

Board Members Present:

Joseph Crissinger  
Gary Hayes, Chair  
William Littlejohn  
George Mullinax  
Gerard Perry  
Stonewall Stewart

Board Members Not Present:

Brownlee Lowry  
David Mullinax  
Robert Powell  
Clary Smith  
Sanford Smith  
Sherry Wheeler  
Darwin Wilson

\* \* \* \* \*

I N D E X

Welcome by Gary Hayes.....	3
Letters of Clearance Presented by Ron Nesbit.....	3
Project Status Presented by William Stephenson.....	6
Old Business by Suzy McKinney and Ron Nesbit.....	21
New Business Presented by Gary Hayes.....	25
Closing Remarks Presented by Gary Hayes.....	27
Certificate of Reporter.....	29

REPORTED BY:

Sandy Satterwhite Reporting  
P.O. Box 742  
Roebuck, South Carolina 29376  
(864) 574-1455



1

1 BY MR. HAYES:

2 We'll call the meeting to order.

3 I'm Gary Hayes. We want to thank everybody for coming out tonight. I  
4 know it's been real hot, and we appreciate everybody that came out.

5 We want to try to keep all of our communication and conversation  
6 germane to the agendas and stay focused on what we're talking about.

7 To start with I want let Suzy introduce a few people.

8 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

9 Thank you. Good evening. My name is Suzy McKinney with Zapata  
10 Engineering, and this evening we have some new managers for the Camp Croft  
11 project out of Huntsville. Mr. Bill Stephenson is the project manager from our  
12 Huntsville Corps of Engineers' office, and Mr. Dan Plugge is the technical  
13 manager; and they will be working in close coordination with Ron Nesbit of the  
14 Charleston District Corps.

15 So I wanted to let you all know who they are and identify them, and  
16 they'll be actively involved with the project.

17 BY MR. HAYES:

18 All right. Thank you, Suzy. We want to make sure that if anybody has  
19 any questions or anybody wants to speak, be sure to state your name and then  
20 speak.

21 Right now Ron Nesbit with the US Army Corps of Engineers has a few  
22 presentations to make.

23 BY MR. NESBIT:

24 Well, actually I don't have presentations, but anyway what I have got it  
25 to at least give you a status on some of the things that has been going on. One

1 of the particular things that a lot of you have been concerned about in the past  
2 is the clearance letters that were promised a little over a year and a half, two  
3 years ago after we completed the removal work at the Wedgewood subdivision.  
4 Those letters have been mailed out to all the residents within the last month or  
5 two. There were a few letters that came back. I don't know whether or not  
6 there have been a change of hands as far property is concerned, however copies  
7 of these letters will be maintained in the repository here in town as well.

8         So those letters stipulating that the property had been taken care of by  
9 the Corps of Engineers, or at least we did removal action on that property, is --  
10 in fact, they're signed by our commander at the Charleston District as well as  
11 the commander in the Huntsville Division.

12         So, and if any of you that did not receive a letter are here tonight, I  
13 brought additional copy to pass on to those individuals should they have not  
14 gotten it. Okay. But that was one important item that I wanted to make  
15 certain got taken care of prior to our next meeting.

16         Now I know you have noticed that we haven't had a meeting since last  
17 July, I believe it was, and the reason for that is two/threefold, really. One:  
18 Funding. Funding. Funding.

19         I mentioned in the past that the amount of funds within the program in  
20 the south has been falling. With what has happened this particular year with  
21 9/11 has hampered funding for many government agencies this year. We're no  
22 exception. However, just programming alone this past year we were not allotted  
23 very much money for the State of South Carolina. Croft, Camp Croft funding  
24 has dwindled down to something like about a little over \$300,000 this fiscal  
25 year, this past year.

1           Now what we've done is do what we could in terms of programming, and  
2 we have outlined a strategy that we're going to proceed with in terms of trying  
3 to complete the remaining work here at Camp Croft. And when I say, "Complete  
4 the remaining work," I'm talking about work that we've already started that's  
5 governed under the current EE/CA. We have areas such as sites still in the  
6 Wedgewood subdivision that we're still to complete, that area involved with the  
7 golf course that we're still to complete, and then we have another site we have  
8 not even started yet. I believe that's OU11.

9           Now those are the priorities at present. I know that there are other  
10 sites that potentially might become an area for us to do some type of  
11 restoration process. Those areas that are not covered under or have not been  
12 reviewed under EE/CA one and two will be looked at in terms of whether or not  
13 that's a viable site or a viable project, potential project, once the work under  
14 EE/CA two is completed. That's the process.

15           Now, I just mentioned that funding is going to be very limited even for  
16 FY03. In fact, there's no indication at this point in time that we will even reach  
17 the amount of funds that we have had had two years ago, which was a little over  
18 a million dollars for this site.

19           FY03 for the State of South Carolina will be lean against this coming  
20 year. We are looking at areas that potentially we might be able to work in this  
21 area, and we will continue to do so, but currently the way funding is budgeted,  
22 or is at least projected to be budgeted, for this coming year, we will have less  
23 than a million dollars. That is the fact at this point in time.

24           Now we can identify, we can take a lot of information and we will do what  
25 we can, but our primary objective is to continue to move forward. To get any

1 funding, additional funding for the site or for the state, for that matter, I'm  
2 not saying that you need to contact people, but that's your right; but I have to  
3 follow the guidance that we've got and use the funding that we've got for this  
4 site and other sites and make as much progress and use all funds the best that  
5 we can to get the most bang for our bucks, so to speak.

6 That's not, I know, what you want to hear. It's not a good situation. I  
7 can't stand here and tell you that I expect the situation to change by FY03.  
8 Potentially there are times that when we do get a plus up, and if we do get a  
9 plus up, we will have strategies in place to deal with the additional money should  
10 it become available; but right now we have to plan to stay within the allotted  
11 dollars that we are programmed to get for the state, and that does not include  
12 - well, it does include other sites in the state that involve HDRW work, other  
13 ordnance project sites potentially, and as you can tell, that's not a lot of money  
14 being dumped at either location. So it's one of keeping projects moving until  
15 funding becomes available.

16 I just want to let you know what we will hope that will happen, but more  
17 than anything else, give you an update of where we stand from a funding  
18 perspective, schedule perspective as well.

19 I'll let Bill talk about a little on the technical side about which site, what  
20 we've got to do and potentially how we might approach it.

21 BY MR. STEPHENSON:

22 I'd say the next areas we're going to be looking at, like he mentioned, is  
23 finishing up the Wedgewood subdivision which is a clearance to about four feet  
24 looking for items just like we've done in the past in this same area. The same on  
25 the golf course and the other areas off ---

1 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

2 Cedar Springs Road.

3 BY MR. STEPHENSON:

4 Cedar Springs Road. I've driven by it several times, but I can't remember  
5 the road name.

6 And those are the areas, like Ron said, that we're strategized to go. If  
7 money comes, we can go then. If not, as the money is programmed next year,  
8 we'll execute those as far as we can go. And if money becomes available in the  
9 future, we'll add more sites to them. As in the EE/CA, the second EE/CA says,  
10 "Here's the sites," then we'll keep marching down all of those.

11 Some of the other sites in the EE/CA are just surface clearances based  
12 on the land use that's there and what was found in the EE/CA's. So each site  
13 will be addressed as what was done in the EE/CA and how it proposed to reduce  
14 the risks there of OE hazard based on what was found in the original study.

15 If any new areas are found in the future, we'll end up addressing those as  
16 they're identified in the future and deal with those through the normal process  
17 of identifying the new site, adding it to the project list, looking at that and just  
18 following the process as we're go along. So we can eliminate the biggest hazard  
19 first and work our way down to the smallest hazard you can have out there.

20 BY MR. NESBIT:

21 The one thing I didn't mention, and I'll only be brief, is that one of the  
22 sites we did finish was OOU6 area. That is finished in its entirety at this point  
23 in time, unless someone finds something additional out there; but as far as we're  
24 concerned and paperwork has been provided to the landowner as well, but that  
25 area is now completed, which has been long and hard to get there.

1           Okay. Gary.

2   BY MR. HAYES:

3           Board, do you have any discussion on any of this?

4   (NO RESPONSE)

5   BY MR. HAYES:

6           Is there any discussion from the audience?

7   BY MR. OSBORNE:

8           I'm Harold Osborne. I contacted Congressman Demint's office today, and  
9 they said they were going to try to have someone here. If not, that I am asking  
10 for a congressional inquiry on the whole deal of the Croft cleanup, and that all  
11 the records and everything tonight made up and sent to Congressman Demint's  
12 office.

13          Now one of the things that I had hollered about in the past and has not  
14 been taken care of is some of the conflict of interests, that I cite Dr. Lowry  
15 being on the Board, plus by having his property taken care of and then money  
16 missing from that.

17          We have two people that were on the Board are still on the Board,  
18 Mullinax - and not George - but his son, and Littlejohn who is on the Board of  
19 the Croft Fire Department at the time and had never told anyone in the Pacolet  
20 area of what is going on down there of where the old nursing home is down  
21 there, plus the playfield, or the gym and all that, that is highly contaminated  
22 with the deals, and this had been in the records and all, but nobody has ever  
23 done anything about it. Also, according to the State of South Carolina laws,  
24 people that work for the State and being on this type Board, and not hollering  
25 about it, but it's illegal. Checked this out with the Attorney General's office.

1 All right. You're going to \$300,000 this coming year, what are you going  
2 to use it for? You haven't said anything about what you're going to do, except  
3 maybe what? Drive around? What are you going to do on Wedgewood? What  
4 about the graves on Wedgewood? What about the prisoners of war that some  
5 of them are buried in the graves on Wedgewood?

6 All right. What about the area over there on Glendale Road that we had  
7 a problem a couple years ago with all the wells being contaminated over there,  
8 and we did get the water run through by the City and put places where they  
9 could get it, and then they finally got the water wells and everything taken care  
10 of where they've got a water system; but this is not anything ever been taken  
11 care of.

12 I had at one point gave a list of so many items that I know about that  
13 nobody ever contacted me about. We're talking about the burial of all the  
14 transformers from the old Croft Army deal. We know where they're at, and  
15 we've got a whole bunch of deals that we were told, even as I was a Board  
16 member before I was thrown off because I found a hand grenade and I  
17 reported it through the channels but yet I was thrown off the Board; but other  
18 things can go and people can do what they want to do and nothing is done about  
19 the Board, which is not right.

20 But like I said, at that point, we were told that we could go around to all  
21 the companies on Camp Croft and find out where tanks are buried. I did that.  
22 What has been done about it? Nothing. Why? I get these people. They can  
23 contact me back. How come you never did? Nobody ever contacted us on these  
24 tanks that you said that they were going to do something about. Now as far as  
25 what I was told, to go out and they would do something about it, and they don't

1 mind. How come they didn't do it? But we're going back to the same thing. Oh,  
2 I know the sites here, over there on Wedgewood, there's another area where  
3 there's a graveyard. There was prisoners of war buried, and I think some of  
4 this should be taken care of also.

5 BY MR. HAYES:

6 Does that need to be submitted in writing to you all, Suzy, all these  
7 claims?

8 BY MR. OSBORNE:

9 It's been brought up quite a few times but we got nowhere.

10 BY MR. GEORGE MULLI NAX:

11 Well, Harold, I think we're here for ammunition. We're not here on  
12 graves and tanks buried in the ground. That's OSHA or DHEC or somebody.  
13 We're here for ammunition. That's our sole purpose. We're not here for some  
14 of these things you're bringing up, graves and tanks in the ground and stuff like  
15 that. You've brought this ---

16 BY MR. OSBORNE:

17 What's buried in those tanks that's still underground?

18 BY MR. GEORGE MULLI NAX:

19 I don't know that, but that ain't what - that is not our purpose. That's  
20 not the way it works.

21 BY MR. OSBORNE:

22 That's what the Board - I was told by the Corps of Engineers to go find  
23 out for them where they're buried so they could do something.

24 BY MR. GEORGE MULLI NAX:

25 But that still is not our purpose, and you ---

1 BY MR. OSBORNE:

2           What is your purpose then?

3 BY MR. GEORGE MULLI NAX:

4           The ammunition.

5 BY MR. OSBORNE:

6           Somebody getting killed.

7 BY MR. GEORGE MULLI NAX:

8           No, the ammunition. That's what we're here for, and we've got – they do  
9 it. They've got the money to do it with. You just asked him \$300,000. I don't  
10 know what you can do with \$300,000 much.

11 BY MR. OSBORNE:

12           Well, just like at the last Board meeting a year ago about the – where  
13 some of the guys went over and found all this hand grenades and everything  
14 else over there at the Wedgewood entrance, what was ever done about it? Not  
15 a thing.

16 BY MS. MCKI NNEY:

17           Lieutenant, would you like to respond?

18 BY LI EUTENANT DYAS:

19           Yes, I would.

20 BY MS. MCKI NNEY:

21           Okay.

22 BY LI EUTENANT DYAS:

23           They weren't there.

24 BY MR. HAYES:

25           Do you want to state your name?

1 BY LIEUTENANT DYAS:

2 I'm Lieutenant John Dyas with the Spartanburg County Sheriff's Office.  
3 I'm the bombing technician with the Sheriff's Office, bombing commander. We  
4 went down looking for these so called hand grenades that are supposed to be  
5 down there and they were not there.

6 BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:

7 See, that's what I'm saying, Harold. All this stuff you bring up, it's been  
8 checked and nothing come up. Nobody would come and show these people where  
9 that stuff was at.

10 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

11 I'd like to comment on that.

12 BY LIEUTENANT DYAS:

13 I found one hand grenade this year, and it was over off of a - over off of  
14 Wedgewood. It was a practice grenade, and it was taken care of just as our  
15 protocol is. When we get called on any type of munition above ground, we go up  
16 there and we take care of it right there on the spot. That's what our protocol  
17 has always been and that's what we always do and that's what we'll continue to  
18 do.

19 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

20 I can answer these. I'm Robin Zimmerman. I can answer Harold's  
21 question about that. I was the one who found 32 old rusted .37 millimeter anti-  
22 tank rounds about eight feet off Wedgewood Drive and property which is owned  
23 by a Russian individual who is back in Russia now, but he still has that property  
24 in his name. I contacted first the Corps of Engineers. I didn't get a response  
25 on that day. I found these with a metal detector and probe. I enlisted the aid

1 of a private individual to move those things. They moved them. Well, he moved  
2 them the very next day. The man who did it is with World Arm and Research, a  
3 company here in town, and he moved privately and disposed of all 32 of those  
4 things around about a two-hour period, and I made the mistake of never calling  
5 the Spartanburg County Sheriff's Department. I simply went through the  
6 Corps and SLED.

7 BY LI EUTENANT DYAS:

8 I would like to know the man's name that moved that.

9 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

10 Is that absolutely necessary, sir?

11 BY LI EUTENANT DYAS:

12 Yes, sir, it is.

13 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

14 Well, he works for World Arm and Research.

15 BY LI EUTENANT DYAS:

16 And his name is?

17 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

18 Chuck Shook.

19 BY LI EUTENANT DYAS:

20 Okay.

21 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

22 And ---

23 BY COURT REPORTER:

24 Chuck Shuler?

25 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

1 Chuck Shook.

2 Chuck Shook. Charles Shook.

3 BY MR. NESBIT:

4 Let me address one issue about the \$300,000. Okay. There is \$300,000  
5 that I said that was allotted for the Camp Croft area. Now there are many  
6 things that goes on other than the guy coming out in the area and digging to  
7 remove. There are site visits. There are administrative activities that go on  
8 and other issues that are associated with that as well contractual wise, and  
9 funds are being spent directly against the project to do work that's associated  
10 with the project itself.

11 So if you were expecting to see a contract let for removal action for  
12 \$300,000, it won't happen. I'll tell you that right up front, but that funds is  
13 being spent directly on the project in association with Camp Croft, be it  
14 administrative and/or someone comes to the site to do investigation, give  
15 information to individuals, present training classes, all of these are a part of  
16 the removal process or the restoration process for that site.

17 So, no, you may not see where the actual \$300,000 is spent, but you can  
18 rest assured that it's being spent on the site or on the project.

19 Okay. Keep in mind that we have spent in the Camp Croft area alone over  
20 15 million dollars in removal action and/or some activity to help remove ordnance  
21 from this area, and there were over - how many acres now?

22 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

23 Over 700 acres.

24 BY MR. NESBIT:

25 Over 700 acres that have been involved with that process.

1           Now what I'm - what I - we're trying to make certain that you understand  
2 today is that when we have had funding - enough funding that we could, in fact,  
3 do something that is moving toward a total restoration of the site, we let  
4 contracts to take care of those efforts, but funding and monies are being spent  
5 continuously on a year to year basis. This is considered an active project, not a  
6 dormant project but an active project, and we are making every effort to  
7 maintain that.

8           However, priorities do change. There are other projects of other states  
9 that may, quote/unquote, "warrant" the need of those dollars that we are using  
10 here, and that can very well be used at other locations, and i.e., that's one of  
11 the reasons why the fluctuation in terms of how much money goes to where.  
12 The vision itself only gets so many - so much money, and it's distributed among  
13 the states based on priorities of projects.

14           So I - funding, as I talked about, is the primary reason why you haven't  
15 seen a lot of things happening in the recent past, and funding will be the reason  
16 when you see things beginning to happen more vigorously on the site as well; but  
17 that's something that I do not control, and it's something that is controlled  
18 primarily by Congress, and I have -- and potentially you are the only one that  
19 have power. I don't.

20           Okay. And to talk about the water wells that Harold mentioned the  
21 dollars involved in that was an HDRW process. DHEC has tested those wells,  
22 and those wells showed no contamination. Okay. That's just to further  
23 reiterate that a number of things that are being questions, yet again, have been  
24 covered before, have been answered before, and, yes, we have taken the time  
25 to go and verify everything that we possibly could verify based upon the

1 information that had been brought to our attention through the official  
2 channels. Okay.

3 BY MR. JOHNSON:

4 May I ask a question?

5 BY MR. NESBIT:

6 Yes.

7 BY MR. JOHNSON:

8 Did I just hear you say that those wells were not contaminated?

9 BY MR. NESBIT:

10 The wells ---

11 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

12 That were tested.

13 BY MR. NESBIT:

14 --- that were tested, the ones that were brought to our attention and  
15 folks were sent out and actually checked, those that were checked showed no  
16 contamination.

17 BY MR. JOHNSON:

18 I knew that. I knew it all the time. I think I stated it in here. Well, as  
19 a matter of fact I still got mine and somebody is going around telling stories  
20 and making a buck off of somebody, and that's money being wasted right there  
21 that could have been used for something maybe.

22 If the well contaminated and the soil, how do you plant a garden on all the  
23 same soil and it's not contaminated. I've always wondered that.

24 BY MR. HAYES:

25 Okay.

1 Now there is certain - can we bring to light a certain procedure to the  
2 public that they should go through if they have concerns about contamination?

3 BY MR. NESBIT:

4 The process is still the same. If you have knowledge of a specific area or  
5 a specific problem that were identified or that you can identify, is that you  
6 address it to us in writing so that we have something officially that we can  
7 actually obligate funds against via through DHEC or another part of our agency  
8 or whatever the case may be so that they can actually go out and verify. And  
9 everything that we've gotten that way, we have taken care of, and a lot of  
10 things that we have not gotten that way we have taken care of as well.

11 BY MR. HAYES:

12 So you're saying that if it's something that you're not responsible to take  
13 care of, you'll make sure to get it to the persons who would.

14 BY MR. NESBIT:

15 We get the information to the people that needs to know about it.

16 BY MR. HAYES:

17 And that's to write to the Army Corps of Engineers in Charleston or in  
18 Huntsville?

19 BY MR. NESBIT:

20 It can be the - it's primarily Charleston.

21 BY MR. HAYES:

22 Okay.

23 BY MR. NESBIT:

24 However, Huntsville is the Corps of Engineers as well.

25 BY MR. HAYES:

1           Okay. All right.

2           So if there's any concerns like that, if anybody has any concerns  
3 about - well, any concerns about the camp, let's address that to Charleston  
4 or to Huntsville, and they'll try to get it to the right authorities to check it  
5 out.

6   BY MS. MCKINNEY:

7           And we'll - and then we'll follow up in a consequent meeting as to those  
8 actions.

9   BY MR. HAYES:

10          And we can - if we've got in writing, we will bring it up at the next  
11 meeting.

12   BY MS. MCKINNEY:

13          If we have received anything in writing.

14   BY MR. HAYES:

15          And anything in writing will be considered new business at the next  
16 meeting.

17   BY MR. NESBIT:

18          Right. Just let me mention that in the last two years I have never  
19 received anything in writing.

20   BY MR. HAYES:

21          Yeah.

22   BY MR. NESBIT:

23          And the process has been stated at every meeting.

24   BY MR. HAYES:

25          Yeah. We want to make sure all that's clear.

1 Is there any questions about the process on filing complaints or reporting  
2 claims from the Camp Croft area?

3 (NO RESPONSE)

4 BY MR. HAYES:

5 Is there any more discussion?

6 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

7 I have a question.

8 BY MR. HAYES:

9 Okay.

10 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

11 Robin Zimmerman. Mr. Osborne and myself, though, it seems like two  
12 years ago it was brought up in a meeting here, and this was in the Minutes that  
13 concerning the cleaning of OOU6. Now this is down - if I'm mistaken in the  
14 letters - I know I'm not mistaken here. Spartanburg County Library that 20  
15 million dollars seems to have vanished from the funds of Camp Croft. Is that  
16 about something. I mean I know that's been discussed in here and Harold  
17 knows, right, 20 million dollars has seemed to disappear.

18 BY MR. HAYES:

19 Robin, I don't recollect any ---

20 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

21 Or was it 2 million? But now in the book it says 20 million in the  
22 library.

23 BY MR. NESBIT:

24 20 million dollars has been ---

25 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

1           Supposedly it was to be expended for cleanup or an additional 20 million  
2 for Camp Croft, I believe, for fiscal year 099 – or, no, I’m sorry, 1999, and  
3 someway somehow or another, Mr. Nesbit, it never made it.

4 BY MR. NESBIT:

5           What you may be address or talking to is that there was projected costs  
6 to complete.

7 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

8           Yes, sir.

9 BY MR. NESBIT:

10          Estimate. Okay. I t never said anything about what year or how many  
11 years it would take to complete the mission. We’re still in the process of that  
12 mission.

13 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

14          Yes, sir.

15 BY MR. NESBIT:

16          We have at this point expended over 15 million.

17 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

18          Yes, sir.

19 BY MR. NESBIT:

20          And we have already undertaken or understand that it’s going to take  
21 more than the 20 million that was initially projected.

22 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

23          I nitially projected.

24 BY MR. NESBIT:

1           To understand the processes you have an estimate of what you think it's  
2 going to cost to do the entire work. As you well know, a number of things have  
3 been found that were not anticipated at the beginning.

4 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

5           Yes, sir.

6 BY MR. NESBIT:

7           It costs money for that. Additional money is made available based upon  
8 the requirement of that mission, and that's what's happened, but there was -  
9 there is and was not at anytime a 20 million dollars set up to be used on that  
10 site in one given year.

11 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

12           Yes, sir.

13 BY MR. NESBIT:

14           Okay.

15 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

16           Okay.

17 BY MR. HAYES:

18           All right. I believe Suzy has some old business to bring up.

19 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

20           Both Ron and I have some topics to discuss under old business. The first  
21 is an update on the RAB process. We're coming to closure of a second year of  
22 our RAB, even though this past year has essentially been inactive. So as a  
23 reminder, in this fall in our next meeting we will have applications available for  
24 consideration to be evaluated for serving on future RABs; and just an update  
25 that our two-year period, even though we haven't met for a year, will come to

1 conclusion this fall and winter, so those applications will be made available at  
2 the next meeting and announced and also available at the information  
3 repository.

4       Following that, depending again on funding, which will prescribe how often  
5 or infrequently, unfortunately, we may have these meetings, at the next  
6 meeting we'll have all of those applications. We'll have the review process. The  
7 Board will come on after the first of the year, and then a new chair will be  
8 elected. So I just wanted to update you on the application process.

9       And I believe Ron was going to just quickly provide an overview on some  
10 of the items that were raised during our last Board meeting as to areas that  
11 had not been investigated, that several areas were called out by name, and he  
12 was going to, as a follow up to our last meeting, bring everyone up to speed.

13 BY MR. NESBIT:

14       In fact, Harold was the one that brought a number of these sites, and  
15 since that time, of course, we've been doing backup work to verify whether or  
16 not those sites has been, in fact, covered or not. Each of those sites, based  
17 upon our records and looking at the map and checking those specific locations or  
18 what not, has or – has, in fact, a – what do you call it? A grid – a survey grid  
19 actually has, in fact, been sampled in each of those locations. In each of those  
20 locations it came up that no OE, no OX, UXO was found on those sites at the  
21 time of the survey.

22       So that having been said, we have followed up. That is a report on those  
23 items on those locations. I don't anticipate, based on the information that we  
24 found, that I can't say that nothing is there, that nothing was there, but based

1 on our information of our investigation, nothing should be there based upon the  
2 questions asked. Okay.

3 Any questions?

4 BY MS. STEWART:

5 I'm Beulah Stewart. I would like to know the places that had the  
6 ammunition. Are they still roped off or what?

7 BY MR. NESBIT:

8 The ones that had ---

9 BY MS. STEWART:

10 So that one would know the places that had been cleared up or the places  
11 that needs to be cleared up that you just mentioned previous. Are they being  
12 roped off right now? Are there guardrails around those areas, like the golf  
13 course and some of the other places?

14 BY MR. NESBIT:

15 No, ma'am. The area doesn't require fencing. It isn't a situation where  
16 you have ordnance sitting on top of the ground or anything like that, or if you go  
17 step on it or any - that promotes some type of harm to the public. They are  
18 buried, and there are other type that require some type of removal at a later  
19 date, but in the condition with what they are right now, they pose no major  
20 threat to the general public. Okay.

21 BY MR. HAYES:

22 Was it Henningston?

23 BY MR. NESBIT:

24 Pardon me?

25 BY MR. HAYES:

1           Was there a fence put around Henningston?

2   BY MS. MCKI NNEY:

3           There's still a fence.

4   BY MR. PERRY:

5           Yeah, it's on Henningston.

6   BY MR. NESBIT:

7           On that particular one there probably is, yes.

8   BY MR. PERRY:

9           Yeah.

10 BY MR. NESBIT:

11          Yes.

12 BY MS. MCKI NNEY:

13          And that's been there for years.

14 BY MR. NESBIT:

15          Yes.

16 BY MS. MCKI NNEY:

17          It's that long ago.

18 BY MR. HAYES:

19          So that's one that has definitely been fenced off?

20 BY MR. NESBIT:

21          Right, it is.

22 BY MS. MCKI NNEY:

23          We don't have right of entry to go in there, I don't think.

24 BY MR. NESBIT:

25          And that's the one we didn't have right of entry to go into at all.

1 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

2 Is that correct?

3 BY MR. NESBIT:

4 Earlier on I thought. Okay.

5 But those that we have had authority to go into to examine and what not,  
6 either it's been fenced off if we felt that there was a threat against the public  
7 or it's still buried and we have to go in and do something in terms of removal  
8 action. Okay. But it poses no real threat to the general public under current  
9 use. Okay. I needed to say that.

10 Okay.

11 BY MR. HAYES:

12 Okay. Is that all the old business?

13 (NO RESPONSE)

14 BY MR. HAYES:

15 Okay. If anybody's got any new business they want to bring up, the Board  
16 can add it to the next meeting's agenda.

17 BY MR. OSBORNE:

18 Is everything taken care of down at the park? There is no danger  
19 whatsoever down there anymore?

20 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

21 There's ---

22 BY MR. PERRY:

23 Not areas have been cleared. You know, there's still some, I think,  
24 funding.

25 BY MR. STEPHENSON:

1           Okay. Let's see, I think the areas that the park is currently using, like  
2 the horse trails, the camping areas, ---

3 BY MR. PERRY:

4           They've been cleared, yeah.

5 BY MR. STEPHENSON:

6           --- those areas have been looked at and removed. The areas they're not  
7 using right now where there is suspected stuff, no, we haven't got to that  
8 because it's not as high a priority as like the subdivision because there's not  
9 people in there. I think the park has signage that says, "Stay on marked trails."  
10 You know, "Don't go into certain areas."

11 BY MR. OSBORNE:

12           Are the signs all still up down there? All of them?

13 BY MR. PERRY:

14           Most of them, yeah.

15 BY MR. OSBORNE:

16           No, not most of them. All of them encased?

17 BY MR. PERRY:

18           Yeah.

19 BY MR. OSBORNE:

20           I'll have to drive down there and take a look.

21 BY MR. PERRY:

22           You're welcome to come down there.

23 BY MR. HAYES:

24           Okay. Mr. Stewart.

25 BY MR. STEWART:

1 I would like to say I would like to see a little bit more activity taking  
2 place as far as informing the Board members, especially the new ones coming on.  
3 I think once upon a time we mentioned the fact that we might do some touring  
4 and get more familiar with some certain areas in the park, and to my knowledge  
5 that has not been done.

6 So I think in order to be more effective, we need to be informed not only  
7 verbally, but we should actually see some of these locations, and it would bring  
8 the Board together. We can't work - we can't be too effective when we only see  
9 each other month to month, and this is what's happening, and usually at that  
10 time it's business. So I think each one of them would become more interested  
11 in what we're doing if we're a little bit more familiar with what's going on.

12 BY MR. HAYES:

13 And we appreciate your ideas, Mr. Stewart.

14 I know we have met a few times. I know we met at OOU6 and saw the  
15 reclamation down there with them sifting all the dirt and everything to make  
16 sure they got anything that might be in there, and they showed us how they  
17 were measuring the clearance and making sure they were as close to best  
18 removing everything they could. So - and then we met several times down at  
19 the park, but it has been a good while, and we know that not meeting since last  
20 July September 11<sup>th</sup> had a lot to do with that, but maybe here in the future we  
21 will get back on a regular routine and a regular schedule.

22 Anybody else got anything to add? We need new business for the next  
23 meeting put on the agenda if anybody has got anything to add.

24 Okay. If not, call the meeting to adjourn.

25 BY MS. MCKINNEY:

1           You need a motion to adjourn.

2   BY MR. HAYES:

3           Okay. We need a motion to adjourn.

4   BY MR. STEWART:

5           I so move.

6   BY MR. HAYES:

7           Okay. Mr. Stewart motioned to adjourn. Anybody second it?

8   BY MR. PERRY:

9           I second it.

10   BY MR. HAYES:

11           We call the meeting to adjourn then.

12   (MEETING CONCLUDED AT 7:45 P.M.)

