clearance and there's just a horse trail through
14 there; so we might be able to get that cleaned, opened
15 that area back up around the Twin Oaks picnic shelter,
16 and then continue working in Area 7, so from the Twin
17 Oaks picnic shelter on up we'll be opened. And then
18 after we finish Area 7 with the three crews, then they
19 go to Area 2, A-39 and Area 3.

20 BY MR. HAYES:

21 Well, if they get OOU7 and then OOU1B, that
22 would possibly open up the Park, so that would help us
23 for the ---

24 BY MR. BOGAN:

25 Right, and that's why we're trying to get Area 7

00070

01 and 1B done first so that we minimize the disruption.

02 I know it's ---

03 BY MR. HAYES:

04 Now if we could get them to work seven days a
05 week -- if they work three and a half days a week, you
06 know, three and a half on and three and a half off or
07 something, they're going to need to overlap the crews
08 somehow or another.

09 If they report to each other that this is where
10 we're finding something or, you know, they're going to
11 have to let each other know somehow or another.

12 They're not going to let one crew work one week and
13 one crew work the next week and not know what's going
14 on.

15 BY MR. BOGAN:

16 Well, we're almost to the point where we're past
17 being able to do that overlap, because the contractor
18 has already come in and said, "I've got three crews
19 available. I think I can do the work with these three
20 crews in the period through October or November."

21 BY MR. HAYES:

22 Yeah.

23 BY MR. BOGAN:

24 Once I read that on there for sure. Area 7 is
25 going to take this long, and 1B this long, 2 this

00071

01 long, and he's recommended that his crews work four
02 days a week, ten hours a day. I don't know that I can
03 come back and change the contract now and add an extra
04 crew.

05 BY DR. LOWRY:

06 It takes 60 days to do that. I don't think
07 that's worth it.

08 BY MR. BOGAN:

09 It's going to take a little bit longer.

10 BY MR. OSBORNE:

11 And the contractor -- since you're using the
12 same company, right?

13 BY MR. BOGAN:

14 Uh-huh (affirmative response).

15 BY MR. OSBORNE:

16 Then we won't expect to see billings for two-way
17 radios and all the equipment that they billed on your
18 -- on the last time since you already bought it for
19 them.

20 BY MR. BOGAN:

21 I wouldn't expect that, no, because part of ---

22 BY MR. OSBORNE:

23 And also the billing for the food and lodging
24 was atrocious.

25 BY MR. BOGAN:

00072

01 We pay them a certain amount of money per diem
02 each day, and I don't know how much it is.

03 BY MR. OSBORNE:

04 Well, it's ---

05 BY MR. BOGAN:

06 The radios and stuff, since HFA is a contractor
07 that works not only at Camp Croft but also working on
08 the east coast, so they have crews that will be
09 working on other sites on the east coast. They just
10 happened to get this portion of it.

11 There are only a few contractors in the nation
12 that actually do this work, so they've got people
13 going all over the nation, down to the caribbean,
14 Alaska, going all over, so if -- the farther you go
15 along, the more we get into Camp Croft, the more the
16 ordnance projects come up, the longer it's going to do
17 some of these things because there are so many crews
18 and you've got so many sites, and you have to take
19 your party list to see who gets what.

20 Yes, sir.

21 BY DR. LOWRY:

22 It's very, very hard for these people to come in
23 and find an apartment if they're going to sign a lease
24 for an extended period of time, and I went through
25 this with the crews on my place, and then some of the

00073

01 people who had the apartments, they wanted to let them

02 know that they would be here a reasonable period of
03 time before they even could find a place to live.

04 So there all kind of problems that you don't
05 anticipate. One that I didn't anticipate tonight is
06 that I've gone by the reports that are you all have
07 left in the library, and I have taken my time to go
08 down there and read them, and here you and Mr. Foley
09 are talking about stuff that we, as a Board, have not
10 been given privilege to, yet we're supposed to make
11 recommendations, and I feel a little bit embarrassed
12 that I spent all the time that I have pouring through
13 these volumes and volumes of stuff when there's been
14 kind of -- not necessarily behind the scenes, but if
15 we're going to make recommendations and you all have
16 information that's different from what you have given
17 us in the library, I would strongly suggest that we be
18 allowed to have and to see this past and present
19 communication with each other, so that -- you know,
20 when I make a recommendation, it seems like I'm
21 informed on something.

22 My total information has been from your volumes
23 of stuff down there, Wayne, as you well know, I've
24 checked them out and read them carefully.

25 BY MR. BOGAN:

00074

01 Right.

02 BY DR. LOWRY:

03 And for some reason, it kind of irks me a little
04 bit, that Mr. Foley knew, and the Park and the PRT and
05 the Corps have this other dialogue over here and none
06 of us knows anything about it.

07 BY MR. OSBORNE:

08 Why can't we have a Board member on this -- when
09 you're talking with the Park?

10 BY DR. LOWRY:

11 I just wish you would let us have that
12 information.

13 BY MR. BOGAN:

14 Well, actually, I've already given you that
15 information two months ago. I didn't give you a copy
16 of the letter, and the only additional piece of
17 information is the letter that we sent to the Park
18 saying, "This area is clear," and I can get a copy of
19 the letter for you.

20 I mentioned two months ago that we've told the
21 Park and we've discussed in detail in this RAB meeting
22 that we told the Park down to one foot in the areas on
23 some of the anomalies. That's the only thing that's
24 different than what's in the information in the
25 library.

00075

01 BY DR. LOWRY:

02 But the information in the library is different
03 and the workers that I've talked with say it's
04 different, so I've not seen anything in writing, and I
05 appreciate that ---

06 BY MR. BOGAN:

07 Okay. I can get you a copy of the letter that
08 we sent to the Park.

09 BY DR. LOWRY:

10 I'd appreciate that in the future.

11 BY MR. BOGAN:

12 And I believe that some of that information has
13 gone out to other people, but that's the only one that
14 I know of. Like I said, we did mention that in the

15 Board meeting before, so I did provide you a copy of
16 that.

17 BY MR. OSBORNE:

18 Didn't you mention a few minutes ago that you
19 and him had -- had conversations here in the last
20 week?

21 BY MR. BOGAN:

22 Yesterday, yes.

23 BY MR. OSBORNE:

24 Yesterday. At this point, I'm still bringing up
25 the same point. When you're discussing things with

00076

01 the Park, which is all of us trying to be a member to
02 know what's going on, wouldn't it be appropriate for
03 one of us to be there; and, also, if you're going to
04 have findings of other ammunition and so forth, that
05 we be privy to the same information?

06 BY MR. PERRY:

07 One of us was there. I was in that meeting.

08 BY MR. OSBORNE:

09 You're with the Park Board. I'm sorry.

10 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

11 He's also a member of this Board.

12 BY MR. PERRY:

13 I'm on this Board.

14 BY DR. LOWRY:

15 Can I call a question? Can I make the motion?

16 Jim, do you want to?

17 BY MR. BOGAN:

18 The motion is that 00U7 be closed seven days a
19 week starting tomorrow?

20 BY MR. CLARY SMITH:

21 I want to clarify -- let me get a clarification
22 on what you all -- your recommendation, though, is in
23 the Parks four ten-hour days?

24 BY MR. BOGAN:

25 That the crew will be available four ten-hour

00077

01 days, and we've talked about the -- for ease for the
02 work of the crew that it would work better seven days
03 a week, however the option is available for them to
04 let the crews work the four days and have the Park
05 opened. All right.

06 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

07 What is the Corps of Engineers' recommendation?

08 BY MR. BOGAN:

09 Just what I've said. I haven't put it in
10 writing to the Park, but the crews -- regardless of
11 whether the Park is opened those days on the weekend,
12 the crews are still going to work four days a week,
13 ten hours a day.

14 BY MR. THOMPSON:

15 Let me ask one more question of Mike. Mike,
16 back there, you said a minute ago that you -- at the
17 very beginning when he said close it immediately, you
18 all discussed that a little bit. What would happen if
19 the motion if you said Monday they started working
20 with the cleanup crews?

21 BY MR. FOLEY:

22 Okay. Let me have that again.

23 BY MR. THOMPSON:

24 They could close the Park when the cleanup crews
25 come in?

00078

01 BY MR. FOLEY:

02 Well, I'm -- I'm here to -- I'm here to observe
03 what this Board -- the whole series of motions that
04 you may approve and make, even down to the fact of how
05 the votes are split.

06 I mean, certainly if we get a unanimous vote on
07 a question, I'm going to make note of that, and we're
08 going to sit down tomorrow and we're going to make a
09 decision.

10 If we've got any technical questions that Wayne
11 may have to go back to Huntsville on or anything,
12 after he reads the contract, if things are changed,
13 and he hasn't read the work order yet, we're going to
14 get in contact with him, but we're are fully prepared
15 in the next few days to make a decision on these
16 matters; and, you know, certainly we looked at the
17 option of the day the contractor came in there and
18 closing for seven days, because the question we asked
19 is the same one that Dr. Lowry just posed, "Would it
20 speed the entire operation if they didn't have to
21 demobilize Thursday and remobilize Monday?" and that
22 certainly is something we're going to consider.

23 BY MR. PERRY:

24 Let me make a point, too. Last -- last year we
25 closed three months while they were working. Now I
00079

01 don't know what the PRT office is going to decide on
02 this, but this period of cleanup last year we closed
03 before they started work and we closed seven days a
04 week on their recommendation; and you just have to
05 wait until the PRT decides, based on the
06 recommendation that they got from Wayne and the Corps
07 and yesterday's meeting.

08 There's nothing -- there's nothing he can --
09 that Mike Foley can answer to you about what we're
10 going to do.

11 BY DR. LOWRY:

12 I'm not asking him that question. I simply made
13 a motion.

14 BY MR. PERRY:

15 But I just wanted to let you all know that's
16 what we did last year, and we did close for three
17 months, January, February and March.

18 BY DR. LOWRY:

19 And that's what I think we ought to do this
20 time, and I made a motion to it, and I'd like it be
21 voted on, please.

22 BY MR. BOGAN:

23 We've got the ---

24 BY DR. LOWRY:

25 May we have a roll call on this motion?

00080

01 BY MR. MCBAIN:

02 Is it opened for conversation from the audience?

03 BY MR. BOGAN:

04 No, sir, after he called for the questions, we
05 have to go through and vote on it. The motion, again,
06 is that 00U7 be closed seven days a week starting
07 tomorrow.

08 BY MR. OSBORNE:

09 I second it.

10 BY MR. BOGAN:

11 And it was seconded. Now we've had our
12 discussion, now we need to have a vote, and I believe
13 for the roll call it would go individual by
14 individual, yea or nay. If you want, I'll start on

15 this end.
16 BY MR. OSBORNE:
17 Yea.
18 BY DR. LOWRY:
19 Yes.
20 BY MR. THOMPSON:
21 Pass right now.
22 BY MR. PERRY:
23 Nay.
24 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:
25 No.

00081

01 BY MS. WHEELER:
02 No.
03 BY MR. CLARY SMITH:
04 No.
05 BY MR. HAYES:
06 No.
07 BY MR. HAMER:
08 Yea.
09 BY MR. BOGAN:
10 Okay. Slow down. I'm trying to write this
11 down. One, two -- three yeas, and one, two, three,
12 four -- five, no.
13 BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:
14 No.
15 BY MR. WILSON:
16 Yea.
17 BY MS. BURRELL:
18 No.
19 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
20 No.
21 BY MS. BORKOWSKI:
22 No.
23 BY MR. BOGAN:
24 We've got eight, no, and four, yes. On the
25 majority vote, the nays -- that motion is put down, as

00082

01 it was stated.
02 BY DR. LOWRY:
03 Did you get that down?
04 BY THE COURT REPORTER:
05 Yes, sir.
06 BY MR. BOGAN:
07 If you would like to have another motion that
08 says it is closed just for whatever, that's up to you,
09 but I need somebody else to do that.
10 BY MR. OSBORNE:
11 What do you need?
12 BY MR. BOGAN:
13 Being the facilitator -- if you want to make
14 another motion that instead of -- since we had a call
15 for question, there was not an option to amend this.
16 If you want to present another motion that restates
17 this in some way, you can do so; but if not, then
18 we'll just leave it as is.
19 BY MR. PERRY:
20 Okay. I'd like to make a motion that the Park
21 closes just prior to the actual work to be done.
22 BY MR. THOMPSON:
23 Until it is finished.
24 BY DR. LOWRY:
25 For seven days a week.

00083

01 BY MR. PERRY:

02 If that's what the Corps recommends, then it
03 would probably be seven days a week.
04 BY DR. LOWRY:
05 Okay. That's fine with me.
06 BY MR. BOGAN:
07 So the motion is that 00U7 be closed seven days
08 a week upon the start of work by the crews.
09 BY MR. HAYES:
10 I second it.
11 BY MR. BOGAN:
12 Okay. We've got the second. Any discussion?
13 (NO RESPONSE)
14 BY MR. BOGAN:
15 Seeing no discussion, we'll put it to a general
16 vote. All those in favor, say "I."
17 (SEVERAL RESPOND)
18 BY MR. BOGAN:
19 All those opposed, "Nay."
20 (NO RESPONSE)
21 BY MR. BOGAN:
22 The Is have it. The motion as forward as 00U7
23 be closed seven days a week from the start of work by
24 the crews.
25 BY MR. HAYES:

00084

01 Wayne, I've got one question. What if the crews
02 have a rain date, do they -- does that count as a work
03 day for them, or do they stay on until they get four
04 days a week in?
05 BY MR. BOGAN:
06 I'll have to double check on that.
07 BY DR. LOWRY:
08 They usually stay on and get another day in.
09 BY MR. BOGAN:
10 They can work 40 hours a week, and if it goes
11 over, a lot of them stay for the weekend, but some of
12 them want to drive home or fly home.
13 BY MR. HAYES:
14 Yeah.
15 BY MR. BOGAN:
16 And it depends on how the company has it set up
17 as to whether they'll fly them home once every so
18 often.
19 BY MR. HAYES:
20 I understand that.
21 BY MR. BOGAN:
22 Okay. Do you need to double check, since they
23 said they would stay on, say if it rained Thursday,
24 they would stay on Friday?
25 BY MR. HAYES:

00085

01 I just want them to get it finished as quick as
02 possible so they can get the Park opened back up.
03 BY MR. THOMPSON:
04 That's what I was going to say. I would like
05 the committee to go on record and ask if the Corps of
06 Engineers has done everything possible to have the
07 contractor clear that area as quick as possible?
08 BY MR. HAYES:
09 If the Park is closed, I'm -- I'm not in favor
10 of the work not being seven days a week, but the
11 contracts already been put out.
12 BY DR. LOWRY:
13 It would take us 60 days to ---
14 BY MR. HAYES:

15 Yeah, I understand that, but, you know, I'm just
16 saying what I'm in favor of. I'm in favor of work
17 seven days a week to expedite things.

18 BY MR. BOGAN:

19 Sure.

20 BY MR. OSBORNE:

21 Couldn't they amend those contracts or ask them
22 if they could put two crews on to make it ---

23 BY MR. BOGAN:

24 For the weekend? I don't know the contractual
25 procedure for that. I can check and find out and get

00086

01 something to you.

02 BY MR. HAYES:

03 Well, our next meeting will be right before they
04 start work, right?

05 BY MR. BOGAN:

06 They said mid-May. Now that might mean right
07 before or a little bit after, so the next meeting
08 should be before they do any work.

09 BY MR. HAYES:

10 It should be the 8th, right?

11 BY MR. BOGAN:

12 The 12th?

13 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

14 14th.

15 BY MR. BOGAN:

16 The 14th. And they were saying mid-May, so it
17 may be the week of the next Monday, the 20th,
18 whatever, and they may start on that date, and there
19 will be a one week period when they will mobilize,
20 bring in the equipment and start setting up grids and
21 start doing that work.

22 Yes, sir.

23 BY MR. WILSON:

24 Will there be any subsequent contracts or is
25 this contract that you've already got bids on, is this

00087

01 same contract going to carry through from the
02 beginning to end of all of the cleanup?

03 BY MR. BOGAN:

04 No, sir, this is for these four areas, so we may
05 have another contractor in place. HFA does the
06 majority of the work on the east coast, but I believe
07 there is a potential that we could use another
08 contractor. So if we -- and especially if we have an
09 instance where we come up that we need to do a Time
10 Critical Removal Action, again, then we would have
11 another contractor who would come in and take care of
12 that.

13 They may decide if it was a Time Critical to
14 just move the crews that are doing this work into the
15 place where there is an eminent danger that has to be
16 taken care of right now, which may delay that, but
17 that area could be anywhere. Hopefully, we'll never
18 run into one of those again, because they're extremely
19 costly and it does slow down the work the work they're
20 doing now.

21 BY MR. HAYES:

22 Is there some way that some of the principals
23 for the cleanup could be at our next meeting and
24 probably go through how long it might take or set a
25 deadline or something on when it can be finished?

00088

01 BY MR. BOGAN:

02 You mean like the contractor being here for the
03 next meeting?

04 BY MR. HAYES:

05 Uh-huh (affirmative response). Yeah.

06 BY MR. BOGAN:

07 I can see about getting a contractor rep at the
08 next meeting.

09 BY MR. HAMER:

10 Now if there is a Time Critical situation,
11 that's going to take more money than we've budgeted
12 for, right?

13 BY MR. BOGAN:

14 Yes.

15 BY MR. HAMER:

16 And that could delay or make this current
17 contract incomplete because you'll run out of money
18 before you finished?

19 BY MR. BOGAN:

20 Potentially. Potentially, but of the money that
21 we've already tagged in Huntsville for doing the work
22 for on Camp Croft, we set aside -- well, we tagged a
23 certain amount of money to go ahead and start the
24 removal action. We also tagged and set aside some
25 money for another emergency removal, and we didn't

00089

01 expect to have one, and we've had two already.

02 BY MR. THOMPSON:

03 Is that over and beyond the \$7.5 Million?

04 BY MR. BOGAN:

05 That's in addition to -- off that -- off the
06 side.

07 BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

08 How much is that, Wayne?

09 BY MR. BOGAN:

10 I don't know the total figure of how much there
11 was set aside, and that's something that Bill Davis
12 had just -- just put in to tag and say, okay, there's
13 a potential for this; but what will happen, though,
14 regardless, is that Huntsville has a pot of money, and
15 if there's a Time Critical Action, they're going to
16 pull from that same pot of money.

17 BY MR. HAMER:

18 Which is beyond the \$7.5 Million?

19 BY MR. BOGAN:

20 Right. Now that may -- they may decide, okay,
21 Charleston, Charleston District, now you've already
22 got \$7.5 Million. We're going to pull \$2 Million to
23 do this Time Critical out of your \$7.5, or they may
24 say, no, this is a higher priority site.

25 Okay. California, we're going to pull \$2

00090

01 Million or \$3 Million from your project and we're
02 going to give it to the Charleston District, which is
03 pulls us up to \$10.5, and that would be -- that would
04 have to be a policy decision made by the Huntsville
05 group.

06 You know, I can come in and request that they do
07 the work, and usually the Time Critical is where
08 there's eminent danger in ordnance groups like the --
09 I'm trying to remember exactly who requested it, but I
10 know the 48th EOD came and said, "Listen, we've got
11 something that we've got to do right now," then we can
12 start a Time Critical. But unless we're requested to
13 do a Time Critical, we can't do that.

14 BY MR. HAMER:

15 And we'll -- so what -- the people who do the
16 Time Critical are what? The regular ordnance crews
17 from the Army or from the contractor?

18 BY MR. BOGAN:

19 It would be a contractor. Now whether it would
20 be HFA or another contractor, I don't know. HFA did a
21 two Time Critical Actions already, and that would be
22 on an emergency basis. We'll just have to pull people
23 from where we can to handle the emergency and hope
24 that there's not another one of those again.

25 Any further questions on that?

00091

01 BY MR. DUBEAU:

02 Right here.

03 BY MR. BOGAN:

04 Yes, sir, Mr. Dubeau.

05 BY MR. DUBEAU:

06 Just give me a minute. I just want to ask you a
07 question. Now you said that they've already been
08 hired the crews that's going to start this, am I
09 correct in this?

10 BY MR. BOGAN:

11 Yes, sir.

12 BY MR. DUBEAU:

13 For how long a period of time? I'm just asking
14 a question.

15 BY MR. BOGAN:

16 I think the estimate right now is up through
17 October or November.

18 BY MR. DUBEAU:

19 Now these people are specialized to do this
20 work?

21 BY MR. BOGAN:

22 Yes, sir.

23 BY MR. DUBEAU:

24 I'm just asking a question.

25 BY MR. BOGAN:

00092

01 Yes, sir.

02 BY MR. DUBEAU:

03 And what happens if the money does run out? You
04 just made a statement that bothered me a little. You
05 said that when this takes place that a person can --
06 for instance, if there is a greater demand in another
07 section of America that money can come from our
08 situation to help them; is this correct?

09 BY MR. BOGAN:

10 Yes, sir.

11 BY MR. DUBEAU:

12 Well, where's the control of the money that
13 we're supposed to get?

14 BY MR. BOGAN:

15 It's in Huntsville, and I'll tell you what I
16 believe will happen without having somebody from
17 Huntsville to address that. We've already got money
18 set aside. This is ---

19 BY MR. DUBEAU:

20 Yeah, right.

21 BY MR. BOGAN:

22 --- one of the high priority sites in the
23 nation.

24 BY MR. DUBEAU:

25 Right.

00093

01 BY MR. BOGAN:

02 If a Time Critical Action -- Time Critical
03 Removal Action comes up, say, in Mississippi ---
04 BY MR. DUBEAU:
05 Right.
06 BY MR. BOGAN:
07 --- or Alabama or whatever, they've got a pot of
08 money that they've got to pull money from. Now
09 whether Washington will go and say, "Hey, you've got
10 an emergency. Let's give you some more money," I'm
11 not sure.
12 BY MR. DUBEAU:
13 Yeah.
14 BY MR. BOGAN:
15 I would hope that they wouldn't pull any money
16 from what we've already got.
17 BY MR. DUBEAU:
18 Well, the reason I'm asking the question I'm
19 asking, now a lot of these people I'm sure that are on
20 this Board have -- they're interested in getting this
21 over with?
22 BY MR. BOGAN:
23 Yes, sir.
24 BY MR. DUBEAU:
25 I'm not trying to be rude or anything else, but

00094

01 I think that there's got to be a settlement. You
02 know, I've been to four meetings, and I know that
03 these people have investments in this certain part or
04 the Camp or whatever it may be, there's -- and it
05 looks like there's always a boggle somewhere that's
06 pulling it down, so how long does it take to
07 straighten out and the crews are here?
08 BY MR. BOGAN:
09 How long ---
10 BY MR. DUBEAU:
11 I have a right to ask that.
12 BY MR. BOGAN:
13 Sure. So you want to know how long it would
14 take if we had an emergency action somewhere else?
15 BY MR. DUBEAU:
16 I'm just asking you people to get the thing
17 settled, seriously, and I'm not blaming you now.
18 BY MR. BOGAN:
19 Right.
20 BY MR. DUBEAU:
21 I'm just asking a question.
22 BY MR. THOMPSON:
23 Wayne, if that \$7.5 Million is appropriated,
24 that's appropriated for this job, isn't it?
25 BY MR. DUBEAU:

00095

01 Now that's what I thought. That's my question.
02 BY MR. BOGAN:
03 Now I as mentioned at the earlier meeting, money
04 has not been -- they haven't given us an additional
05 \$7.5 Million. Headquarters has said we have approved
06 this project and you're authorized to spend up to \$7.5
07 Million on the project, and Huntsville has said we're
08 going to give you all the money that you need to work
09 on Camp Croft out of our pot of money.
10 BY DR. LOWRY:
11 If something is going to happen to somebody
12 else, I mean, that would be fairly unusual.
13 BY MR. BOGAN:
14 It's very unusual if that would happen.

15 BY MR. DUBEAU:
16 Yes, but ---
17 BY MR. BOGAN:
18 But I'm saying that is an option.
19 BY MR. DUBEAU:
20 --- I'm just asking a question about what you --
21 you made a statement and nobody asked any questions.
22 BY MR. BOGAN:
23 Sure.
24 BY MR. DUBEAU:
25 You know, that another outfit can come in and

00096

01 say, "We need \$2 Million that you've got given to
02 you," and then you give it to them and then we sit
03 here without the job being done?
04 BY MR. BOGAN:
05 On a remote chance, that's a possibility.
06 BY MR. DUBEAU:
07 It is a possibility?
08 BY MR. BOGAN:
09 Yes, sir, there's only so much money that is set
10 aside for doing ordnance work, and if there is a -- if
11 there's a State Park in Alabama that they find, I'm
12 saying worst case, chemical weapons there, they're
13 going to say that that's a higher priority than Camp
14 Croft, and they possibly on a remote chance to take
15 money for that.
16 BY MR. DUBEAU:
17 There's no control over the money?
18 BY MR. BOGAN:
19 They -- Huntsville controls it.
20 BY MR. DUBEAU:
21 Now wait a minute. You're not answering me.
22 There is no control over the money that was
23 appropriated for here?
24 BY MR. BOGAN:
25 Huntsville has control over where the money goes

00097

01 to each of the projects, and they've told me ---
02 BY MR. DUBEAU:
03 Now you haven't answered my question. I want an
04 answer.
05 BY MR. BOGAN:
06 There's not a congressional or I don't know that
07 Washington has said, you know, "Huntsville, you will
08 use only \$7.5 Million on this project."
09 BY MR. DUBEAU:
10 Right. Okay. I'm listening. Okay.
11 BY MR. BOGAN:
12 Okay. I'll double check to see if they have,
13 but Huntsville has told me that we've got a pot of
14 money for through fiscal year '96 and up to fiscal
15 year '97.
16 BY MR. DUBEAU:
17 But it can be used in different proportions?
18 BY MR. BOGAN:
19 Only on an emergency situations could it be
20 pulled away.
21 BY MR. DUBEAU:
22 Well, I think we've got a hell of an emergency
23 right here.
24 BY MR. BOGAN:
25 I agree that it's important, and I want to get

00098

01 this done as quickly as possible.

02 BY MR. DUBEAU:

03 I know, but let's not finagle with it. Let's
04 get to the point.

05 (OFF THE RECORD)

06 (BREAK IN MEETING)

07 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

08 Okay. I'd like to regroup for a few more
09 minutes and hopefully wrap up. I'd like to ask the
10 Board if there is new business they would like to
11 discuss briefly at this time above and beyond what
12 we've already talked about this evening?

13 Mr. Osborne.

14 BY MR. OSBORNE:

15 I'd like to bring up something that was brought
16 up at the last meeting that Mr. Bogan you promised
17 that a letter would go out to the people on Wedgewood
18 explaining to them the problems there. In talking to
19 them, they haven't received anything. I spent last
20 week talking to three or four families over there and
21 nothing was sent to them, no nothing.

22 BY MR. BOGAN:

23 And that's my fault, and I said I was going to
24 do it. Part of what I threw me off is I got put in a
25 two week computer training class. I knew I was going

00099

01 to have to do it about a week, but I didn't know I was
02 going to have to do the other week and it's thrown my
03 schedule off, and that's one of the things I've still
04 got on my to do list to get done this next week or
05 two.

06 BY MR. OSBORNE:

07 All right. Number two is on the tanks that you
08 said that were buried during World War II that the
09 Corps of Engineers would see about removing them. I
10 have found one tank that needs to be removed from Gil
11 Reilly. I've talked to some other business over there
12 that we do we need, if possible, around the old motor
13 pool, the service area that maps may be showing where
14 they might be buried.

15 BY MR. BOGAN:

16 Okay. I'll see what I can do for you. I'd say
17 what I'll need to do is get with you on that one. Are
18 you talking about an underground storage tank, fuel
19 tank?

20 BY MR. OSBORNE:

21 Right.

22 BY MR. BOGAN:

23 Because I'll need to get a point of contact from
24 you, phone number and try to come up and visit so that
25 I can do that.

00100

01 BY MR. OSBORNE:

02 Right.

03 BY MR. BOGAN:

04 There are certain criteria when we can and
05 cannot remove the tanks.

06 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

07 Any other questions or comments?

08 BY DR. LOWRY:

09 Yeah, I have one.

10 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

11 Okay.

12 BY DR. LOWRY:

13 I'm a little -- like I say, I'm a little more
14 familiar with all of this than most of you, and I

15 would like to thank Wayne Bogan and all the work that
16 he has done. I think Wayne has gone out ahead of
17 himself when he didn't have to. He's let some plans
18 of work be written and contractors visit places before
19 the money was allotted.

20 I really think we're two or three months ahead
21 of time from where we would have been had another
22 project manager been on the job, and Wayne is the
23 third one I've had to deal with. The others were
24 good, but I think you're great, and I really do
25 appreciate your getting us this far this fast and I

00101

01 hope that we can get the rest of the way this far -- I
02 mean, this fast, because he really has busted his
03 butt, and he has gone himself in many, many ways that
04 he didn't have to. Wayne, I really appreciate it and
05 I'm thankful.

06 BY MR. BOGAN:

07 Thank you, sir.

08 BY MR. HAMER:

09 I had a couple of notes from the last meeting,
10 also, that I understood you were going to try to check
11 into. There was some question, as I recall, and I
12 wrote down here about checking the liability of
13 homeowners. Does anyone here remember that being
14 brought up?

15 BY MR. BOGAN:

16 Okay. Yeah, I think I know what you're talking
17 about. There was a question on liability as to one of
18 our crews is working on there, what is the liability
19 of the landowner for that crew.

20 What I did is when I got back -- each time I go
21 back, I write what is called a Trip Report, a memo
22 detailing what's happened, and I put in there as an
23 action item for our legal folks to give me an opinion
24 on that, and I forgot to double check on it and they
25 haven't given me anything yet, so I'll check on that

00102

01 one, again.

02 BY MR. HAMER:

03 The other thing, too, there was, I think, that
04 you were going to check into Mr. Johnson and his
05 family and his father, in particular, who died on
06 this. Did you -- have you consulted with ---

07 BY MR. THOMPSON:

08 I can discuss that, if I may?

09 BY MR. HAMER:

10 Please.

11 BY MR. THOMPSON:

12 Sanford looked into it.

13 BY MR. HAMER:

14 Okay.

15 BY MR. THOMPSON:

16 And from what I understand, Mr. Johnson, there
17 is no head stone, if I'm not mistaken, on your
18 father's grave; is that correct?

19 BY MR. JOHNSON:

20 Right.

21 BY MR. THOMPSON:

22 And Sanford has made a recommendation that this
23 Board take up a collection to buy a head stone for his
24 grave, Mr. Johnson's father's grave.

25 BY MR. JOHNSON:

00103

01 Well, I -- I really appreciate that and thank

02 you all for doing that, but it's not you all's place
03 to do that, but the government, it is responsible for
04 this. When I had my property, the insurance told me
05 if anybody gets hurt on my property or whatever, I was
06 responsible for it, and I feel like the government is
07 able to pay fight a war, they ought to have something
08 to lean up the bombs and whatever, rifles and tanks
09 that they left out there, and I feel like it's their
10 responsibility. That is not you all's responsibility.
11 Now they've got all this money coming in here, and
12 this is not a whole big area. If somebody comes in
13 here and can go and work like we have to work on our
14 jobs, it shouldn't take from now and forever to clean
15 this.

16 Now we were just rolled right over in '45, but
17 now we're not going to sit back this time and be
18 rolled over this second time.

19 BY MS. JOHNSON:

20 Something needs to be done, because my dad had
21 to start working right after this happened to his
22 father, and he did not have any -- he was not able to
23 get a education or go to college or anything because
24 he had to work right after his father got killed. He
25 had to start working and he's been working ever since,

00104

01 so I feel like he should be compensated by the
02 government.

03 BY MR. ROBERT JOHNSON:

04 That's including everybody in his family. I'm
05 also -- I am the baby. I had to quit school at 14
06 myself to go to work because my mother passed in '49,
07 and there was no one to take us, so, me, I had to go
08 to work, and I didn't finish school, so ---

09 BY MR. JOHNSON:

10 They had no business leaving people out there,
11 because they tell me that they was out there shooting
12 that morning and then had these people out there that
13 evening.

14 BY MR. ROBERT JOHNSON:

15 Working.

16 BY MR. JOHNSON:

17 Now if that place wasn't safe, they shouldn't
18 have had them out there. And another thing, this
19 gentleman back here says these things have been laying
20 there for 50 years. You all would be better off to
21 just put the money in the bank and then just wait
22 until somebody else gets killed and do them like they
23 do us, roll on over them.

24 BY MR. ROBERT JOHNSON:

25 You know, like, we're not here begging.

00105

01 Everybody has got their own homes. Everybody is
02 working. All this happened back then and nothing was
03 done about it. Like my brother said, we appreciate
04 you all wanting to take up a collection for the
05 head stone on the grave. That's very nice, but we
06 think that the government is responsible for that,
07 because it was their equipment what caused his life;
08 and prior to that, we had a sister pass, prior to that
09 and from that, and then our mother didn't live long
10 from that.

11 BY MR. THOMPSON:

12 I'm sure you understand that we have no control
13 over the government.

14 BY MR. ROBERT JOHNSON:

15 Yeah. Yeah, I know. We don't have nothing
16 against you all, but everything you all were saying,
17 talking about taking up a collection for the
18 head stone, that will be real nice, very nice, and we
19 do appreciate that.

20 BY MR. JOHNSON:

21 Yes, we do.

22 BY MR. ROBERT JOHNSON:

23 But it's not you all's place.

24 BY MR. JOHNSON:

25 Right.

00106

01 BY MR. ROBERT JOHNSON:

02 And I feel like that if they've got money to
03 clean up horse trails that we've done dug bullets and
04 things out of down there trying to make a living, and
05 now they're willing to come in here and spend that
06 much money now and then just going to roll right on
07 over us again, I don't think that's right. And, plus,
08 our taxpayers is going to help pay for this, and we
09 get nothing out of it and lost the most.

10 BY MR. THOMPSON:

11 Clary, will you pass that on to Sanford?

12 BY MR. CLARY SMITH:

13 Yeah.

14 BY MR. THOMPSON:

15 Okay.

16 BY MR. BOGAN:

17 One thing that I did want to mention on that,
18 and I mentioned this to both Mr. Johnsons during the
19 break is that also in that Trip Report where I asked
20 the question about liability, I also put a question in
21 there as to what we could do for the Johnson family,
22 and I haven't heard anything back on it, so I'll do
23 the same thing again. I was talking to them about
24 some avenues that they might be able to do to help me
25 out to get some things moving, so hopefully I'll get

00107

01 some -- something in an answer for them.

02 BY MR. HAMER:

03 Something in the next meeting on that.

04 BY MR. JOHNSON:

05 Thank you.

06 BY MR. THOMPSON:

07 Good.

08 BY MR. JOHNSON:

09 Thank you.

10 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

11 Is there anything else this evening?

12 (NO RESPONSE)

13 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

14 All right. Moving on then to our agenda for the
15 May meeting. We will meet on the 14th, the same
16 place, same time. Wayne will not be here. We'll have
17 a representative.

18 BY MR. BOGAN:

19 I'll have somebody here from our office, and
20 hopefully somebody from Huntsville, the technical
21 side, to answer your questions. It will probably be
22 Lincoln Blake. I've got to double check with him.
23 Lincoln and I are both working on this. I work for
24 Lincoln, but hopefully someone from Huntsville who can
25 answer the technical questions since Lincoln is not in

00108

01 it every day, and he'll be here also.

02 BY MR. THOMPSON:

03 Wayne, can I go back just one second on
04 something?

05 BY MR. BOGAN:

06 Yes, sir.

07 BY MR. THOMPSON:

08 I think that maybe -- I may have said this
09 earlier in the meeting that we should send a letter to
10 Bob Inglis and Strom and all those thanking them for
11 what they've done so far for us but not to stop right
12 there.

13 We need more money and we need it soon. Now
14 these are only taking care of four of the areas that
15 we've talked about -- well, they're only taking care
16 of four areas. What's going to happen July 1st, the
17 new fiscal year? We need more money now, so I think
18 that we should continue in a letter to them thanking
19 them, but, yet, do not give up on it. We need the
20 money right now.

21 BY DR. LOWRY:

22 Put a ---

23 BY MR. THOMPSON:

24 I make a motion that we do it. Bob Inglis is
25 working for that, because he said that July 1st we

00109

01 will get more money.

02 BY DR. LOWRY:

03 I second it.

04 BY MR. BOGAN:

05 That's however you want to do that. So do you
06 want to prepare a resolution like the last time?

07 BY MR. THOMPSON:

08 I really don't think we need a resolution. I
09 think a thank you letter to them, because they really
10 went to work for us up there in Washington, and they
11 got the \$7.5 Million for this project that we wouldn't
12 have had during this fiscal year, and they're willing
13 to go back to work again on July 1st the next fiscal
14 year to get us more money. We do need -- what do we
15 need? And that was the other part of it, how much are
16 we going to need?

17 BY MR. BOGAN:

18 The \$7.5 Million covers Area 7, 1B, 3, 2 and
19 A-39. We're still missing the money and the
20 authorization to do Dr. Lowry's property. The current
21 estimate for the buy back on his is \$1.2 Million, I
22 believe.

23 Now whether -- there are still some legal issues
24 on that. I talked to Dr. Lowry about whether they
25 want to do that at the cleanup. We're still trying to

00110

01 push for the buy back to help out Dr. Lowry. That's
02 above and beyond my level. I did call this afternoon
03 to double check again to see if anything else was on
04 that.

05 So the only estimates that you can look at as
06 far as money is what is already in the EE/CA for the
07 alternatives for Dr. Lowry's property.

08 From what I understand, money is already being
09 put aside for us to start the next EE/CA on all these
10 additional areas for the Supplemental Archive Search
11 Report. That should be no problem, and we'll have to
12 wait on the next EE/CA before we can get a -- to have
13 an estimate on how much money we're going to need to
14 spend for the removal actions and anything that comes

15 from that.
16 BY MR. OSBORNE:
17 What about 00U3?
18 BY MR. BOGAN:
19 Excuse me?
20 BY MR. OSBORNE:
21 What about U3?
22 BY MR. BOGAN:
23 U3 is included in that \$7.5 Million.
24 BY MR. OSBORNE:
25 Oh, is it?

00111

01 BY MR. BOGAN:
02 Yes, sir. So the motion, and I'll bring up this
03 for the discussion, that is that the Board proposes a
04 memo or a letter be sent to the congressional
05 representatives thanking them for their help and
06 urging them to continue to help us or help the Corps
07 of Engineers in any funding for any future work. I
08 guess somebody will have to work with Zapata and make
09 sure that the letter is drafted the way you want it.
10 BY MR. THOMPSON:
11 I think the whole committee should see it.
12 BY MR. BOGAN:
13 All right. We can probably do the same thing we
14 did with the last resolution where it was mailed out
15 to you and faxed to you, and get that drafted up into
16 the language that you want.
17 Any discussion on that one?
18 (NO RESPONSE)
19 BY MR. BOGAN:
20 One thing that David Mullinax wanted me to read
21 is a second page of a memo from Ernest Hollings to his
22 father, George Mullinax, who is a Board member also,
23 and I'll -- I'll just read it right quick.
24 It says, "Total funding for DERP FUDS," Defense
25 Environmental Restoration Program for Formerly Used

00112

01 Defense Sites, "was reduced last year after having
02 been targeted by the new majority in the House of
03 Representatives. Further cuts in this area in '97
04 directly impact Camp Croft. You may want to ensure
05 that the congressman from your district is aware of
06 the impact of such cuts on his own district. I will
07 do all that I can to keep this on track. With
08 kindness regards, I am, sincerely, Ernest Hollings."
09 BY MR. THOMPSON:
10 What's the date on that?
11 BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:
12 March the 21st.
13 BY MR. THOMPSON:
14 March the 21st?
15 BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:
16 Right.
17 BY MR. BOGAN:
18 Thank you, sir. Any further discussions on the
19 motion? I believe we had a second on that one.
20 BY DR. LOWRY:
21 Right.
22 BY MR. BOGAN:
23 Now any further discussion?
24 BY MR. HAYES:
25 Well, are you talking about waiting another

00113

01 month before you mail it out?

02 BY MR. BOGAN:

03 No, I'm talking about doing just like we did
04 with the resolution. I'm assuming this is what you
05 want. Tell me if you want something different.

06 Whereas after the motion is passed, if it
07 passes, then someone -- if someone could help Suzy out
08 right tonight as to -- if you could help Suzy out as
09 to how you want the general wording, she can prepare
10 something, send it out to everyone again, fax,
11 letters, and let you review it, make any changes that
12 need to be made, send it back to her, and then once
13 it's reviewed -- we try to do it less than two -- no
14 more than two times going back and forth, and then she
15 can send out the final letter to the congressional
16 representatives.

17 Is there any discussion on that?

18 (NO RESPONSE)

19 BY MR. BOGAN:

20 All those in favor of the motion say, "I."

21 (SEVERAL RESPOND)

22 BY MR. BOGAN:

23 All those opposed, "Nay."

24 (NO RESPONSE)

25 BY MR. BOGAN:

00114

01 The motion passes. Mr. Thompson, if you could
02 get with Suzy and help her.

03 BY MR. THOMPSON:

04 Should we put an amount of money in that?

05 BY DR. LOWRY:

06 Whatever amount is necessary.

07 BY MR. BOGAN:

08 I can't tell you.

09 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

10 Okay. Any other questions or comments for this
11 evening?

12 (NO RESPONSE)

13 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

14 Then this meeting is ---

15 BY MR. OSBORNE:

16 I make a motion that we adjourn.

17 BY DR. LOWRY:

18 Second.

19 BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:

20 Second.

21 BY MR. BOGAN:

22 Have a good week and a good month.

23 (MEETING CONCLUDED AT 9:10 P.M.)

00115

01 STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA)
01) CERTIFICATE
02 COUNTY OF SPARTANBURG)
02)
03)
04)

05 This is to certify that the within meeting was
06 taken on the 9th day of April, 1996;

07 That the foregoing is an accurate transcript of
08 the meeting;

09 That copies of all exhibits, if any, entered
10 herein are made a part of this record;

11 That the undersigned court reporter, a Notary
12 Public for the State of South Carolina, is not an
13 employee or relative of any of the parties, counsel or
14 witness and is in no manner interested in the outcome

15 of this action.

16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my Hand
17 and Seal at Spartanburg, South Carolina, this 22nd day
18 of April, 1996.

19

19

20

20

21

21

22

Notary Public for South Carolina
Commission Expires: 8/26/97

22

23

23

24

24

25 (SEAL)