

**CAMP CROFT RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING**

\*\*\*\*\*

PLACE: SC School for the Deaf and the Blind  
Swearingen Conference Center

DATE: Tuesday, March 30, 2004

TIME: 7:00 p.m. to 8:15 p.m.

**PRESENTATIONS**

**GIVEN BY:**

Ron Nesbit  
US Army Corps of Engineers  
Charleston District

Suzy Cantor-McKinney  
Zapata Engineering, P.A.  
1100 Kenilworth Avenue, Suite 104  
Charlotte, North Carolina 28204

**BOARD MEMBERS**

**PRESENT:**

Gary Hayes, Chair  
William Littlejohn  
Martha Moore  
David Mullinax  
George Mullinax

**BOARD MEMBERS**

**NOT PRESENT:**

W. Brownlee Lowry  
Robert Wootton

**REPORTED BY:**

Sandy Satterwhite Reporting  
P.O. Box 742  
Roebuck, South Carolina 29376  
(864) 574-1455

INDEX

Welcome by Gary Hayes. . . . . 3

Elect a RAB Chair . . . . . 3

Review of By-Laws . . . . . 8

Project Funding Status. . . . . 10

Next Phase of Work. . . . . 12

Old Business . . . . . 13

New Business. . . . . 31

Closing Remarks. . . . . 53

Certificate of Reporter. . . . . 54

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. I believe we have a quorum for the board. My name is Gary Hayes. I'd like to call this meeting to order.

I would like to thank all of you for coming out tonight. It's a little messy outside, but it looks like we have a few more people than we had at the last meeting. Well, the last meeting was called off because of the weather, so this is the follow-up meeting from that last meeting.

Everyone who wants to have something to say tonight, I want to remind you to speak clearly. Please keep your conversations germane to the subject at hand. So the first line of business tonight we need to elect a RAB chair for the board. We can now ask for volunteers or nominations, and Suzy can have ballots where we can elect a new board member. Does anybody have any volunteers or nominations?

(NO RESPONSE)

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Such decisiveness.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. Suzy.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

I can distribute - does anyone adamantly not want to serve as chair for the upcoming year.

(A FEW RESPOND)

BY MR. NESBIT:

So it's between three people or two.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Well, and my thoughts are, whether this is official or not, Gary, you've actually served as chair for the past couple of years, so per the current by-laws, ---

BY MR. HAYES:

Yeah.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

It's a two-year - it's single year terms up to two years.

BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:

We need to change the by-laws.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

We're going to change them probably after this election.

BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:

Yeah. Right.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

David, are you interested in serving for the upcoming year?

BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

William?

BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

You do it. You can take it.

BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

Why don't you step up?

BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

I can't.

BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

I'll do it one more time.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Okay.

BY MR. HAYES:

Want to put David on nomination?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

If there were no other volunteers ---

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay.

I'll nominate David.

BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

Second.

BY MR. HAYES:

In favor?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

He needs to - he needs to accept and second, I think.

BY MR. HAYES:

His pen exploded on his hand.

BY MR. NESBIT:

That's how much he wanted it.

BY MR. LOU HOSKYNS:

Can you entertain a motion for the same office that he's re-elected  
in?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

The by-laws are currently written that the chair is elected every year  
on an annual basis, and the terms are limited to how many consecutive chair.

BY MR. LOU HOSKYNS:

And then in the absence of that term be filled, does the vice chairman -- where does he stand?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Well, we don't have a vice chair for this small of a group.

BY MR. LOU HOSKYNS:

We don't have a vice chair. All we have is the chairman and all of the board members.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Correct.

BY MR. LOU HOSKYNS:

What about longevity on the board and the members present?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

The by-laws are not written specifically as who automatically would be moved in.

BY MR. HAYES:

And we're - it's part of our agenda tonight to talk about the by-laws and maybe rewrite the by-laws, and we're in discussion about that now.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

On elections, do you accept the nomination?

BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

Yes, ma'am, I do.

BY MR. HAYES:

So that might be something we will discuss in the by-laws. David works for the Air Force over at Donaldson Center, so sometimes they're

very busy over there and he can't make it.

We're - so that might be something we need to address in the by-laws that weren't thought of before.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

And in the past, if a chair has been absent, he has been able to delegate someone to act in his absence.

BY MR. LOU HOSKYNS:

Well, in the absence thereof, until the by-laws are rewritten, unless everybody is going to vote on it tonight and reading and all of that, why can't you appoint a temporary chairman until such a revision is made?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

It's purely up to the board. It's - you all have served on this board for year after year, so as informal or as comfortable as you want to be with a temporary or David accepting for the year term.

BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

Well, you've got a motion and a second on the floor, so you have to address that first, and then I think before you can do a temporary, you need - you're probably going to have to revise the by-laws, and it's probably going to be another year by the rules before we can make any changes.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. So we've got a motion on the floor for David to be the next chair and it's been seconded. Anybody oppose?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Any discussion?

BY MR. HAYES:

Any discussion on the matter?

(NO RESPONSE)

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. All in favor?

(BOARD MEMBERS RESPOND)

BY MR. HAYES:

All oppose?

(NO RESPONSE)

BY MR. HAYES:

It looks like David is the next chairman of the board.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Congratulations.

BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

Round two.

BY MR. HAYES:

David is a former - former chair, so he's not new to this, so I want to thank David for everything he's done in the past.

Okay. Now the part of our agenda is to review the by-laws.

What we've discussed so far about the revision of the by-laws is that all the board members will take their copies of the by-laws and mark them up as to what they think needs to be revised, and we will supply our revisions to Suzy within a month. So by the first of May of this year we will supply Suzy our revisions so that Suzy can consolidate the by-laws for review at our next meeting and for discussions and approval at the next meeting. Is that okay with everybody on the board? Are there any discussions about

this?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

And, in general, just for background, what's precipitated that is not dissimilar from your comment a few minutes ago is we've had the same by-laws for the many years that the RAB has been operating. The schedules tend to slide on how frequently we're able to meet, and some of the original, I think, items in the by-laws probably just now need to be revisited as to their applicability, and so it's a good opportunity with the new board to take a look at those.

BY MR. HAYES:

Those - there are copies of the by-laws available at the library, right?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

The by-laws should be in your notebooks.

BY MR. HAYES:

All the board members have the by-laws.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Yes, sir, and if you don't have them, give me a buzz and I'll send those out to you.

BY MR. HAYES:

I was wondering if anybody in the public had any comment about the by-laws.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

The original by-laws should be in the ---

BY MR. HAYES:

In the library.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

--- library.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. All right. Is there any discussing - more discussion on the matter about the by-laws?

(NO RESPONSE)

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. We'll put that for new business at the next meeting for old business.

And now on the agenda we're going to talk about the project funding status. I'll turn it over to the Army Corps of Engineers, Mr. Ron Nesbit.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Good morning - good morning - it's been long. It's good evening now for sure. I'm Ron Nesbit. I'm the project manager from Charleston District. I see a lot of new faces, and I want to, again, welcome -- say welcome to you for being here tonight. I'm glad you could come.

I just want to give you a brief update on what's happening towards funding for the Camp Croft FUDS project here. We have, as many of you know, been able to award a contract to do work at the Wedgewood Subdivision in the near future for this coming year or sometime once we get a schedule.

Next year, which would be FY '05, I do not have what the funding is projected to be yet for this coming year; but based on the budget as we're seeing based on all the things that's going on with the US currently, I do not have a lot of hopes that we are going to have any major influx of funding for

the project right at hand.

At our next meeting I do hope to be in a position where I will be able to tell you what we hope to get for the upcoming year.

Okay. In talking about the work that we're planning in the near future, it has not been decided schedule wise when we will begin work at the Wedgewood Subdivision for the removal activities scheduled there. However, we are already in the process of making coordination with property owners and making certain that they understand the process that we will be going through during the actual removal activities in terms of whether or not the individuals - or not whether or not but the fact that they would not be able to stay in their homes during the actual removal activities because of safety requirements and so on.

We've begun having meetings with those individuals that will be impacted already. In fact, we had meetings with them over the weekend, or a few folks that we were able to contact. We've had people go door to door, talk to individuals. Some we have been actually in contact with and others we haven't.

Suzy and John was here last night for a meeting to, once again, talk with those that were not able to be present for the times when they went around to try to talk to folks.

The opportunity for that to happen still exists in terms of providing information, and we'll make every effort to make certain that everyone that's going to be impacted have an opportunity to ask questions; and we will give them all the information that they desire and make certain that they're aware and know that we're trying to make certain that everything is taken

care of.

Having talked about that, I would like Dan to talk a little next about the phase of work of what, in fact, we will be doing during the time when construction actually begins.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

Thank you.

My name is Dan Plugge. I'm the technical manager from Huntsville, our Corps of Engineers' office there. Basically, the work we're going to be doing in the Wedgewood Subdivision involves three areas. They're identified as Grid 17, Grid 40 and Grid 35-P4.

Basically, a few years ago we started doing some work in there, and we ran into a situation where we encountered some items that we weren't expecting, so we had to step back and redo our explosive safety submission, which is submitted to several agencies other than the Corps. We recently got approval of that. So once we start the work there, as Ron mentioned, we're going to have to evacuate the residents during the daytime. So - but what we're going to do is clear these pits. There's several pits where things were buried, so we're going to do a removal in those pits, and then we're going to use geophysical mapping to map those grids again to make sure that we did find everything during our previous investigation, as well as this one, and make sure that those areas are completely clear and there will be no problems there.

So the first thing we're going to be doing is a geophysical test plot. Basically, it's to - we're going to go out and test our geophysical equipment to make sure that we know the responses that it makes when it encounters

certain items in the ground, and that way we can match them up with the signatures and the grids of items that we find; and then, like I said, we'll dig the items in those pits and anything else that happens to be in those grids after the geophysical mapping is done, and then we'll be assured that those areas are clear; and then, as Ron mentioned, the next thing is to wait on funding issues.

That's about all that we'll be doing in the last few months.

BY MR. HAYES:

All right. We appreciate that.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Before we move on to old business, as I passed the sign-in sheet, request that everybody please make sure they sign in this evening, and there's an agenda at the front; and as we get into any discussions or questions, we request that you state your name clearly for Sandy who is taking our transcripts. Thanks.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. As far as old business, we have no specific agenda that will be brought up tonight. Suzy worked with Ron in between meetings, and they highlighted certain things that have been brought up at past meetings, and I believe Ron has a report as to hitting on some of these topics, and we'll discuss them at this time.

BY MR. NESBIT:

In our last meeting under the old business category there were a number of issues that were raised. One such issue was questions to potential violation of a member sitting on the board. Mr. Osborne was to

provide the Corps a copy from the ethics book of South Carolina constitution for evaluation. I have not received anything. I don't know whether you have sent it to someone or not.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

No, I haven't.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Okay.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

But I've got a few words to say if you're through.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Since that hasn't happened, we consider that issue closed at this point.

During the last meeting there was discussion about a follow-up with the Mayor of Pacolet. I did, in fact, call the Mayor of Pacolet. We had spoke about the property in that immediate area that they were concerned about. Based upon property or work that we were anticipating, did not at that time seem to be an impact on Pacolet, and we concluded that meeting with the understanding that should we find or have any indication that there is something that's going to impact that area, that we would be in communication with her. So that's been closed.

During the last meeting Mr. Zimmerman discussed about property that was being auctioned.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yes, sir.

BY MR. NESBIT:

And development around the - I guess it's Cowford Bridge area?

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Cowford Bridge Road.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Cowford Bridge Road.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Cowford Bridge Road.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yes, sir.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Yeah.

Mr. Hinely, our real estate person, he did some investigations, some follow-up investigation on that, and I'll let him talk about that briefly, if he would.

BY MR. HINELY:

Well, right now the tax records haven't been updated on the old maps to show the exact breakup, but, yeah, it has been developed or - or subdivided. There are a few homes back in there, but that was never an area that we've been asked to go into from a real estate standpoint to search properties.

BY DR. MCCLURE:

You were prohibited back then to go in there.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Exactly.

BY DR. MCCLURE:

Can't be ---

BY MR. HINELY:

Well, he's never been asked to go that far back in there.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. We need - we need ---

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

We need your name.

BY MR. HAYES:

--- everyone talking to please state their name.

BY MR. HINELY:

I'm John Hinely, Corps of Engineers out of Savannah.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Robin Zimmerman, just a regular guy.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Well, what we're attempting to do based on follow-up to your comments at the last meeting is to try to identify, as those parcels are being sold, who owns those parcels so we can include them on the mailing list. That's what we had discussed, so that's what John is researching so that they can be personally informed as those parcels are being sold.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

That area was prime battalion covered removal area, removal area one, and where they had those twelve 105s right below Mr. Coleman's house here, that was a heavy impact area, and it was also heavy impact area. As I say, it was five millimeter, five houser and 57 millimeter bridge, and I guarantee you eventually you're going to find some stuff down there. That area has

been covered up all these years with trees nature has brought, you know -- and they're just now finally getting where they're gridding that off.

BY DR. MCCLURE:

Yeah.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Let me ---

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

I've been told they found stuff and people who are not trained have been moving it out. I mean, whoever they were. I'm not trying to make anybody look bad or anything, but when I saw that last summer, I said, "My God, they're going to get some stuff down there."

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Let me ask Rick Renna, have you had any responses or any calls from that area during development?

BY MR. RENNA:

No. Six years ago I went out to that area right near the power lines off of Cowford Bridge Road, and we had two 2.3-inch rockets. That is the only call we've had out there and that was six years ago.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Well, that particular area, as far as the EE/CAs that were done, and we've done two of them, and, like you said, we probably were not given authority to be on that property, so we would not have any record of any investigation having been done in that area. Now since, in fact, there are questions about that, and if, in fact, someone has got some specific information that address the issue there and following on information and

property that we are yet to investigate, that would become one of those such areas that we would probably re-look at at a later date; but a part of the current EE/CA, that's not included at the present time. Okay.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yes, sir.

BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

Ron.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Yes.

BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

I just noticed in the minutes also that Mr. Zimmerman wants us to hear from three former military soldiers that will testify to a location.

Was that information turned in to you, Suzy?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

That was next on his list.

BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

Oh, I'm sorry.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

You're very good, Bubba.

BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

Okay.

BY MR. NESBIT:

That's good. Well, you already asked the question. Do you - did you turn in that information to anyone or anyone else?

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Not yet, because I wanted to come to this meeting to see what was going to happen. I figured that was -- there's more than three now that will testify that they personally fired high explosive rounds in that area.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Well, we're requesting ---

BY MR. HAYES:

In what area, roughly?

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

The Cowford Bridge Road area down there, Gary, between the Fairforest Creek and that triangle of land. I've given Suzy a map, a real detailed map, between what is called Cowford Bridge Road and that land title company, that pulpwood company used to have back up in there where Jim Smith auctioneers now developing some of that land off. I mean that was where they did battalion company exercise, night training attacks, anti-tank training, and these are basically the gist of it.

BY MR. HAYES:

I just want to make sure for the record there's no question as to what property you're talking about.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

On the east side of Fairforest Creek.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Or river or whatever they call it now. Of course you've got Milliken's Hunt Club right up above there, so that's, you know, nobody will ever be on

that property or in that area.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Okay. Thank you.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yes, sir.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Last item is Mr. Foley, Plylar and myself had agreed to schedule a time when we could discuss land use changes and so on. That hasn't happened yet, but we need to get that done as soon as we possibly can. Plylar isn't here tonight, but between the three of us we'll make that happen soon. Okay.

That's all I have.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

I'd like to follow on - one moment here, please -- for old business. Actually, Mr. Osborne had raised questions in the last couple of meetings regarding Site 80 and Kelsey Creek and Site 18 in Pacolet and to clarify why nothing has been done in these areas.

Going back to the EE/CA documents, these were actually sample grids as part of our site investigation and characterization. In the Phase I EE/CA, which was completed in 1995, Grid 80 is actually a grid that was down near the park office, the horse trails, the playground and the campground. Sixty millimeter mortars were found. Those were live items. That area was - what is now 00U7, it underwent the time critical removal action and subsequent removal actions, so there was a response per that finding in that sample area.

Grid 18, which I believe is the Kelsey Creek in the original EE/CA is

part of what's called OOU1A, there were 127 digs as part of the sampling effort, 37 millimeter and 57 millimeter inert items were found, no live items. That area was designated for no further action as part of that EE/CA, so hence no follow-up because there were no live items.

We do realize that the grenade was found in Kelsey Creek subsequent to that characterization. There's no guarantee that everything is always going to be found and that that warrants a full blown removal action, but, again, just to clarify what the sample grid is what was found and what the ultimate determination was for further action in a specific area.

In the Phase II EE/CA, which was done in the 1998 timeframe, there were several grids around Pacolet where samples were collected and investigated not associated with the specific ordnance operable unit individual either 50 by 50 or a hundred foot by hundred foot grids based upon concerns and indications that these were munition dump sites. It was Grid 18-1, 18-2, 18-3, Grid 16-1 and Grid 17-1. The findings from all of the investigations in those areas were magnetic rocks, nails, wire, household debris. There was no ordnance scrap or ordnance related items found in those specific sample areas.

So I wanted to bring that to closure because I know there's been some - some concerns about what the documents in the library say about these samples and what was found and what the ultimate recommendations are for the risk minimumization, and I have both copies of the EE/CAs here tonight, so if anyone would like to look at those. So I did want to try to bring that to closure and clarification.

So that's I think all we had to respond to items that were raised

during the last meeting. So any other old ---

BY MR. HAYES:

Thank you, Suzy.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

--- business.

BY MR. HAYES:

What I would like, since there's been several tracts of land that have been brought up or there's scenarios that things might be on certain properties or there's a question whether there are or not ordnance on properties, I'd like to ask Suzy or Ron to just publicly state how you submit questions to the RAB as to how you would get your property on a list for removal or inspection if you want it to be on the list.

Who would be ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

Go ahead.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

I'll start in general terms, and then let the Corps of Engineers clarify. Again, the EE/CAs, and there have been two EE/CAs that were conducted at Camp Croft, are the site characterizations trying to determine what is the extent of ordnance contamination and what is the risk that it presents remaining in the ground based upon the current and anticipated land uses; and as a part of that, where the sample grids are placed is based upon anecdotal information that was provided during those investigations.

An archive search report - I'll back up a step - archive search report was prepared by the Corps of Engineers where they interviewed former

military personnel who trained out here. They went through the national archives, researched as much of the operations of the camp, put together maps that had the range spans, where were the firing points, what munitions were used out here, what ordnance was used.

That historical survey, archive search report then becomes kind of the stepping stone for the site characterization; and the EE/CA, and the two that have been conducted, the sample grids where we went out and characterized were placed based upon the range spans. If it was 105 millimeter artillery or projectile firing range - see, I'm getting turned around on my munitions, there were grids placed in those areas to determine what the extent of contamination was, were the live ordnance still remaining; so these grids are placed all over the former camp with respect to interviews, with respect to historical archives, with respect to the range spans.

Sampling goes out and takes place.

The data are collected and analyzed, and then based upon what the land use is and how acceptable, how accessible the areas are, what are the risks if ordnance remains out there? If it's in the middle of the woods, if it's restricted access, that piece of ordnance isn't going to present a risk to anyone. No one is likely to uncover it.

If it's in a neighborhood, if it's in an area going to be developed, then your potential risks of exposure and potential issue is elevated, and the recommendations in these documents are removal actions.

So that is kind of a summary. So if during our investigations we even find some ordnance scrap or inert ordnance, it's not a high concentration, it's

not live, that area may not be deemed for an immediate removal response.

Again, we have always got to remember there's no guarantee that even with the removal you're going to find 100 percent of everything that's out there, and the range spans and the historic use of this property doesn't account for individuals over the years picking up items and moving it to another place that would never have otherwise been documented that would have ordnance, and we find that, too, and I know the bomb squad from the Sheriff's Department responds to items that you wouldn't anticipate being found in certain - certain areas.

So that's - that's how you characterize a site, how you sample a site, how you - how you identify what the risks are, and then put in place response actions. How far you get in your response actions is dependent also upon prioritization and funding levels. Now how do you get, you know, if there's land use changes, how does new property kind of come into play for assessment and evaluation and consideration, I think the Corps is probably in better ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

Sure.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

--- position to respond to that.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Essentially what happens is as a part, we take a lot of information from the archive search report as to particular areas that project how the property was used essentially.

Assuming that there were areas that had been used as a firing range

or whatever and there is property and people are on that property, then, of course, it would be investigated a lot more than a - let's say a tree farm or a forest where people are not habitually being exposed to danger.

To take it a step further, if there are information that someone has about a particular area of things that they have found, a number of items have been found or, for example, the Sheriff's Department or your local Police Department have found or had a lot of calls in a particular area of finding debris of some type, ordnance and so on, then that goes on to a list that we maintain to potentially go back and re-look at a later date since we still have sites as a part of the current EE/CA that we still have not been able to get to yet.

The ones that we are moving forward on now are the areas and sites that have already been identified that we are programming to do some type of restoration to that property.

Now having said that, of course, and you have heard it many, many times before, funding is our - is our delaying effort, I would say, that's causing us to move as slowly as we have been in the past and still continue to move slowly. For property that others feel that have got some serious concerns about, and we do go back and do re-evaluation of the property, we have done so on this site before because of some serious concerns of the local community, we would put that on the list, and depending upon the seriousness of the nature, might even require that we move faster than we might probably would have, but there are a lot of things that goes into making that determination. It isn't just you tell us that it's this place. We will have some investigation done.

Okay.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. If - if anybody has ordnance or knows where ordnance is, wanders across ordnance, we just want to make sure the public knows do not handle any ordnance or ordnance related scrap. Call 911 immediately and the Sheriff's Department will handle whatever you find. Report it to them.

Also, if you want to report something you can call the United States Army Engineering and Support Center in Huntsville. Their number is 256-895-1692; or the Army Corps of Engineers, Charleston District, 843-329-8123; or Zapata Engineering. Their toll free number is 888-242-8862.

BY MR. NESBIT:

That's for information only.

BY MR. HAYES:

Yeah.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Okay. Call 911. That's where you call.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Right.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Because I'm not coming from Charleston and he's not coming from Alabama, and so on, to come to try to remove ordnance. That's not the way it's supposed to work. Your immediate folks here, and believe me, they've been very responsive to anything that has been found or any questions or concern within the community here about ordnance.

If you want to let us know about it, if you call the Sheriff's

Department, believe me, we know about it because they do inform us about everything they find and where they find it. We get a report as well. Okay. So that's where you make your calls to.

BY MR. HAYES:

Thank you for clearing that up.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Sure.

BY MR. HAYES:

I wasn't trying to steer them your way. That was ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

No, that's not it. I just want to be certain I want the most immediate response.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

I'll call back and let them know ---

BY MR. HAYES:

So that goes over the old business a good bit; and so does anybody have any questions on that?

BY DR. MCCLURE:

Can I make a comment again about those areas?

BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

Sir, could you ---

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Name, please.

BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

Could you state your name, please?

BY DR. MCCLURE:

I'm Don McClure.

Okay. The area that we're talking about is coming down Cowford Bridge Road goes up to the old Coleman Road that now goes into Croft Park. This area was formerly owned by Champion as game management for years. Champion Paper subsequently sold to International Paper and International Paper subsequently sold it to Jim Smith. He cut it up into lots and has essentially, you know, sold most of it off. So it's undergone a dramatic change in use from what it was. It was forestry only. Now people are gridding lots, building houses or moving into trailers.

Champion did not allow the Corps or anyone to ever investigate this property, and it's, you know, probably 1,500 acres or so that's over there that has, you know, undergone rapid development; and as one of the owners of part of that, you know, I'm concerned with everything that's happened when I learned the fact that, you know, Corps or anyone has ever investigated this area.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. Thank you, Dr. McClure. That's one reason I wanted to make sure that the public understood how, if there's accusations that something is on your property, to get your property on a list, that it might be evaluated for an evaluation at a future date.

A lot of properties have changed hands now, especially yours, in particular, and the old Fretwell property also, which was denied access when - when we were able to first start it several years ago. So we're going to run into a few things like this, because a lot of - a lot of property that was

denied access now is opening up, and the future will tell what is around those areas.

Okay.

As far as old business, I believe that kind of covers everything that was brought up.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

I don't think so. I asked you if I could speak.

BY MR. HAYES:

Harold, would you like to bring something up in the new business?

BY MR. OSBORNE:

Well, I can bring up some new business, but it concerns old business.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

However you want to use it.

BY MR. HAYES:

What type of old business?

BY MR. OSBORNE:

The Corps of Engineers keeps hollering at me for a report on all these things that I've told them about. Right?

Now I went through and I found stacks and stacks of stuff when I was on the board. I even found a copy of everything that I hollered about. There's a copy of it in the official rules and regulations that I think you guys have gotten. How come you can't go read it and know what I'm talking about?

BY MR. HAYES:

Harold, there's a - there's a process to bring things up as old business at the meetings.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

Well, we're back to the same thing again. I'm going to interrupt you right now. We're talking about what you did to me on this RAB board when they found ---

BY MR. HAYES:

Just hold it right there.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

Wait a minute. I'm not through.

BY MR. HAYES:

No, I'm stopping you. You are through.

There's processes as to what to bring up as old business at the meetings.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

Uh-huh (affirmative response).

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. You could bring it up at the last meeting and said, "I want this to be brought up as old business at the next meeting," or you can submit things into writing. You can send it to Suzy in between meetings to be brought up at the next meeting.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

No, I don't think I'm going to have to because I've already been in contact with Washington, D.C., and I was told to come down here and put in my two cents.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. Harold.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

If they didn't, then I submit everything to Washington, D.C., Corps of Engineers.

BY MR. HAYES:

We've gone over this over and over again.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

Okay. That's fine and dandy. I'm asking for each and every one of you guys to be removed from the board.

BY MR. HAYES:

Harold.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

Due to the fact that ---

BY MR. HAYES:

Please ---

BY MR. OSBORNE:

--- Kelsey Creek ---

BY MR. HAYES:

Please stop right there.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

--- has not ---

BY MR. HAYES:

You're out of order.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

--- been cleaned.

BY MR. HAYES:

You're out of order, Harold.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Kelsey Creek is in a no further action.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

And you guys are on report. Are you hearing this? Okay. Because I mean it. This time I'm not going to back down.

BY MR. HAYES:

Well, please let me go over the new business process, again. A few meetings ago I mentioned it three times. It's in the meeting minutes, and I met with people after the meetings and made sure people understood after the meetings how to bring up new business for the next meeting.

What you need to do is either bring things up now at tonight's meeting that you want to be brought up at the next meeting, or in between now and the next meeting you can send a letter to Suzy McKinney at Zapata Engineering, 1100 Kenilworth Avenue, that's K-e-n-i-l-w-o-r-t-h, Avenue, Suite 104, Charlotte, North Carolina 28204, and she will be glad to put things on the agenda for the next meeting, or we can bring up things now to be put on the agenda for the next meeting. Is there any questions on how to get things on the agenda for the next meeting?

(NO RESPONSE)

BY MR. HAYES:

Would anybody like to bring up anything for the agenda for the next meeting?

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yes.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. Robin.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Since Mr. Plugge is here, I was wondering if, you know, these areas on Wedgewood that you're going to be doing, will you have a map the next time and tell us on it so it will entail exactly the areas?

BY MR. PLUGGE:

I have a map actually, but it's not one that's blown up, but we do have a map here.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Okay.

BY MR. HAYES:

Which areas, again, please?

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

All the Wedgewood areas.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

Basically there's three grids, 35-P4. This is the Wedgewood Subdivision.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yeah.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

This is 35-P4.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Do we have a bigger - Mike, do we have a large map?

BY MR. PLUGGE:

I don't know if Mike has a bigger one or not.

And there's Grid 17, which is right in the center of the Wedgewood Subdivision surrounded by Dairy Ridge Road, and then Grid 40.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Grid 40.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

Right. Grid 40, basically, we found some smoke canisters, which are practically harmless, and the rest of the grid has been cleared. Grid 17, there were some white phosphorous hand grenades that were found there.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yeah.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

They were evidently in pits that where, I guess, the Army disposed of them at that point 60 years ago or whatever.

Anyway, so we - we weren't expecting to find those things at the time that we found them, and the safety measures were in place because we abide by certain rules.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yes, sir.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

That are submitted in an explosive safety commission, and so that document had to be revised, resubmitted and so we could deal with the procedures that have to be in place about, you know, with the fire

department standing by, because these things are a fire hazard. You know, we have to do certain procedures regarding a fragment distance. There's various types of things in the documents.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yes, sir.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

And then as far as this other grid over here, the items have been removed from that grid, but at the time we never did complete our QC, which is quality control.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yes, sir.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

So basically we're going to geophysically map that grid again.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Okay.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

And make sure there's nothing else there, because we go through a standard set of routines that we do. Our contractor goes in and geophysically maps a grid, then they remove any items that are suspect in that grid that would register on the geophysics, and then they do their quality control. They have somebody go back and double check their efforts, and then the government follows along and we double check their efforts.

So, basically, that's what's going to happen in that grid is they're going to double check and we're going to double check; and the other two grids,

we're going to clean those pits, and then we're going to geophysically map those grids again and basically double check them again.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Okay.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

So that's what we're going to be doing.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

That's just on that circle of Wedgewood is basically it?

BY MR. PLUGGE:

Yes, that's just on those three grids only at this point.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

What about the German prisoners of war that's buried there?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

We've addressed that in the last meeting.

BY MR. PLUGGE:

I'm going to have to leave that one for Suzy.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Harold, they're be there from now on.

Nobody is going to dig those up.

Four prisoners died in - of a bad flu strain. They were caught and they were captured when, Ron, those forces of North Africa surrendered, and, in fact, the names of these four prisoners are in the Pentagon at the United States Army historical files.

Years ago when I was a small boy one of those prisoner's families came. The golf course had not been developed then. They were buried eight

feet deep on the banks of what is known as Henderson Creek, that little creek that runs - very few people who know that. This family, their last name is Colt {phonetic spelling}. In fact there was an article in the *Spartanburg Herald-Journal*. You can go down - this was in April 1962. You can find out about this. They died of a bad strained flu that hit Camp Croft. It made thousands of men sick. It killed these four individual guys with pneumonia. They were buried there.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. Well, Robin, could I ask you maybe get a report together and

---

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

I'll try.

BY MR. HAYES:

And supply it at the next meeting for us.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

I'll try.

BY MR. HAYES:

We're getting a little bit off ---

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yeah, it's not going to matter ---

BY MR. HAYES:

--- the subject again, but ---

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

--- with what we're doing.

BY MR. HAYES:

Do you want to bring that up at the next meeting? That would be - be a great ---

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

I - you know, not really. It doesn't have anything to pertain about cleaning up ordnance, which is what the RAB board is about.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

There's another graveyard ---

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Let me ---

BY MR. OSBORNE:

--- that's German prisoners of war buried there on Croft Circle, too.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

That's on Friar Tuck Road, Croft Circle out there.

BY MR. HAYES:

Well, would you all like to bring that up at the next meeting?

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

I don't.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Because I mean they've rehashed that a thousand times.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Yeah.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Thank you.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

The objective is not to dig human remains, move or relocate those who have been buried. In the event - I know as a contractor, in the event that we're doing any investigations and come across any human remains, whether they're historic or whatever, we would immediately stop work and notify the authorities and not to continue on.

If there are state historic preservation office records of historic graveyards or burial areas, then, of course, those would be denoted and avoided, and I will ask the Corps, I do not believe in any of the previous investigations in Wedgewood from the prior contractors any - anything was encountered during those work efforts, so.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Just for a matter of interest, Suzy, I had a meeting this February with a state archeologist, Dr. John Leader {phonetic spelling}, and he does have a - he came across an old record of where a total of 28 Germans were buried out here in different places. So they've got that down in the state archives in Columbia.

None of the families or anybody else except this one group that ever came, you know, to attempt to recover these bodies.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

I think the objective would be to avoid and leave those undisturbed.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

But they all died I know.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

And notify the authorities.

BY MR. HAYES:

Yes, sir.

BY LIEUTENANT DYAS:

I'm Lieutenant Dyas with the Sheriff's Office, and this is to clear up a little something. If there is any remains found, we hope that somebody would call us, and we will come out and investigate that thoroughly. We have had bodies that have been buried out there on homicides, and we have recovered some of those bodies. That doesn't mean there might not be some other bodies out there.

If the remains are dug up for whatever purpose, we would come out and we would investigate it and have a police officer come out, and I know the doctor we have already talked about. I've got his number where I can call him up and he come up here and help us and assist us on that so that could be addressed.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Sure. Thank you.

BY MR. HAYES:

Thank you, sir. Dr. McClure.

BY DR. MCCLURE:

I just want to know the amount of money was approved for the Wedgewood project dollarwise. Do we know?

BY MR. NESBIT:

When you say "approved," are you talking what was allocated for this

work that we're doing right now?

BY DR. MCCLURE:

The work at Wedgewood getting ready to commence.

BY MR. NESBIT:

It was a little or close to \$400,000 for the restoration portion that we're planning to do in the near future. In the past years, past years, we used to - we were receiving something to the tune of a little over a million dollars per year. Since that time, and for the last three or four years, funding for Camp Croft has been right in the neighborhood of \$200,000 to maybe \$350,000 or so, not a whole lot to do a whole lot with; and for the State of South Carolina, to put it even more blunt, has been right in the tune for the last two years of 1.4 billion for the entire state. So you can understand why we're having the kind of slowdown that we're having. Okay.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. I see a few new faces tonight. Is there anything anybody would like to bring up in the next meeting? Anything that somebody has on their mind that - doing so now, bringing it up now will give everyone time to research and be able to respond back to you. If there's something that you think of after tonight's meeting, it will need to be submitted in writing to be brought up at the next meeting.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

One other thing, Gary, if I may, will be new business - I'll cover this next time. Very close to that Henderson Creek area I was telling you about across East Croft Circle, that's on State Park property. I'm at a loss to know has there ever been any search done in that area or will there be in

the future right back up that hill behind the quad stuff on Dairy Ridge Road. That's very close to Wedgewood, and also in that area behind JDL and between that fairway, the golf course fairway and that JDL Corporation that was the old 27<sup>th</sup> Battalion Motor Pool. I'd like some - next time, Gary, if I to submit it to Suzy, I'll do it. I'd like to know.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Well, and, Robin, what can we do is we have the maps of the areas that were a part of the last two site characterizations and their investigation and their outlines, so we can look at those, and that may answer some of your questions this evening.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Okay.

BY MR. HAYES:

Do you want the discussion next meeting about ---

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Just to see if they can - if there's been any ---

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

If they were included in the EE/CA.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Has there been any impending movement in that area to search?

BY MR. HAYES:

Whether it's been included in any EE/CAs?

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yeah. Yeah, in any EE/CAs.

BY MR. HAYES:

Yes or no.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

Yeah, right.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

And we may be able to look at that when the meeting concludes. I'll be happy to. We can look at the map together.

BY MR. HAYES:

Thank you, Mr. Zimmerman. That's how we get things on the meetings for next time; so anybody else, anything like to be brought up?

Yes, ma'am.

BY MS. HINSON:

I wasn't at the last meeting, but my name is ---

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Your name?

BY MS. HINSON:

--- Linda Hinson.

BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:

Your name?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Linda Hinson.

BY MS. HINSON:

Linda Hinson. I'm just wanting to know, I heard you say you hadn't talked to somebody about the use of some of the land, and I just wondered about trails. I'm a horseback rider, so I was just wondering if they're going to open up any new trails or anything like that?

BY MR. HAYES:

You're talking about the trails in the park that were brought up a few meetings ago?

BY MS. HINSON:

Uh-huh (affirmative response).

BY MR. NESBIT:

That's one of the questions that Mr. Foley, myself and Huntsville will be talking about potential areas that we have already cleared, as well as some that potentially might be a low, low risk factor that potentially they may be able to allow to be expanded in terms of horseback riding and other type things. So that's one of the things that we will be discussing, but I can't give you an answer to tell you yes or no at this point in time.

BY MS. HINSON:

What this comes from is across the creek there's a lot of nice trails over there that were turned over to the bikers, and nothing against any bikers, but anyway, everywhere else we ride, either bikers, walkers, horseback people, we all ride together.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Sure.

BY MS. HINSON:

I was just wondered kind of how that got turned over to the bikers, and now we can't go over there anymore.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Mr. Foley, would you like to talk about that?

BY MR. DELL:

(Comment made out of hearing of reporter).

But still ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

I know. Bruce Dell. I'm sorry.

BY MR. DELL:

I think the point is that the trails have not been opened officially by the park to anybody.

BY MS. HINSON:

But I'm wondering how they got - the bikers got across?

BY MR. DELL:

Oh, you're talking about the south side.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

That doesn't really ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

That ain't on us.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

--- have anything to do with that.

BY MR. DELL:

I don't know anything about that.

BY MR. NESBIT:

That's ---

BY MR. DELL:

I think the action was that Huntsville and Mike Foley ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

And us.

BY MR. DELL:

Are supposed to get together to review the - to get further clarification on the areas that we've already gone over to obtain where the - we're basically giving it an okay, but the State Park and Recreation would look into further classification to okay the trail use in those areas. I believe that was the ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

That's close.

BY MR. DELL:

That's close.

BY MR. NESBIT:

What we did was we provided the park service with information of areas that we have cleared of areas that we have already inspected and provided that information to them. They are wanting to look at the property in whole of the park area that potentially have use by bikers, as well as horseback riders might be able to use that. Like I said, present minimal risks to - to the user, and we are going - we're going to provide technical information from our perspective as to what we feel would be the best use of that property to them, suggestions. Okay.

They are the ones that will make that final determination as to whether or not they will use it, use our recommendations or our collective recommendation as to how certain parts of the park might be used. Okay. I know that's a round about answer, but that's - that's what it really is. I can't tell them how to use their property or whether or not to use the property for this particular purpose, but we can give them technical information

based upon our investigation from our technical resources and so on that we have at our disposal so that it will help them to be able to make a good decision on what they want to use. Okay.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

There's one other thing that I brought up a couple of times before. All of the ammunition that was taken from the golf course and turned into you guys and told about the Sheriff's Office was notified, then it was hauled up to a peach shed up on the north side, and then picked up and taken to Georgia by the Army, what about that?

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

We addressed that for the last two meetings, I believe. Lieutenant Dyas and Rick ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

We disputed that.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

--- had both commented on what occurred. Correct? I mean I'm looking at both of you. It's been two or three meetings ago you have raised that, and each meeting we've brought that to closure. There was no peach shed.

BY MR. RENNA:

We checked into it. There was ordnance that we picked up ourselves and we disposed of it in the proper manner, but there was nothing at a peach shed that the Graham brothers was working at the golf course. We checked into all that, and that was information that was not correct.

BY MR. NESBIT:

So that's the end of that.

BY MR. HAYES:

That's the end of that?

BY MR. NESBIT:

That's the end of that.

BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:

That's the end of that.

BY MR. HAYES:

Yes, ma'am.

BY MS. JULIE DELL:

Julie Dell. I just wanted to get it clear in my mind about the trail, back to the horse trails again on Dairy Ridge Road, but I'm not clear whether you have already made an initial inspection of the trails? I sort of got that feeling from the last meeting between you and Mike Foley that you had sort of - the Army Corps had sort of said, you know, "We think it's okay." And Mike Foley said, "Well, you know, we don't have that documented or whatever," but have ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

Potentially that could have been true, but potentially that could be true because we did - I should say we did talk about some specific areas that were being used as to the likelihood of whether or not ordnance would be on that - in that particular area, and we passed that information onto Mike already earlier on. Okay. But we were to do a follow-up meeting later on which hasn't occurred. All right.

So it doesn't clarify your answer to tell you for sure that that did

happen, but all I can tell you is what we know essentially and what we feel is a safe route or whatever based upon how the area was used and what we had found even though we haven't actually done an investigation of the entire area, they are aware of that information already; but we were supposed to sit together and put our heads together and try to define a way to use parts of the property that are not in use at present officially. Okay. And hopefully they will be able to come to a conclusion as to whether or not officially they can or can't. Okay.

BY MR. HAYES:

There's a little leeway there. The only thing that you can actually say is okay is what's been cleared to depth.

BY MR. NESBIT:

That's it.

BY MR. HAYES:

And then you have - it's only a certain area, and then the people wander off that area that hasn't been cleared, so all those places have been marked, I think, and you can point out on a map what has been cleared to depth or what hasn't.

BY MS. DELL:

Are maps available to the public?

BY MR. HAYES:

Well, there's maps over here, and a lot of your reports have what's been ---

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

The removal, yes. Several trails down the central part of the park

were cleared. I want to say it's to ten feet -- don't quote me. Don't quote me, Sandy - to a depth of two feet, the heavily access trails. Several of the other trails that I think are in discussion are in areas that we either investigated and there was nothing found or areas that are outside of the areas of investigation where there's not a likelihood, and I think those are the ones that are still in question.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Right. If you want to find out more specific, I would - I hate to say this, but I recommend you call Mike or the park or check with Mr. Perry. I can't give you anything more specific. All right.

BY MR. HAYES:

Is there some way you want to phrase to be brought up at the next meeting or ---

BY MS. DELL:

I will be at the next meeting. I'm sure.

BY MR. HAYES:

Do you know if we want to bring it up as ---

BY MS. DELL:

I would like it put on the agenda for the next meeting, yes.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

What trails have been cleared? What are opened?

BY MR. HAYES:

Maybe have some handouts or whatever.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

We can try to get as much information and coordinate with the park

service to ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

We can do that.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

To coordinate with them, because I can't. I don't have trail maps to reproduce.

BY MR. NESBIT:

And we don't either.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. Well, if you were listening earlier, also, you know, you can't say what's been picked up here and dropped off here, or also, when the war was over, there was - you know, if you were assigned so much ammunition, you had to turn it back in, so these soldiers were wanting to go home, so they might have buried it somewhere instead of turning it in to get home quicker. So that's where there's the shady areas.

You know, you can't really say, unless they spend all these millions of dollars and clear to depth certain depths, they can say this has been cleared, this hasn't been cleared, and that's what the hold up is, you know. If they had millions and millions of dollars, they could do every square inch of the park. It's not that they don't want to. It's just a monetary inconvenience.

BY MS. DELL:

But, you know, if we could get maps, I think you've referred to grids and things like that, of the trail system, I don't know if that's possible, of the equestrian field and that kind.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

I don't ---

BY MR. NESBIT:

We ---

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

I'll look to see. I think we have some of the trails on our GIS. If you were to go to our website, [www.campcroft.com](http://www.campcroft.com), and click on the drop down menu for the GIS, it will show you the grids that have been investigated. They're called OOU's, ordnance operable units, that have been characterized; and I believe in working with Mike Foley a year ago we did get some of those horse trails, and those are a layer, so you can see where some of those areas overlap.

So I would recommend that you check that, and then in the interim between now and the next meeting, we'll do our best to - if we can't get visuals, be able to talk to that with some of the basic visuals that we can reproduce.

BY MR. HAYES:

Or maybe somebody can come from the park to give ---

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

Yeah, maybe Gerry Perry can.

BY MR. LITTLEJOHN:

Yeah.

BY MR. HAYES:

Give a presentation or whatever.

This is what the RAB is for is for public input and to make sure

everybody knows what's safe and what's not and how to respond and what to.

BY MR. DELL:

Bruce Dell. Actually, this is a very good map here because it does show the areas that have been investigated, what the status is and you can tell where the trails are. This would be a very helpful map here of the proximity.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Okay.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

We've got black and whites. I don't know how many colors I can get.

BY MR. HAYES:

Now just because there's a trail in the park doesn't mean it's been cleared, and the park, let me make you -- help you understand, that the park only has a few people working there, and they can't police everything at all times to make sure people stay on the trails or don't open another trail that's not been approved. So ---

BY MS. DELL:

One thing we've been doing, we all belong to an organization, the Trail Blazers, and we've actually been doing trail work, trail maintenance there, and just this past Wednesday, three or four or five of our members went in with heavy equipment, tractors, and Bobcats to do trail work. So we really have an interest in opening up some new trails, too.

BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

They're all established trails.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. Anything that needs to be brought up at the next meeting?  
Any new business for the next meeting? Anybody over on this side got any questions as to how you can bring things forward in the meeting for the next meeting?

(NO RESPONSE)

BY MR. HAYES:

Anybody on this side have any questions as to how to bring new business to the board?

(NO RESPONSE)

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. Is there anymore discussion?

(NO RESPONSE)

BY MR. HAYES:

Anybody want to bring a motion we adjourn?

BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:

Make a motion we adjourn.

BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

Second.

BY MR. HAYES:

Okay. I call this meeting to adjourn.

BY MR. NESBIT:

Thank you for coming.

(MEETING CONCLUDED AT 8:15 P.M.)

