RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD MEETING SEPTEMBER 9, 2003 7:00 P.M.

SC SCHOOL FOR THE DEAF AND THE BLIND SWEARINGEN CENTER

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

GARY HAYES, CHAIR
GEORGE MULLINAX
DAVID MULLINAX
ROBERT POWELL
CLARY SMITH
DARWIN WILSON

BOARD MEMBERS NOT PRESENT:

JOSEPH CRISSINGER
BROWNLEE LOWRY
SANFORD SMITH
SHERRY WHEELER
MARGARET MOORE
ROBERT WOOTEN
BUBBA LITTLEJOHN

* * * * * * *

INDEX

WELCOME BY GARY HAYES	2
INTRODUCTION OF NEW RAB MEMBERS	2
ELECT A RAB CHAIR	3
OVERVIEW OF THE FUDS PROGRAM & PROJECT	
CHRONOLOGY BY SUZY CANTOR-McKINNEY	3
PROJECT FUNDING STATUS BY RON NESBIT	24
NEXT PHASE OF WORK BY PLYLER McMANUS	30
OLD BUSINESS BY GARY HAYES	47
NEW BUSINESS BY GARY HAYES	70
CLOSING REMARKS PRESENTED BY GARY HAYES	102
CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER	104

REPORTED BY:

DIXY MOORE TURNER ASSOC., INC. 836 SHORESBROOK DRIVE SPARTANBURG, SOUTH CAROLINA 29301-6515 864-574-1313

1	BY GARY HAYES:
2	I'D LIKE TO CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER AND I'D
3	LIKE TO WELCOME EVERYBODY HERE TONIGHT AND THANK YOU
4	FOR COMING OUT. I WANT TO REMIND EVERYBODY TO SPEAK
5	CLEARLY. WE'VE GOT A TRANSCRIPTIONIST HERE AND WE
6	WANT TO REMAIN ON OUR AGENDA AND WE NEED TO STAY
7	FOCUSED TO OUR AGENDA. SUZY AND ZAPATA HAVE
8	FURNISHED US WITH NEW NOTEBOOKS FOR THE BOARD TO
9	KEEP US A LITTLE MORE UP-TO-DATE AND ORGANIZED AND I
10	WANT TO THANK SUZY AND ZAPATA FOR DOING THAT. WE
11	HAVE SOME NEW BOARD MEMBERS, MARTHA MOORE AND ROBERT
12	WOOTEN. I BELIEVE MARTHA CALLED IN AND SHE HAS
13	WHAT, SUZY?
14	BY SUZY CANTOR-McKINNEY:
15	SHE HAS ANOTHER COMMITMENT THIS EVENING.
16	BY MR. HAYES:
17	OKAY. AND ROBERT, WE HAVEN'T HEARD FROM
18	ROBERT?
19	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
20	I HAVE NOT.
21	BY MR. HAYES:
22	OKAY. WELL, WE WANT TO THANK THESE NEW PEOPLE
23	FOR APPLYING TO THE BOARD AND THEIR ACCEPTANCE OF
24	BEING ON THE BOARD. OKAY. SO, WE WERE IT IS ON

OUR AGENDA TO ELECT A NEW RAB CHAIR TONIGHT. I WANT
TO TURN THIS OVER TO SUZY.

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

DUE TO THE LIMITED ATTENDANCE THIS EVENING, WE WILL DEFER THE ELECTION OF THE NEW CHAIR TO OUR NEXT MEETING. THE CHAIR IS TO SERVE ONE YEAR AND CAN BE RE-ELECTED FOR A SUBSEQUENT TERM. SO, GARY HAS SERVED TWO YEARS NOW, TWO TERMS FOR CHAIR, SO WE WILL ELECT A NEW CHAIR AT THE NEXT MEETING WHEN HOPEFULLY WE'LL HAVE A STRONGER ATTENDANCE TO BE ABLE TO GET EVERYONE'S INVOLVEMENT IN THAT EFFORT. SO, WE'LL PUT THAT ON OUR NEXT MEETING'S AGENDA.

BY MR. HAYES:

OKAY. NOW, I'LL REFER ALSO OVER TO SUZY WITH

ZAPATA ENGINEERING FOR AN OVERVIEW OF THE FUDS

PROGRAM AND THE PROJECT CHRONOLOGY.

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

THANKS, GARY. I SEE A LOT OF NEW FACES THIS

EVENING AND WE'D LIKE TO WELCOME ALL OF YOU TO THE

RAB MEETING. WE MEET QUARTERLY TO FIVE TO SIX

MONTHS, DEPENDING UPON SCHEDULES AND WHAT WE HAVE ON

OUR AGENDA. AGAIN, MY NAME IS SUZY McKINNEY WITH

ZAPATA ENGINEERING. AND ZAPATA ENGINEERING IS THE

CONTRACTOR FOR THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS THAT HAS BEEN

TASKED WITH THE PROGRAM MANAGEMENT, PROGRAM

INTEGRATION OF THE CAMP CROFT EFFORT. THAT INCLUDES
SUPPORTING THE RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD ON ALL OF
THE LOGISTICS, AS WELL AS BEING TASKED TO COMPLETE
SOME OF THE REMOVAL ACTIONS AND THE REMEDIATION THAT
WE'LL TALK ABOUT A LITTLE BIT LATER THIS EVENING.
SO, I WANT TO AGAIN WELCOME ALL OF YOU THIS EVENING.
IT'S BEEN SEVERAL MONTHS SINCE WE LAST MET. WE'D
ALSO LIKE TO TAKE THIS OPPORTUNITY TO MEET AND
INTRODUCE OUR CORPS OF ENGINEERS, REPRESENTATIVES,
AND PROJECT MANAGERS. RON NESBIT IS WITH THE
CHARLESTON DISTRICT FOR U.S. ARMY CORPS OF
ENGINEERS. PLYLER McMANUS IS WITH THE U.S. ARMY
ENGINEERING AND SUPPORT CENTER OUT OF HUNTSVILLE.
SO, THOSE ARE TWO KEY PLAYERS IN THIS PROGRAM. WE
ALSO HAVE WITH US TONIGHT MICHAEL WINNINGHAM WITH
ZAPATA ENGINEERING AND HE IS OUR PROJECT MANAGER FOR
THE REMOVAL ACTIONS THAT WE'LL TALKING ABOUT A
LITTLE BIT LATER THIS EVENING. SO, WITH THAT, WHAT
I'D LIKE TO DO FOR THE BENEFIT OF, UNFORTUNATELY,
OUR ABSENT NEW RAB MEMBERS AND FOR SEVERAL OF YOU
WHO HAVE NOT BEEN TO OUR MEETINGS IN THE PAST OR
HAVE NOT BEEN FREQUENTLY TO OUR MEETINGS, IS TO
REVIEW A LITTLE BIT OF THE SITE HISTORY, A LITTLE
BIT OF THE PROJECT BACKGROUND, GO OVER THE FEDERAL
PROGRAM THAT PROVIDES THE FUNDING TO ADDRESS THESE

FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES, GIVE YOU A STATUS OF
WHAT HAS BEEN COMPLETED TO DATE UNDER THE PROGRAM,
AND WHERE WE ARE GOING WITH FUNDING AND THE NEXT
ROUND OF ACTIVITIES. I ASK RON AND PLYLER AND
MICHAEL TO CHIME IN IF I START RAMBLING TOO FAST OR
GET OFF TOPIC. THE PRESENTATION THAT WE'LL GO
THROUGH THIS AFTERNOON, THIS EVENING IS A HAND-OUT
THAT IS AVAILABLE AT THE FRONT AND IT'S ALSO
INCLUDED IN EACH OF THE NOTEBOOKS FOR THE RAB
MEMBERS.

A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND ON CAMP CROFT. MANY OF YOU PROBABLY KNOW THE HISTORY MUCH BETTER AND MORE FLUENTLY THAN I DO, BEING INVOLVED WITH THIS PROJECT FOR THE PAST SEVEN TO EIGHT YEARS AND THEN, MANY OF YOU LIVED IN THE AREA IN THE 1940s OR DIRECT RELATIVES LIVED IN THE AREA AND WERE INVOLVED WHEN CAMP CROFT WAS AN ACTIVE TRAINING FACILITY. IT WAS A TRAINING FACILITY BEGINNING IN 1941 THAT WAS USED FOR TRAINING OF ALL PHASES OF COMBAT. OVER THE YEARS, APPROXIMATELY THE FOUR TO FIVE YEARS THAT IT WAS AN ACTIVE INSTALLATION, OVER 200,000 MEN WENT THROUGH TRAINING IN THIS AREA. IN 1947, THE CAMP WAS DECLARED EXCESS AND, AS WE LOOK AROUND TODAY, WE SEE THAT THOSE PARCELS ARE NOW RESIDENCES, STATE PARKS, BUSINESS AREAS, LIGHT INDUSTRY, AND SOME

1 UNDEVELOPED AREAS. SO, THE PARCELS THAT ONCE
2 COMPRISED THE FORMER CAMP CROFT ARE NOW MIXED LAND
3 USE.

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

A LITTLE BIT OF HISTORY ON THE RESTORATION PROGRAM, WHAT IS CALLED DERP-FUDS, DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION PROGRAM FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES, AND YOU'LL HEAR US USE THE TERM "FUDS" FREQUENTLY, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES. THIS WAS MANDATED BY CONGRESS IN 1986, WHICH PROVIDED THE FUNDING TO BE ABLE TO GO BACK AND CHARACTERIZE THESE FORMER TRAINING FACILITIES, ASSESS THE RISK, AND THEN HAVE FUNDING TO START TO CLEAN THOSE UP. SO, WHEN YOU THINK ABOUT IT, IT IS A FAIRLY NEW PROGRAM. I KNOW A LOT OF PEOPLE ASK WHY HAS IT TAKEN 50 YEARS OR 60 YEARS FOR THE GOVERNMENT TO COME BACK AND CLEAN UP THESE AREAS. WELL, THE PROGRAM THAT ALLOWS THE FUNDING AND THE AUTHORITY TO DO THAT IS A FAIRLY NEW PROGRAM. FOR THIS SPECIFIC SITE, WHICH HAS BEEN ONGOING SINCE ABOUT 1995, MORE THAN \$15,000,000 HAS BEEN APPROPRIATED FOR SITE INVESTIGATIONS AND CLEAN UP OF THE FORMER CAMP CROFT.

A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND ON ORDNANCE AND SOME DEFINITIONS. ORDNANCE IS ARTILLERY, MISSILES, MORTARS, AMMUNITIONS, AND EXPLOSIVES THAT ARE

INTENTIONALLY MEANT TO HARM INDIVIDUALS OR DAMAGE
PROPERTY. THERE ARE SUB-SETS. YOU HAVE ORDNANCE,
YOU HAVE UXO, WHICH IS UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE,
MUNITIONS HAVE BEEN FIRED THAT WERE MEANT TO GO OFF
BUT DIDN'T THAT ARE STILL CONSIDERED LIVE. YOU ALSO
HAVE ORDNANCE-RELATED SCRAP, WHICH COULD BE INERT
ITEMS, DUMMY ROUNDS, ROUNDS THAT WERE NOT MEANT AS
HIGH EXPLOSIVES THAT STILL MAY POSE A DANGER, DUE TO
SPOTTING CHARGES OR SOME OTHER EXPLOSIVE FILLERS
THAT AREN'T QUITE AS HARMFUL, BUT I DON'T WANT TO
DOWNPLAY THE IMPORTANCE OF DON'T TOUCH ANY OF THIS.
SO, ORDNANCE-RELATED SCRAP, AGAIN, IT'S FREE OF THE
EXPLOSIVE HAZARDS. THESE ARE NOT LIVE ITEMS. THEY
ARE EITHER FRAGMENTS, SCRAP, OR INERT ITEMS. AND
I'LL GO AHEAD AND BACKTRACK. ALL OF THESE ITEMS
HAVE THE POTENTIAL TO BE FOUND AT CAMP CROFT.
RESTORATION ADVISORY BOARD IS WHAT WE ARE HERE
FOR THIS EVENING. THIS WAS ESTABLISHED IN 1995.
THE OBJECTIVE OF A RAB IS TO ENCOURAGE DIALOGUE AND
RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE COMMUNITY, REGULATORS AND

THE OBJECTIVE OF A RAB IS TO ENCOURAGE DIALOGUE AND RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE COMMUNITY, REGULATORS AND THE GOVERNMENT TO PROVIDE AN INFORMATION EXCHANGE, TO FACILITATE DECISION-MAKING ON WHAT DIRECTION WE NEED TO GO OR SHOULD BE GOING WITH THIS PROJECT, BASED UPON THE COMMUNITY'S INSIGHT AND KNOWLEDGE OF THOSE LAND USES. THE BEGINNING OF THE PROJECT, WHEN

FUNDING WAS FLOWING A LITTLE BIT MORE REGULARLY AND LOTS OF DOCUMENTS WERE IN PREPARATION, WORK PLANS AND DECISION TYPES OF DOCUMENTS, THE BOARD MET EVERY MONTH OR EVERY TWO MONTHS AND THEN STARTED TO STRETCH OUT TO ABOUT ON A QUARTERLY BASIS. THERE ARE TIMES WHEN WE MEET AS INFREQUENTLY AS EVERY SIX MONTHS, DEPENDING UPON THE ISSUES. WE TRY TO STAY ON A QUARTERLY SCHEDULE.

WE HAVE A MAILING LIST OF OVER 200 INDIVIDUALS
THAT DO RECEIVE FLYERS ANNOUNCING THE MEETINGS. WE
DID PUBLISH THIS LAST WEEK OR WEEK AND A HALF A PAID
ADVERTISEMENT IN THE NEWSPAPERS TO TRY TO GET AS
MANY INDIVIDUALS IN THE COMMUNITY TO GIVE THEM
KNOWLEDGE OF THE MEETING. THESE ARE ALL OPEN
MEETINGS TO THE PUBLIC. WE DO HAVE A TRANSCRIPTION
SERVICE SO THAT EVERYTHING THAT IS RELATED IS
TRANSCRIBED AND DOCUMENTED. THOSE TRANSCRIPTS ARE
MAINTAINED IN THE LIBRARY, AS WELL AS ON OUR
WEBSITE.

SO, TO RUN THROUGH THE ACTIVITIES TO DATE,
WE'LL HAVE SOME MAPS IN THE HAND-OUTS. THERE ARE A
FEW MAPS IN THE BACK. TIME-CRITICAL REMOVAL
ACTIONS, THESE ARE, IF YOU WILL, IMMEDIATE RESPONSE.
TIME-CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTIONS ARE IN AN ABBREVIATED
TIME FRAME TO GET YOUR PLANS TOGETHER ON HOW YOU'RE

GOING TO RESPOND TO AN AREA THAT POSES AN IMMEDIATE
RISK. THESE WERE ACTUALLY, TWO WERE CONDUCTED AT
CAMP CROFT. ONE WAS NEAR THE HORSE TRAILS DOWN NEAR
THE PARK AND IT'S CALLED OOUT. AND I'M DRAWING A
BLANK ON WHAT THE OTHER REFERENCE IS, BUT WE'LL LOOK
AT IT ON A MAP IN A MINUTE. IT'S THE PICNIC AREAS
DOWN NEAR THE STABLES. AND ANOTHER TIME-CRITICAL
REMOVAL ACTION WAS CONDUCTED ON A PIECE OF PRIVATE
PROPERTY THAT WAS BEING USED FOR A COMMERCIAL
PURPOSE THAT WAS, AGAIN, AN IMMEDIATE THREAT TO THE
USERS OF THAT LAND. SO, AN ABBREVIATED SCHEDULE TO
GET OUT THERE AND DO A SURFACE CLEARANCE TO TAKE
CARE OF THOSE IMMEDIATE PROBLEMS. THOSE WERE
CONDUCTED IN THE 1995-96 TIME FRAME. THE MORE LONG-
TERM SITE CHARACTERIZATION AND PLANNING THAT TAKES
PLACE ARE WHAT ARE CALLED EE/CAs, ENGINEERING
EVALUATIONS AND COST ANALYSES. WE'LL GO INTO A
LITTLE BIT MORE DETAIL. THIS IS A MORE THOROUGH
CHARACTERIZATION OF THE AREAS OF THE PROJECT SITE TO
ALLOW AN APPRECIATION OF WHAT ORDNANCE IS OUT THERE,
WHAT RISK IT PRESENTS TO THE PUBLIC, AND HOW WE'RE
GOING TO RESPOND TO THOSE RISKS. THE OUTCOME IS
SOMETIMES CLEAN UP, REMEDIATION. SOMETIMES IT'S
EDUCATION AND OUTREACH TO MAKE PEOPLE MORE AWARE.
SOMETIMES IT'S FENCING OFF AN AREA. WE'LL TALK

ABOUT THAT IN A FEW MINUTES. WE'VE CLEANED UP AND REMEDIATED, ACTUALLY CONDUCTED REMOVAL ACTIONS OF ORDNANCE ON OVER 700 ACRES IN THIS AREA. THE FORMER CAMP CROFT WAS APPROXIMATELY 19,000 ACRES, JUST TO GIVE YOU SOME PERSPECTIVE. SO, AGAIN, TIME-CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTIONS ARE SURFACE CLEARANCE IN AREAS THAT ARE READILY ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC. AND AGAIN, YOU WANT TO REMEDIATE AND TAKE CARE OF ANY IMMEDIATE RISKS TO THE PUBLIC. FIFTY ACRES NEAR THE FITNESS TRAIL AND THE PICNIC AREA UNDERWENT A TIME-CRITICAL REMOVAL ACTION AND 15 ACRES ON PRIVATELY-OWNED PROPERTY AND THOSE TOOK PLACE IN 1995-1996. AND THERE'S A VISUAL OF WHERE THOSE TWO LOCATIONS ARE. YOU CAN SEE THE FORMER CAMP BOUNDARIES EXTEND WAY OUT HERE. THE ACTUAL PARK BOUNDARY IS A SMALLER SECTION OF THE FORMER CAMP. AND ON THE SIDE, THERE'S A LISTING OF THE ITEMS THAT WERE FOUND DURING THOSE TWO RESPECTIVE SURFACE CLEARANCE ACTIONS.

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

WE HAVE CONDUCTED TWO EE/CAS, WE THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND VARIOUS CONTRACTORS THAT HAVE WORKED FOR THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS ON THIS PROJECT, A PHASE ONE AND A PHASE TWO EE/CA AND THEY ADDRESS DIFFERENT AREAS, OOUS, ORDNANCE OPERABLE UNITS. AND AGAIN, IT ALLOWS US TO TAKE CERTAIN AREAS BASED UPON THE PAST

1	LAND USE. WAS IT AN ARTILLERY RANGE? WAS IT THE
2	GRENADE COURT? TO TAKE THOSE AREAS AND PUT SOME
3	BOUNDARIES AROUND THOSE AREAS, DO SOME
4	INVESTIGATIONS WITH SENSORS AND WITH DIGGING CERTAIN
5	ANOMALIES BELOW THE SURFACE TO DETERMINE WHAT'S
6	REALLY OUT THERE. AND THEN, TO ALLOW US TO FURTHER
7	DEVELOP WHAT ARE THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH WHAT
8	WE'RE FINDING DURING THOSE INVESTIGATIONS.
9	TWO EE/CAS WERE DONE THAT EXPANDED THE AREAS OF
10	INVESTIGATION, ESSENTIALLY, IS WHY THERE WERE TWO.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ACTION MEMORANDUMS, OR ACTUALLY A DECISION DOCUMENT, ONCE THAT EE/CA IS FINALIZED, THE DECISION MEMORANDUM OR THE ACTION MEMORANDUM IS SIGNED BY THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS THAT SAYS, "THIS IS WHAT WE NEED TO GO FORTH AND DO." AND THAT'S THE FORMAL RECOMMENDATION. I JUST WANT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT ALL THE DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW IN THE LIBRARY DOWNTOWN ON CHURCH STREET. THAT'S OUR INFORMATION REPOSITORY. DOCUMENTS ARE NOT TO BE REMOVED FROM THE LIBRARY. THEY'RE THERE FOR ALL OF YOUR REFERENCE AND THESE DOCUMENTS ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FOR REVIEW ON OUR WEBSITE, WHICH IS WWW.CAMPCROFT.COM AND IT'S REFERENCED IN YOUR HAND-OUT, AS WELL. SO, THOSE ARE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC REVIEW. THEY ARE FINAL DOCUMENTS, SO THERE ARE NO

1 FURTHER OPPORTUNITIES TO PROVIDE COMMENT OR
2 RECOMMENDATIONS ON THOSE.

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SO, AGAIN, AN EE/CA, WE'RE GOING TO GO OUT TO THESE AREAS AND WE'RE GOING TO COLLECT DATA. BASIS, A LOT OF TIMES, FOR THESE PROJECTS TO EVEN KNOW WHAT THE HISTORY OF THE SITE IS, IS A DOCUMENT CALLED AN ARCHIVE SEARCH REPORT AND THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS ST. LOUIS DISTRICT AND ROCK ISLAND DISTRICT ARE THE TWO THAT OFTEN COMPILE THOSE. ONE OF THEM IS SITTING ON THE BACK TABLE FOR YOUR REFERENCE. I'LL NEED TO TAKE THAT BACK WITH ME THIS EVENING, BUT IT DOES PROVIDE THE HISTORY AND IF THERE ARE RANGE FAN MAPS FROM THE PREVIOUS USE DURING THE MILITARY TRAINING, OPERATIONS, ALL OF THAT HELPS US IDENTIFY WHERE WE WANT TO SAMPLE AND HOW WE'RE GOING TO CHARACTERIZE THE EXTENT OF POTENTIAL ORDNANCE AND THEN, THE ASSOCIATED RISKS. WE CAN CHARACTERIZE AND SAMPLE IN A VARIETY OF WAYS. ONE IS USING GEOPHYSICAL MAPPING, AND THAT'S WHERE VARIOUS SENSORS -- AND THERE ARE SEVERAL DIFFERENT SENSORS THAT PROJECT TEAMS MIGHT USE -- ARE CARRIED ACROSS THE SURFACE OF THE GROUND AND THEY'LL READ THE METALLIC SUB-SURFACE ANOMALIES AND THERE'S DATA PROCESSING INVOLVED AND FIGURES AND MAPS THAT CAN BE GENERATED FROM THAT THAT ALLOW YOU PINPOINT IF

THERE'S SOMETHING DOWN THERE, BASED ON WHAT WE THINK IS SUPPOSED TO BE THERE, WHETHER IT'S A 105 OR A GRENADE, YOU CAN READ WHAT'S CALLED A SIGNATURE IN THAT DATA AND GO OUT AND SAMPLE THAT EXACT LOCATION AND DIG IT UP AND SEE IS IT A COKE CAN, IS IT A HORSE SHOE, OR IS IT ACTUALLY FRAG OR A PIECE OF ORDNANCE. THAT HELPS BUILD YOUR DATA BASE ON WHAT WE NEED TO KNOW TO CHARACTERIZE THE RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH THAT SITE. SO, GEOPHYSICAL MAPPING HAS BEEN DONE THROUGHOUT PARTS OF CAMP CROFT AND THEN, WHAT YOU DO IS, AGAIN, YOU LOOK AT THAT DATA AND WE SELECT WHAT TARGETS YOU WANT TO DIG. THIS IS NOT A REMOVAL. THIS IS A SITE CHARACTERIZATION, SO THE CONTRACTOR'S NOT GOING TO NECESSARILY DIG EVERYTHING. AND WE GO TO THOSE SPECIFIC LOCATIONS, HONE IN ON THAT LOCATION AND MANUALLY DIG A HOLE, ONE, TWO, MAYBE THREE FEET IN DIAMETER TO LOOK FOR WHAT'S CALLED AN ANOMALY. AND THAT DATA, WHAT YOU FIND IS THEN CORRELATED BACK TO THE DATA THAT WAS COLLECTED BY THOSE SENSORS TO HELP YOU MAKE FUTURE DECISIONS. SO, AS WE'RE CHARACTERIZING THESE SITES, WE'RE GATHERING DATA, WE'RE DIGGING THESE HOLES, WE'RE

FINDING OUT WHAT'S ACTUALLY OUT THERE. IS IT

HOUSEHOLD DEBRIS, IS IT FARM DEBRIS, OR IS IT

1

2

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ORDNANCE? THE NEXT PHASE OF AN EE/CA IS TO DO A
RISK ASSESSMENT. WHAT RISK IS THAT POSING, BASED
UPON THE CURRENT AND PROPOSED LAND USE. IF IT'S
UNDEVELOPED PROPERTY OUT IN THE MIDDLE OF NOWHERE TO
BE USED FOR A WILDLIFE REFUGE AND NO ONE'S GOING TO
ACCESS IT, DOES IT POSE A RISK IF THERE MAY BE
ORDNANCE STILL ON THE GROUND, YES OR NO. THOSE ARE
QUESTIONS THAT WE ASK AS WE GO THROUGH THAT PROCESS.
IS IT IN AN AREA TO BE DEVELOPED FOR A SCHOOL, AND
WE FOUND THINGS VERY NEAR TO THE SURFACE THAT WERE
ORDNANCE-LIKE. WELL, THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE A
FAIRLY HIGH RISK THAT WOULD WARRANT A DIFFERENT
RESPONSE ACTION. SO, THE CONTRACTORS WILL LOOK
THROUGH THOSE RISKS. THESE DOCUMENTS ARE AVAILABLE
FOR PUBLIC COMMENT WHEN THEY ARE DRAFTED. THE CORPS
OF ENGINEERS ALSO REVIEWS THOSE AND THERE ARE
DIFFERENT ALTERNATIVES THAT ARE PRESENTED, BASED
UPON THE FINDINGS OF YOUR DATA, FROM NO FURTHER
ACTION TO A SURFACE CLEARANCE TO A CLEARANCE TO A
SPECIFIED DEPTH TO INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS, WHICH NOT
ISN'T GOING OUT AND DIGGING AND DOING AN ORDNANCE
REMOVAL ACTION, BUT IT'S ANOTHER WAY TO MAKE THE
PUBLIC AWARE OF WHAT'S OUT THERE AND MODIFY
BEHAVIOR. IS IT AN AREA THAT CAN BE FENCED AND
RESTRICTED, AND THAT WAY, YOU MINIMIZE THE RISK BY

NOT ALLOWING ANYONE IN THERE. IS IT A LOW TO A

MODERATE RISK WHERE JUST MAKING PEOPLE AWARE OF WHAT

THAT PAST LAND USE IS THROUGH EDUCATIONAL

INFORMATION AND BROCHURES AND MEETINGS CAN KEEP

PEOPLE SAFE. THESE ARE INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS. A

LOT OF TIMES, YOU'LL SEE INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS

ASSOCIATED WITH THOSE OTHER ALTERNATIVES, A REMOVAL

ACTION AND ALSO SOME EDUCATION AND OUTREACH.

AS I MENTIONED, THE EE/CA DOCUMENT IS MADE

AVAILABLE AS A DRAFT FINAL DOCUMENT AFTER IT'S GONE

THROUGH CORPS OF ENGINEERS REVIEW FOR THE PUBLIC FOR

THE COMMENT PERIOD IS ANYWHERE FROM 30 TO 60 DAYS.

SO, EVERYONE HAS THE OPPORTUNITY TO LOOK AT THE

FINDINGS OF THE DATA AND WHAT THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS

ARE AND TO HAVE INPUT FORMALLY INTO THAT DOCUMENT.

BOTH EE/CA DOCUMENTS ARE FINALIZED. BOTH ACTION

MEMORANDUMS FOR THIS PROJECT ARE ALSO FINAL

DOCUMENTS AND, AGAIN, ARE IN THE LIBRARY AND ON THE

WEBSITE.

SO, WHERE THAT TAKES US. THE PHASE ONE EE/CA IDENTIFIED NINE AREAS, SOME WITHIN THE STATE PARK, SOME PRIVATE PROPERTY, AND VARIOUS RESPONSE ACTIONS WITHIN -- AND RISK REDUCTION ALTERNATIVES WERE ASSOCIATED WITH EACH OF THOSE NINE AREAS AT THE OUTCOME OF THAT EE/CA DOCUMENT. SOME AREAS,

INDIVIDUALS HAVE QUESTIONED, "WELL, WHY HAVEN'T YOU
BEEN IN THIS AREA?" OR "WHY DIDN'T YOU LOOK OVER
HERE?" IN ORDER FOR THE GOVERNMENT OR THE
CONTRACTOR TO ACCESS THE PROPERTY, THE OWNERS GIVE
PERMISSION ON WHAT'S CALLED A RIGHT OF ENTRY AND WE
HAVE, IN THE PAST, HAD PROPERTY OWNERS THAT HAVE NOT
AGREED TO SIGN THE RIGHT OF ENTRY SO THAT CERTAIN
PARCELS MAY HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO BE INVESTIGATED.
THESE ARE THE AREAS THAT WERE IDENTIFIED AND
EVALUATED UNDER THE PHASE ONE EE/CA. THE DARK
ORANGE AREAS WERE IDENTIFIED FOR CLEARANCE TO DEPTH,
BASED UPON WHAT ITEMS WERE FOUND DURING THE SITE
INVESTIGATIONS AND WHAT THE CURRENT PROPOSED LAND
USE ARE DEFINED AS. THE GREEN OLIVE AREAS ARE
SURFACE CLEARANCE AND THE ORANGE AREA IS NO FURTHER
ACTION.

THE PHASE TWO EE/CA ADDED ADDITIONAL ACREAGE.

AGAIN, THE FORMER CAMP CROFT IS 19,000 ACRES. WE'RE

NOT GOING TO BE ABLE TO CHARACTERIZE AND SAMPLE

19,000 ACRES, SO REPRESENTATIVE AREAS WERE SELECTED.

ADDITIONAL ACREAGE WAS ADDED IN THAT INVESTIGATION

UNDER A PHASE TWO EE/CA. AGAIN, A MIX OF PRIVATE

PROPERTY AND STATE PARK PROPERTY. THESE ARE THOSE

SPECIFIC AREAS WITH THE RECOMMENDED ALTERNATIVES,

1 RANGING FROM CLEARANCE TO DEPTH TO NO FURTHER
2 ACTION, DEPENDING UPON WHAT WAS FOUND.

THE EE/CA ALSO HAS ASSOCIATED WITH IT A COST
ANALYSIS, AND THAT IS AN ESTIMATE AND IT IS ONLY AN
ESTIMATE OF A COST TO COMPLETE THE RECOMMENDED
ALTERNATIVES THAT THAT DOCUMENT PRESENTS. OOU9, FOR
INSTANCE, WAS A NO FURTHER ACTION. THERE WERE NO
COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH HAVING TO IMPLEMENT THAT.
OOU10 WAS A SURFACE CLEARANCE, 11 WAS A CLEARANCE TO
DEPTH, AND 12 IS A CLEARANCE TO DEPTH. SO, THOSE
ARE THE PROJECTED COSTS, AND AGAIN, THOSE ARE ONLY
ESTIMATES. THOSE ARE NOT FORMAL COST DOCUMENTS.

THE SCHEDULE IS, AND THIS IS AS ALL OF THESE PROJECTS ARE, DEPENDENT UPON FUNDING OBLIGATIONS FROM CONGRESS DOWN THROUGH THE CORPS THROUGH THE DISTRICTS AND THEN TO SPECIFIC PROJECTS. WEDGEWOOD, ORDNANCE REMOVAL ACTION TOOK PLACE AND IT'S BEEN TWO YEARS NOW. A FEW AREAS WITHIN WEDGEWOOD WERE NOT COMPLETED WHEN THE FUNDS RAN OUT, SO THERE ARE SOME ADDITIONAL PARCELS THAT NEED TO BE COMPLETED AND A BUFFER AREA BETWEEN THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND A GOLF COURSE. OOU11C, WHICH RUNS ALONG CEDAR SPRINGS ROAD, IS ALSO SLATED FOR A SUB-SURFACE CLEARANCE. THESE ARE THE PROJECTED TIME FRAMES FOR THOSE TO BE COMPLETED. WHEN, UNDER THESE PROGRAMS, WHEN A

1	PROJECT HAS BEEN COMPLETED, WHEN A REMOVAL ACTION
2	HAS BEEN COMPLETED, THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS DOES NOT
3	JUST WALK AWAY FROM THE PROJECT AND SAY, "ALL DONE.
4	WE'RE MOVING ON TO THE NEXT ONE." THERE IS A
5	MECHANISM FOR WHAT'S CALLED A RECURRING REVIEW. IT
6	GENERALLY RUNS ON A FIVE-YEAR TIME FRAME, WHERE
7	THERE'S A REASSESSMENT OF THE LAND USE, THE
8	ALTERNATIVES THAT WERE IMPLEMENTED AND THE PROPOSED
9	ACTIONS, TO MAKE SURE THOSE ARE STILL IN ORDER, OR
10	DOES ANOTHER CHARACTERIZATION NEED TO TAKE PLACE OR
11	DO WE NEED TO RE-DIRECT AND RE-FOCUS WHERE THESE
12	ACTIVITIES NEED TO OCCUR. SO, THERE IS A SCHEDULED
13	REVIEW OF THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THE IMPLEMENTATION OF
14	THOSE RISK REDUCTION ALTERNATIVES.
15	THIS IS ACTUALLY A PHOTOGRAPH FROM ABOUT TWO
16	YEARS AGO FOR THE REMOVAL, THE ORIGINAL REMOVAL IN
17	THE WEDGEWOOD NEIGHBORHOOD. THIS WAS A CLEARANCE

THIS IS ACTUALLY A PHOTOGRAPH FROM ABOUT TWO
YEARS AGO FOR THE REMOVAL, THE ORIGINAL REMOVAL IN
THE WEDGEWOOD NEIGHBORHOOD. THIS WAS A CLEARANCE
DOWN TO FOUR FEET AND THE UNIT YOU SEE, THIS
CONFIGURATION IS ACTUALLY WHAT'S CALLED A BUD LIGHT.
IT'S NOT REAL LIGHT. IT'S ABOUT 900 AND SOMETHING
POUNDS.

22 BY PLYLER McMANUS:

18

19

20

21

IT'S 700 POUNDS.

24 BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

POUNDS. WHAT THAT DOES IS IT PROTECTS STRUCTURES
WHEN AN ORDNANCE TECHNICIAN IS IN THERE DIGGING THE
ANOMALY -- REMEMBER WHEN WE IDENTIFY WHAT WE WANT TO
DIG TO EITHER CHARACTERIZE OR CONDUCT A REMOVAL WHEN
THERE ARE STRUCTURES OR POTENTIAL WITHIN A SAFETY
ZONE. THERE ARE SPECIFIC SAFETY ZONES TO KEEP THE
PUBLIC OUTSIDE OF A DANGER ZONE. THAT TECHNICIAN
WILL BE INSIDE OF THAT UNIT DIGGING THAT ITEM. IN
CASE OF AN ACCIDENTAL DETONATION, THAT PROTECTS THE
FRAGMENTATION OF THAT MUNITION FROM IMPACTING ANY OF
THE SURROUNDING AREA. SO, WHEN WE'RE WORKING IN
NEIGHBORHOODS OR IN SOMEWHAT POPULATED AREAS, EVEN
THOUGH INDIVIDUALS ARE EVACUATED, THIS IS A SAFETY

WHERE ARE WE RIGHT NOW? AS I MENTIONED, OOU3
EXPANDED AND WE STILL HAVE TWO PARCELS THAT NEED TO
BE COMPLETED AS A REMOVAL ACTION AS A RESULT OF
FUNDING LIMITATIONS UNDER OUR PREVIOUS ACTION. IN
ORDER FOR THAT TO TAKE PLACE, TWO DOCUMENTS NEED TO
BE PREPARED AND APPROVED. ONE IS THE PROJECT WORK
PLAN, HOW THAT WORK IS GOING TO BE EXECUTED BY THE
CONTRACTOR IN THOSE AREAS, AS WELL AS WHAT'S CALLED
AN EXPLOSIVE SAFETY SUBMISSION, AN ESS. THAT IS A
DOCUMENT THAT, AGAIN, PRESCRIBES THE SAFETY

1	PROCEDURES, FRAGMENTATION DISTANCES, THE MUNITIONS
2	WE ANTICIPATE TO FIND, HOW WE'RE GOING TO ACCOMPLISH
3	THE WORK. THAT ACTUALLY GOES ALL THE WAY OUT TO THE
4	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EXPLOSIVE SAFETY BOARD. IT
5	GOES ALL THE WAY UP THROUGH HEADQUARTERS AND BEYOND
6	AN INDEPENDENT BOARD MAY EVALUATE

BY PLYLER McMANUS:

AND THAT'S AN INDEPENDENT BOARD MADE UP OF REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE ARMY, AIR FORCE, MARINE CORPS, AND THE NAVY.

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

AND THAT REVIEW PROCESS IS SIX TO NINE MONTHS,
DEPENDING UPON THEIR SCHEDULES AND THE COMPLEXITY OF
THE PROJECT. SO, TWO DOCUMENTS HAVE TO BE APPROVED
PRIOR TO A CONTRACTOR GOING OUT AND CONDUCTING THIS
TYPE OF WORK. THE WORK PLAN AND ESS ARE BEING
COMPLETED FOR WEDGEWOOD AND 11C AND 11D, WHICH
ALLOWS US, ONCE THAT'S APPROVED, TO BE ABLE TO WORK
IN ANY OF THOSE AREAS AS THE FUNDING BECOMES
AVAILABLE. SO, WE WON'T HAVE TO STOP AND RESUBMIT
ANOTHER PLAN. THOSE HAVE BEEN DRAFTED AND
SUBMITTED.
THIS IS JUST A RECAP, ACTUALLY, OF A REMOVAL

ACTION THAT TOOK PLACE IN 2001 AS PART OF THIS

PROJECT. THIS WAS IN AN AREA OOU6 OFF RED HILL. IT

WAS AN ACTUAL REMOVAL ACTION. IT WAS 105 IMPACT
AREAS, EXTREMELY CLUTTERED WITH FRAGMENTATION SO
THAT THE SENSORS COULDN'T SEE PAST THE FRAGMENTATION
TO TALLY UP WAS THERE ANY ORDNANCE IN THE GROUND.
NUMEROUS ATTEMPTS, LOTS OF MAN HOURS TO TRY TO CLEAR
A FOUR-ACRE PARCEL. SO, WHAT WAS DECIDED IS THE
CORPS OF ENGINEERS, IN COORDINATION WITH THE AIR
FORCE RESEARCH LAB OUT OF TYNDALL AIR FORCE BASE IN
FLORIDA, THOUGHT THIS WOULD BE AN OPPORTUNITY TO
CONDUCT A REMOVAL ACTION WHILE ALSO HAVING A
TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION. WE USED REMOTELY OPERATED
EQUIPMENT THAT WAS SOMEWHAT ARMORED. SO, THESE TWO
PIECES OF EQUIPMENT ACTUALLY WERE BEING MANAGED AND
MANNED BY A CONTROL SYSTEM IN A VAN SEVERAL HUNDRED
FEET AWAY FROM THE OPERATION OF THESE PIECES OF
EQUIPMENT. WHAT WE DID WAS WE SCRAPED THE SURFACE
OF THE AREA AND COLLECTED ALL OF THAT AND THAT SOIL
WAS THEN IT'S KIND OF HARD TO TELL, BUT THIS IS A
SIFTER. THE SOIL WAS THEN PLACED ON TOP OF THAT
SIFTER THAT HAS A SCREEN AND IT WOULD SHAKE SO THAT
THE LARGER PIECES OF METAL AND THE FRAG WOULD BE ON
THE TOP AND THEN THE CLEAN SOIL WOULD SIFT DOWN
BELOW. AGAIN, THIS WAS ALL DONE REMOTELY. WE COULD
CLEAR OFF THE SURFACE DIRT AND NEAR SURFACE
CONTAMINATION OF FRAGMENTATION AND THEN BE ABLE TO

GO BACK WITH THE SENSORS AND PICK THOSE SPECIFIC
TARGETS THAT WERE REPRESENTATIVE OF THE 105s THAT WE
WERE WORKING TO DO THE REMOVAL. SO, THAT WAS
SUCCESSFUL AND THAT'S BEEN COMPLETED AND THAT
HILLSIDE HAS NOW BEEN RESTORED AND GRASS AND PINE
TREES ARE GROWING.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THIS IS A TIMELINE THAT STOPPED IN 2002, WHICH IS KIND OF WHEN WE STOPPED. FUNDING WAS TRICKLING IN IN A WAY THAT DIDN'T ALLOW A START UP AND CONTINUATION OF THE NEXT LEVEL OF FIELD WORK. IT'S VERY EXPENSIVE TO START IF YOU KNOW YOU DON'T HAVE A FUNDING STREAM TO KEEP YOU IN THE FIELD, TO MOBILIZE CREWS AND THEN TO DEMOBILIZE THEM. SO, 2002 WAS FAIRLY QUIET. 2003, THE FUNDING IS FLOWING A LITTLE BIT STRONGER. AGAIN, THE WORK PLANS HAVE BEEN DRAFTED FOR THOSE AREAS THAT WE MENTIONED. THE ESS HAS BEEN SUBMITTED AND INITIAL COMMENTS HAVE BEEN RECEIVED AND WE ANTICIPATE WINTER, POTENTIALLY, BEING BACK IN THE WEDGEWOOD NEIGHBORHOOD TO COMPLETE THOSE AREAS. THAT'S NOT A CONFIRMED COMMITTED SCHEDULE YET. THAT'S ALL DEPENDENT UPON THE APPROVAL OF THOSE PLANS. SO, AT THE NEXT MEETING, WE'LL POP THAT TIMELINE OUT, 2003-2004.

WHAT'S NEXT? AGAIN, CONTINUING COMMUNITY

AWARENESS AND MAINTAINING DOCUMENTS IN THE

I	INFORMATION REPOSITORY, ON THE WEBSITE; CONTINUING
TV	WITH OUR MEETINGS; CONTINUING WITH THE REMOVAL
E	EFFORTS, WHICH IS OOU3, 11C, AND 11D; COORDINATING
V	WITH THE CROFT STATE NATURAL AREA AND THE STATE PARK
G	GROUP, AS TO WHAT THEIR FUTURE DEVELOPMENT PLANS ARE
T	TO SEE, AGAIN, IF ANY OF THOSE ANTICIPATED AND
F	PROJECTED RISK REDUCTION ALTERNATIVES ARE GOING TO
S	STILL BE EFFECTIVE BASED UPON ANY POTENTIAL CHANGES
I	IN LAND USE; CONTINUE TO MONITOR AND RESPOND TO ANY
τ	JNEXPECTED FINDS. WE SAY THIS AT EVERY MEETING.
I	I'LL SAY IT NOW AND I'LL SAY IT AGAIN IN ABOUT TWO
M	MORE SLIDES. IF YOU FIND ANYTHING OR IF YOU KNOW OF
W	WHERE ANYTHING MIGHT BE, THE RESPONSE IS TO CALL THE
S	SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. SPARTANBURG COUNTY HAS A BOMB
F	RESPONSE UNIT AND WILL RESPOND AND TRACK AND
Γ	OCCUMENT THOSE FINDS AND HAVE THE ABILITY TO TAKE
C	CARE OF THOSE MUNITIONS. THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS
Γ	DOES NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO DO AN EMERGENCY
F	RESPONSE ON AN ITEM BY ITEM BASIS, NOR DOES THE
C	CONTRACTOR. SO, WE HAVE A SYSTEM IN PLACE AND IT
V	WORKS WHEN THEY'RE CALLED. CONDUCT RECURRING
F	REVIEWS. THAT WILL BE WITHIN THE NEXT YEAR, WE WILL
E	BE LOOKING BACK IN TIME AND LOOKING FORWARD. THEN,
E	BASED UPON THE FINDINGS OF THE RECURRING REVIEWS AND
C	COORDINATING WITH PROPERTY OWNERS, EVALUATE THE

1	STATUS OF ANY ADDITIONAL SITE CHARACTERIZATIONS. DO
2	WE NEED TO RE-LOOK AT THE EE/CAS THAT HAVE BEEN
3	COMPLETED OR LOOK FOR ADDITIONAL CHARACTERIZATION
4	STUDIES? SO, AGAIN, SAFETY IS PARAMOUNT. WE CAN'T
5	EMPHASIZE THAT ENOUGH. YOU DON'T PICK UP ANYTHING
6	THAT YOU THINK LOOKS LIKE A PIECE OF MUNITION, EVEN
7	IF YOU FEEL THAT YOU KNOW THAT IT'S INERT OR IT'S
8	SCRAP. IT STILL CAN BE VERY DANGEROUS. MARK THE
9	LOCATION WITHOUT TOUCHING OR DISTURBING THAT ITEM
10	AND CALL 911 OR THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. AND I
11	MENTIONED WHERE WE HAVE ALL THE DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE
12	FOR REVIEW AND YOU CAN CONTACT US WITH ANY
13	QUESTIONS, TOLL FREE, AT 888-242-8862. I THINK THAT
14	WRAPS UP THE OVERVIEW. RON, ANYTHING TO ADD?
15	BY MR. NESBIT:
16	NOTHING NECESSARILY I HAVE TO YOUR OVERVIEW,
17	EVEN THOUGH YOU TOOK A LOT OF MY INFORMATION
18	ALREADY.
19	BY MR. McMANUS:
20	SHE DID AN EXCELLENT JOB.
21	BY MR. NESBIT:
22	BUT THERE ARE A COUPLE OF THINGS THAT I JUST
23	WANTED TO JUST BRING TO YOUR ATTENTION OR
24	REEMPHASIZE. ONE IMPORTANT ITEM IS THAT WE WERE
25	ABLE TO AWARD THE CONTRACT TO GO BACK INTO WEDGEWOOD

THIS YEAR, WHICH WAS NOT PROGRAMMED TO HAPPEN, BY
THE WAY. FUNDS WERE OF SUCH LOW QUANTITY THIS YEAR
THAT WE REALLY DIDN'T EXPECT TO HAVE ENOUGH MONEY TO
BE ABLE TO DO THAT. WE WERE ABLE TO DO THAT. IT
HAS BEEN AWARDED AND PLYLER AND ZAPATA ARE IN THE
PROCESS OF MOVING FORWARD SO THAT WE CAN GET THAT
WORK ACCOMPLISHED IN THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR, THAT
BEING FY04. THERE ARE THINGS THAT HAVE TO BE DONE,
AS SUZY MENTIONED, PRIOR TO ACTUAL REMOVAL
ACTIVITIES BEGINNING, BUT YOU CAN REST ASSURED THAT
WE ARE MOVING FORWARD IN THAT ENDEAVOR.

FY04, FUNDING IS A LITTLE BETTER THAN WHAT IT
WAS PROJECTED FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR. HOWEVER, IT'S
STILL NOT OF ANY MAJOR CONSEQUENCE AS TO THE TYPE OF
WORK OR THE AMOUNT OF WORK THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO BE
ABLE TO MOBILIZE TO BRING PEOPLE IN TO REALLY GET A
STRONG EFFORT MOVING FORWARD, IN TERMS OF TRYING TO
COMPLETE THE AREAS THAT WE WANT TO COMPLETE. THERE
WILL BE SUPPORT ACTIVITIES ONGOING WITH THE
WEDGEWOOD AREA AND THE GOLF COURSE AREA. THAT
FUNDING FROM FY04 WILL BE ALSO WORKING TOWARD OR
USED TOWARD, AS WELL. SO, AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, THE
SCHEDULE THAT SUZY SHOWED YOU EARLIER ON AS TO THE
AREAS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO COMPLETE IN FY03 AND
FY04, NINE TIMES OUT OF TEN, IT'S GOING TO BE PUSHED

BACK. AND WITH NEWS FROM WHAT THE PRESIDENT HAS
ASKED FOR FROM CONGRESS, ADDITIONAL FUNDING, WE WERE
HOPING THAT THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR, THAT BEING FY05,
WAS GOING TO BE A PLUS UP FOR THE ENVIRONMENTAL
ARENA IN ITSELF. BASED ON WHAT HIS REQUEST IS FOR
FUNDING AND WHAT WE, AS A NATION, ARE INVOLVED IN,
THE CHANCES OF US BEING PLUS UP IS VERY NIL. BUT
NEEDLESS TO SAY, THAT HASN'T BEEN COMMUNICATED TO US
AT THIS POINT IN TIME, BUT WE ARE HOPING THAT WHAT
WE HAVE ACTUALLY BEEN PROGRAMMED TO GET THIS COMING
FISCAL YEAR, THAT WE STILL GET THAT. WHEREVER OR
WHENEVER THERE IS A REQUEST OR A REQUIREMENT SUCH AS
\$87 BILLION TO BE USED AT A PARTICULAR AREA, THERE
ARE FUNDING AREAS THAT WERE PROJECTED TO GO THAT
WILL ACTUALLY BE MINIMIZED IN WHAT THEY GET. FUNDS
WILL BE COMING FROM ELSEWHERE. SO, WE EXPECT TO GET
HIT AGAIN, JUST TO LET YOU KNOW THAT. WE CANNOT,
SHALL WE SAY, TELL YOU TO TALK TO YOUR CONGRESSMEN
OR ANYONE ELSE TO EARMARK SPECIFIC PROJECTS OR
ANYTHING LIKE THAT FOR FUNDING, AND I'M NOT TELLING
YOU THAT TODAY OR TONIGHT, BUT I WILL TELL YOU THAT
IF, IN FACT, YOU DID THAT, JUST BE AWARE OF THE
IMPACTS WITHIN THIS PROGRAM AND WITHIN SOUTH
CAROLINA, ON A WHOLE. THERE IS ONLY LIMITED FUNDING
AND IF CERTAIN PROJECT AREAS DO BECOME ONE OF HIGH

CONSIDERATION AND THEY TAKE MONEY AND OBLIGATE	IT
DIRECTLY OR SPECIFY IT FOR THAT PROJECT, THERE	ARE
IMPACTS WITHIN THE STATE AND OTHER STATES THAT	' HAVE
TO SUFFER THE CONSEQUENCES. BUT NONETHELESS,	SOUTH
CAROLINA IS OUR PRIMARY CONCERN AND WHEN FUNDS	COME
AND QUESTIONS ARE ASKED, PEOPLE TEND TO AT LEA	ST
RESPOND TO YOUR INQUIRIES A LOT FASTER THAN TO	MINE.
I'LL PUT IT THAT WAY, OKAY? ONE OTHER THING I	WANT
TO MENTION TO YOU IS SINCE OUR LAST MEETING, W	E HAVE
HAD A CHANGE OF COMMAND AT THE CHARLESTON DIST	RICT.
COLONEL MUELLER, OUR LAST COMMANDER, HAS MOVED	ON
BACK TO WASHINGTON, IN FACT, AT THE PENTAGON,	AND WE
HAVE A NEW COMMANDER, COLONEL LEE. I HAVE NOT	ı
SCHEDULED A DATE YET FOR HIM TO COME DOWN, BUT	ı
BEFORE HIS TOUR OF DUTY IS OVER, FOR SURE, I W	ILL
BRING HIM HERE FOR ONE OF OUR RAB MEETINGS. H	E HAS
ALREADY ASKED WHEN THAT CAN HAPPEN AND THE BIG	GEST
THING IS, OF COURSE, WITH HIM JUST MOVING ON B	OARD,
HE'S GOT A NUMBER OF PLACES THAT HE IS MANDATE	D TO
VISIT AND/OR DEAL WITH PRIOR TO ME BEING ABLE	TO
EXTRACT HIM TO COME HERE. BUT THIS IS ONE OF	HIS
PRIORITIES AND HE WILL DEFINITELY MAKE A VISIT	ı
BEFORE TOO LONG.	

THE LAST ITEM I WANT TO MENTION IS ABOUT FUTURE WORK. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF ISSUES AND CONCERNS ABOUT

1	DIFFERENT AREAS THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE WORK
2	AND/OR HIGH CONSIDERATIONS PLACED ON PROJECTS OR
3	SITES. I JUST WANT TO REMIND EVERYONE OR MENTION,
4	AT LEAST, FOR A LOT OF FOLKS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN TO
5	THE MEETINGS BEFORE, THAT WE STILL ARE WORKING ON
6	ITEMS THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED IN EE/CA NUMBER TWO.
7	THE PRIORITY SITES HAVE BEEN ADDRESSED OR ARE BEING
8	ADDRESSED, AND ANY OTHER SITES POTENTIALLY THAT WE
9	MIGHT NEED TO WORK ON, IT DOESN'T SAY THAT WE CAN'T
10	LOOK AT OTHER SITES FOR CONSIDERATION OR DISCUSS
11	SITES FOR FUTURE WORK. IT JUST MEANS THAT BASED
12	UPON THE INVESTIGATIONS THAT WE HAVE DONE AND THE
13	LAND USE OF THOSE PROPERTIES, IT HAS BEEN DETERMINED
14	THAT THOSE SITES HAVE A HIGHER PRIORITY AND THOSE
15	ARE THE ONES THAT WE'LL WORK ON FIRST, ASSUMING WE
16	HAVE FUNDS TO WORK ON THEM. SO, AS FUNDS BECOME
17	AVAILABLE, WE ARE WORKING HARD TO TRY TO, AT LEAST,
18	GET RID OF OR RESTORE THOSE AREAS BY REMOVAL OR
19	WHATEVER THE EE/CA HAS RECOMMENDED THAT WE DO TO
20	THOSE AREAS. OKAY. YES, SIR?
21	BY WILLIAM DUKES:

BY WILLIAM DUKES:

HAS THE PREVIOUSLY APPROPRIATED \$15 MILLION 22

23 BEEN SPENT?

24 BY MR. NESBIT:

YES. LET ME CLARIFY THAT. THIS IS YOU'RE
NOT THE FIRST, SIR, THAT HAS BROUGHT THE QUESTION
WHAT ABOUT THAT \$15 MILLION OR \$20 MILLION THAT HAS
BEEN APPROPRIATED FOR THIS PROJECT. THAT \$15
MILLION OR \$14 MILLION OR EVEN \$18 MILLION HAS BEEN
SPENT ON THIS PROJECT. FROM '95 TO 2003, THAT'S A
COMBINATION OF AN AMOUNT OF MONEY THAT HAS BEEN
SPENT ON THIS PROJECT SO FAR. SO, IN DIFFERENT
YEARS, THERE ARE CERTAIN NUMBERS AND PERCENTAGES OF
THAT THAT HAVE BEEN SPENT EACH YEAR. EVEN THOUGH
YOU MAY NOT SEE US ON THE SITE ACTUALLY WORKING,
WORK IS STILL GOING ON AND COORDINATION EFFORTS AND
EVERYTHING ELSE THAT'S ASSOCIATED WITH THE PROJECT
THAT WE MUST DO IN ORDER TO MAKE IT ACTUALLY HAPPEN.
BUT THE \$15 MILLION HAS BEEN SPENT, ESSENTIALLY,
ALREADY. WE TRY TO KEEP THAT NUMBER MOVING FORWARD
TO SHOW EXACTLY OR AT LEAST GIVE EVERYONE AN IDEA OF
HOW MUCH MONEY HAS BEEN EXPENDED ON THIS PROJECT,
NOT JUST FOR INFORMATION, BUT SO PEOPLE CAN SEE
ESSENTIALLY THAT IT'S AN EXPENSIVE VENTURE THAT
WE'RE INVOLVED IN AND AS WE GO ALONG, IT'S BEGINNING
TO BE EVEN MORE EXPENSIVE AS TIME CONTINUES.

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

24 SIR, MAY WE GET YOUR NAME FOR THE RECORD?

BY MR. NESBIT:

1 YES.

- 2 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
- 3 YOUR NAME, SIR?
- 4 BY MR. DUKES:
- 5 BILL, WILLIAM DUKES, D-U-K-E-S.
- 6 BY MR. HAYES:
- 7 OKAY. IS THAT ALL?
- 8 BY MR. NESBIT:

25

- 9 ONE THING, I WOULD LIKE PLYLER TO GO IN A
- 10 LITTLE MORE -- IF HE HAS ANYTHING ELSE TO SAY.

BY MR. PLYLER McMANUS:

SURE, I'D BE GLAD TO. IN HUNTSVILLE, OUR JOB 12 AS PART OF THE TEAM IS TO GET US IN POSITION, WHEN 13 THE FUNDS BECOME AVAILABLE, TO GET IN THE FIELD AND 14 WORK. SO, WHAT WE'VE BEEN DOING SINCE THE LAST RAB 15 MEETING THAT WE'VE ATTENDED IS TO GET THE PLANS IN 16 17 PLACE AND THE CONTRACTS IN PLACE SO THAT WE CAN GET ZAPATA IN THE FIELDS WHEN THE FUNDS DO BECOME 18 AVAILABLE. IT'S FAIRLY COMMON FOR, RECOGNIZING THAT 19 WE'RE A BUREAUCRACY AND RECOGNIZING THAT, FOR 20 EXAMPLE, THERE'S A NUMBER OF PLANS THAT HAVE TO BE 21 PUT IN PLACE FOR A PROJECT TO COME OFF AND OTHER 22 PROJECTS AREN'T IN A POSITION TO UTILIZE THE FUNDS 23 THAT HAVE BEEN ALLOCATED TO THEM. SO, ONE OF THE 24

STRATEGIES THAT WE'VE TAKEN HERE AT CAMP CROFT IS TO

GET OUR CONTRACTS IN PLACE AND OUR PLANS IN PLACE SO THAT IF OTHER PROJECTS, FOR WHATEVER REASON, CAN'T UTILIZE THE FUNDS THAT ARE ALLOCATED TO THEM, THAT WE'RE IN A POSITION TO USE THEM HERE. SO, THAT'S THE STRATEGY THAT WE'VE BEEN TAKING AND THAT'S THE REASON THIS YEAR, WE WERE ABLE TO GET FUNDS IN PLACE THAT WE REALLY HADN'T PLANNED FOR. OTHER PROJECTS WITHIN THE REGION WERE UNABLE TO USE THE FUNDS AND WE WERE STRATEGICALLY POSITIONED TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THEIR MISFORTUNE. SO, THAT'S WHY WE GOT ZAPATA OUT HERE PREPARED TO WORK. AND WE'VE LAID OUT PLANS FOR THE NEXT FEW YEARS, ACTUALLY, SO THAT WE WILL BE IN AS GOOD A POSITION AS WE CAN BE TO CONTINUE WORK AND AGGRESSIVELY WORK HERE AT CAMP CROFT AS WE CAN. SO, AS SOON AS WE GET THE EXPLOSIVE SAFETY SUBMISSION APPROVED, WE'LL BE BACK UP AT CAMP CROFT FINISHING THE AREA IN WEDGEWOOD THAT WAS STARTED A YEAR OR SO AGO AND WE'LL CONTINUE TO STAY IN POSITION UNTIL THE FUNDS DO BECOME AVAILABLE AND WE'LL BE BACK UP THERE WORKING.

BY MR. HAYES:

1

2

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

DOES THE BOARD HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR ANYTHING
ON THIS PRESENTATION? OKAY. DOES ANYBODY IN THE
AUDIENCE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? I WANT TO REMIND YOU
THAT WE NEED TO KEEP THIS TO THIS PRESENTATION ONLY.

	WE LT BRING OF OUR BOSINESS AND NEW BOSINESS LATER.
	BE SURE TO STATE YOUR NAME AND SPEAK CLEARLY AND
	LIMIT DISCUSSIONS TO THE TOPICS PRESENTED BY SUZY
	AND RON AND PLYLER. IF THERE ARE OTHER DISCUSSIONS,
	WE'LL NEED TO BRING THAT UP WITH NEW BUSINESS LATER
	ON. OKAY, HAROLD.
BY M	R. HAROLD OSBORNE:
	HAROLD OSBORNE. I WANT TO ASK CHARLESTON AND
	YOU, WHAT WAS THE HELICOPTERS FLYING AROUND HERE
	TODAY?
BY M	R. HAYES:
	HAROLD, THAT HASN'T GOT ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE
	PRESENTATION. WE NEED TO STAY
BY M	R. OSBORNE:
	MILITARY HELICOPTERS WITH PODS UNDERNEATH DOING
	SOMETHING, HUNTING SOMETHING.
BY M	R. NESBIT:
	TODAY?
BY M	R. OSBORNE:
	CORPS ENGINEERS SHOULD KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON,
	SHOULDN'T THEY?
BY M	R. HAYES:
	THIS HASN'T GOT ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE
	PRESENTATION. IF YOU WANT TO BRING UP THINGS ABOUT
	HELICOPTERS, WE NEED TO BRING THAT UP WITH NEW

1 BUSINESS LATER ON. OKAY. LET ME REMIND YOU. THIS IS JUST FOR THE PRESENTATIONS GIVEN BY SUZY AND RON. 2 BY MR. MICHAEL WINNINGHAM: 3 AND IF YOU COULD GIVE ME THE PAGE NUMBER YOU 4 WANT TO REFERENCE, I'LL PULL IT UP ON THE SCREEN, 5 6 ALSO. 7 BY MR. HAYES: OKAY. ANYBODY HAVE ANY QUESTIONS? OKAY, MR. 8 9 STEWART. SAY YOUR NAME AND SPEAK UP CLEARLY. BY MR. STONEWALL STEWART, JR.: 10 11 STONEWALL STEWART, JR. MY QUESTION IS IN REFERENCE TO THE CONTRACT TO DO THE WORK AND HAVE 12 BEEN DOING THE WORK, IF AND WHEN THEY AWARD THAT NEW 13 CONTRACT, IS THIS PUBLIC INFORMATION? 14 BY MR. NESBIT: 15 16 YES, SIR. 17 BY MR. STEWART: SO, THESE RECORDS ARE IN THE LIBRARY? 18 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 19 THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE. 20 21 BY MR. NESBIT: THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE. AS FAR AS THE 22 SPECIFIC DOLLAR AMOUNT AND SO ON, THAT'S -- YOU CAN 23 GET THAT INFORMATION THROUGH THE FREEDOM OF 24 INFORMATION ACT, BUT YOU HAVE TO REQUEST THAT FROM 25

1	THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS AND OUR CONTRACTING OFFICE
2	THROUGH PLYLER WOULD DEAL WITH THAT. YOU HAVE TO
3	PAY FOR THAT INFORMATION, BUT THAT INFORMATION IS
4	AVAILABLE.
5	BY MR. McMANUS:
6	AND ANY INFORMATION THAT WE CAN RELEASE, WE'D
7	CERTAINLY BE GLAD TO DO SO. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO
8	CONTACT US, WE CAN LOOK INTO WHAT WE CAN RELEASE TO
9	YOU.
10	BY MR. STEWART:
11	THANK YOU.
12	BY MR. HAYES:
13	ANYONE ELSE? SIR?
14	BY MR. BRUCE DELL:
15	MY NAME IS BRUCE DELL. I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT
16	THE SECTION OF TRAILS THAT WERE IN THE PRESENTATION,
17	OOU9. IT'S A REFERENCE ON THE MAP AS 9C. 9C
18	INDICATES THAT NO FURTHER ACTION IS GOING TO OCCUR
19	IN THAT AREA. THERE IS A SERIES OF EQUESTRIAN
20	TRAILS IN THE AREA THAT IS ENCLOSED AND I WANTED TO
21	SEE WHAT THE PROSPECT WAS OF OPENING THOSE TRAILS
22	SINCE NO FURTHER ACTION IS INDICATED.
23	BY MR. NESBIT:
24	IF I REMEMBER CORRECTLY, AND CORRECT ME IF I'M
25	WRONG, THOSE ARE AREAS THAT DURING THE COURSE OF

1	INVESTIGATION AT THE EARLY STAGE OF THE PROJECT AREA
2	AT CAMP CROFT, BASED UPON THE LAND USE OF THE
3	PROPERTY AND OUR INVESTIGATION, IT WAS DETERMINED
4	THAT THERE WERE NO FURTHER INVESTIGATION REQUIRED
5	FOR THAT AREA. NOW
6	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
7	NO ORDNANCE WAS FOUND.
8	BY MR. NESBIT:
9	NO ORDNANCE WAS ESSENTIALLY FOUND. OKAY. NOW,
10	AS FAR AS WE'RE CONCERNED, THE PARK SERVICE IN THAT
11	PARTICULAR AREA, WE GAVE THAT REPORT AND EXPLAINED
12	OUR POSITION AT THAT TIME, TECHNICAL INFORMATION.
13	AS FAR AS WE WERE CONCERNED, AT THAT POINT IN TIME,
14	WE HAD NO MORE WE SAW NOTHING ELSE THAT WE NEEDED
15	TO DO IN THAT AREA, BASED ON HOW IT WAS IDENTIFIED
16	TO BE USED, AT THAT POINT IN TIME.
17	BY MR. DELL:
18	SO, AS FAR AS THE THE WAY I INTERPRET YOUR
19	RESPONSE TO ME, AS FAR AS THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS IS
20	CONCERNED AND ZAPATA ENGINEERING, THAT THE TRAILS
21	CAN BE OPENED IF THE STATE OR PARK SERVICE WISHES TO
22	DO SO. IS THAT YOUR POSITION?
23	BY MR. NESBIT:
24	WE ARE NOT IN A POSITION TO STOP THE PARK FROM
25	OPENING ANY AREAS THEY WANT. THIS AREA IS ONE THAT

1	WE DID INVESTIGATE AND WE DID HAVE A REPORT THAT WE
2	SAW NO REASON WHY, FROM A TECHNICAL PERSPECTIVE,
3	THAT IT POSSIBLY COULD NOT BE.
4	BY MR. DELL:
5	OKAY. FAIR ENOUGH. THANK YOU.
6	BY MR. HAYES:
7	SIR?
8	BY MR. MIKE FOLEY:
9	MIKE FOLEY. I'M WITH THE STATE PARK SERVICE.
10	RON, IT'S A LOT MORE COMPLICATED THAN THAT. I MEAN,
11	IT SEEMS THAT THE CORPS IS NOW LAYING OFF THAT
12	RESPONSIBILITY ON THE PARK AND I'VE GOT IN WRITING
13	FROM THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS THAT CERTAIN ACTIONS IN
14	SOME OF THOSE AREAS THAT WERE RECOMMENDED FOR NO
15	FURTHER ACTION OR WERE NEVER DECLARED AN OOU, THAT
16	ANY INTRUSIVE ACTION, SUCH AS THE CONSTRUCTION OF
17	TRAILS, IT IS RECOMMENDED BY THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS
18	THAT WE GET
19	BY MR. NESBIT:
20	FURTHER ACTION.
21	BY MR. FOLEY:
22	THAT WE GET CLEARANCE THROUGH EXPERTS, WHICH
23	LEAVES US IN THE POSITION OF THE CORPS IS NOT GOING
24	TO DO IT. IT'S NOT SCHEDULED AND WE'VE GOT A
25	PECOMMENDATION IN WRITING FROM THE CORDS THAT THOSE

1	AREAS ARE RECOMMENDED FOR CLEARANCE BY ORDNANCE
2	EXPERTS. AND YET, THE CORPS ISN'T GOING TO DO IT.
3	I'VE GOT THAT IN WRITING FROM THE CORPS. SO, WHEN
4	YOU SAY THAT YOU CAN'T STOP US FROM OPENING THE
5	TRAILS, WHEN I GET THAT KIND OF OPINION IN MY FILES
6	IT'S PRETTY DIFFICULT FOR US JUST TO OPEN THE
7	TRAILS. SO, YOU KNOW, I THINK THAT ANSWER THAT YOU
8	GAVE NEEDS TO BE TEMPERED, WHICH LEADS ME TO A
9	QUESTION. SUZY'S PRESENTATION ON WHAT'S NEXT, THE
10	CONSULTATION WITH THE PARK SERVICE, WHEN CAN WE
11	EXPECT THAT TO HAPPEN? IS THAT WHAT'S NEXT THIS
12	YEAR OR IS THAT WHAT'S NEXT IN THREE OR FOUR YEARS?
13 <u>B</u>	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:

14 THAT IS RECURRING.

BY MR. FOLEY:

16 IT'S WHAT?

17 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

WE WERE DISCUSSING WHICH SLIDE. RECURRING
REVIEW OR A FORMAL RECURRING REVIEW OF WHAT HAS BEEN
ALREADY ACCOMPLISHED TODAY, IT WOULD BE IN 2005, BUT
I DON'T THINK WE NEED TO BE HELD TO THAT SCHEDULE IF
THERE IS AN INITIATION OF DIALOGUE AND CONCERN AND
FOLLOW UP BASED UPON THE PARK SERVICE AND PROJECTED
NEW TRAIL DEVELOPMENT OR TRAIL EXPANSION. I DON'T

1 WANT TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF THE CORPS THAT THAT DIALOGUE DOESN'T HAVE TO WAIT UNTIL A SET PERIOD. 2 BY MR. McMANUS: 3 YES, IF YOU'RE REALLY INTERESTED IN RELOOKING 4 AT THOSE TRAILS AND WANT US TO GO BACK AND TAKE A 5 LOOK AT, YOU KNOW, THE WORK THAT WE'VE DONE IN THAT AREA AND THE WORK THAT WE WOULD RECOMMEND TO BE DONE IN THE FUTURE IN THAT AREA IF YOU DO DECIDE TO OPEN 8 9 THOSE TRAILS, IF YOU WANT TO START THAT DIALOGUE, WE'LL BE GLAD TO DO THAT. 10 BY MR. FOLEY: 11 WELL, WE'VE GOT OOU10, WHICH IS, I ASSUME, 12 10ABC ON ---13 BY MR. NESBIT: 14 MM-HMM (AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSE). 15 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 16 17 YES. BY MR. FOLEY: 18 IS THAT WHAT THAT IS? 19 20 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 21 YES. BY MR. WINNINGHAM: 22 YES, ACTUALLY THE RECURRING REVIEW IN 2004, 23 BASED ON THIS SCHEDULE UP HERE. AND THEN, ALL ---24

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

1	SO, TEN IS 10ABC.
2	BY MR. WINNINGHAM:
3	AND ALL THE SUB-PARCELS RELATED TO THAT.
4	BY MR. McMANUS:
5	AND REALLY, WHAT THEY WERE JUST REFERENCING, WE
6	GENERALLY GO BACK EVERY FIVE YEARS AND TAKE A LOOK
7	AT HAS THE LAND USE OR THE PROJECTED LAND USE
8	CHANGED, YOU KNOW, IN THE LAST FIVE YEARS. SO, THAT
9	DOESN'T MEAN THAT WE CAN'T COME BACK AT ANY TIME
10	WHEN A STAKE HOLDER COMES IN AND PROPOSES A CHANGE
11	IN LAND USE.
12	BY MR. FOLEY:
13	WITHOUT GETTING INTO IT, YOU KNOW, LOOKING AT
14	10ABC, WE PROBABLY HAVE LESS INTEREST IN HAVING
15	RECREATIONAL ACCESS TO THAT PROPERTY THAN A LOT OF
16	OTHER PROPERTIES, WHICH IS WHY I WAS INTERESTED IN
17	IF YOU FLIP BACK TO WHAT'S NEXT. THAT SEEMED TO BE
18	A SCHEDULE, BUT HAVE YOU GOTTEN A TASK ORDER TO
19	CONSULT WITH THE STATE PARK?
20	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
21	THAT WASN'T WHAT'S NEXT IS JUST PUTTING OUT
22	A THOUGHT PROCESS OF THINGS THAT WE DON'T WANT TO
23	LOSE TRACK OF
24	BY MR. FOLEY:

SO, IT'S NOT A TASK ORDER?

1	BY MR. NESBIT:
2	NOT AT THIS POINT IN TIME.
3	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
4	IT'S NOT A TASK ORDER AND IT'S NOT A SET
5	SCHEDULE.
6	BY MR. FOLEY:
7	IF WE WANTED TO START THAT DIALOGUE THIS YEAR,
8	ARE YOU FUNDED TO DO THAT?
9	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
10	THAT'S A QUESTION TO THE CORPS AND NOT DIRECTLY
11	TO US.
12	BY MR. FOLEY:
13	RIGHT, BECAUSE THEY WOULD HAVE TO GIVE YOU A
14	TASK ORDER. OTHERWISE, IT DOESN'T MEAN ANYTHING.
15	IT'S JUST A THOUGHT BECAUSE WE'RE VERY INTERESTED IN
16	THAT DIALOGUE.
17	BY MR. NESBIT:
18	ESSENTIALLY, MIKE, WHERE WE ARE IS WE HAVE
19	FUNDS TO DISCUSS DIFFERENT AREAS OF WHAT YOUR
20	CONCERNS ARE, OKAY? NOW, ARE YOU ASKING US TO SIT
21	DOWN AND DISCUSS FUTURE WORK THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO
22	SEE ACCOMPLISHED FOR THE PARK SERVICE? WE'RE ALWAYS
23	PREPARED TO SIT DOWN AND DO THAT. ARE YOU SAYING TO
24	ME THAT THIS IS SOME WORK THAT YOU WANT US TO
25	PROGRAM FOR THE NEXT YEAR OR THE FOLLOWING YEAR, I

1	CAN'T GIVE YOU AN ANSWER TO THAT BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T
2	EVEN COMPLETED THE WORK WE HAVE ALREADY STARTED.
3	BY MR. FOLEY:
4	I'M NOT ASKING THAT AT ALL.
5	BY MR. NESBIT:
6	OKAY.
7	BY MR. FOLEY:
8	BUT, YOU KNOW, IN THE PRESENTATION, I SEE
9	WHAT'S NEXT AND THERE'S AN ITEM THAT WE'RE VERY
10	INTERESTED IN AND I'D LIKE VERY MUCH FOR THAT TO
11	HAPPEN.
12	BY MR. NESBIT:
13	WELL, THEN, THE PRESENTATION DID WHAT IT WAS
14	SUPPOSED TO, PIQUE AN INTEREST AND GET SOME FOLKS TO
15	ACTUALLY START LOOKING DOWN THE ROAD. ARE THERE
16	SOME INTERESTS IN THAT OR OTHER AREAS OF CONCERN
17	THAT NEED TO BE BROUGHT TO OUR ATTENTION SO THAT WE
18	CAN ACTUALLY SIT DOWN AND BEGIN A DIALOGUE AND DO
19	WHAT'S NECESSARY TO ASSIST, IF WE CAN.
20	BY MR. FOLEY:
21	WELL, I'LL BE HOUNDING YOU, THEN.
22	BY MR. NESBIT:
23	I'M SURE YOU WOULD. TO GO BACK TO A COMMENT
24	THAT YOU MADE ABOUT THE SPECIFIC AREAS AND TEMPERING
25	MY STATEMENT, I WAS TALKING IN THE BROAD SENSE AND

1	NOT A PARTICULAR AREA, AS FAR AS WHICH TRAIL AND SO
2	ON THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED AND/OR OPENED. BASED
3	UPON EARLIER DISCUSSIONS, WE HAVE OFTENTIMES SAID
4	THAT WELL, THIS IS AN AREA THAT WE WOULD LIKE TO
5	LOOK AT FOR RECONSIDERATION AND WE HAVE PROVIDED
6	INFORMATION BASICALLY TO HELP WITH THAT DECISION.
7	WE HAVE GIVEN INFORMATION BASED ON OUR TECHNICAL
8	ANALYSIS, WHAT WE THOUGHT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE TO
9	HELP YOU IN DECIDING WHAT YOU NEED TO DO. OKAY.
10	BUT THE PORTION OF THE STATEMENT THAT SAYS WE STILL
11	CANNOT OVERRIDE YOUR CONCERNS OR SAFETY AND SO ON
12	STILL STANDS. IT'S NOT OUR DECISION TO MAKE. OKAY?
13	WE WILL DO WHATEVER WE CAN, FROM A TECHNICAL
14	PERSPECTIVE TO ASSIST, AS WELL AS MOVE OURSELVES IN
15	POSITION DOWN THE ROAD TO EVEN REAFFIRM AN AREA THAT
16	MAY NEED TO CHANGE ITS USE BASED ON YOUR LAND USE
17	PROJECTIONS FOR THE COMING YEAR OR YEARS DOWN THE
18	ROAD, WHERE WE MIGHT HAVE TO CHANGE AND DO SOMETHING
19	DIFFERENT.
20	BY MR. FOLEY:

- 21 BUT YOU DO UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE WRITTEN
- 22 COMMENTS ---
- 23 BY MR. NESBIT:
- SURE.
- 25 **BY MR. FOLEY:**

1	IN MY FILES THAT SUGGEST THAT WE CAN OPEN
2	CERTAIN AREAS IF WE GO IN WITH UXO ORDNANCE PEOPLE
3	AND YET IT'S NOT FUNDED. I MEAN, IS THAT A
4	SUGGESTION THAT WE HAVE TO GO OUT AND FUND IT
5	OURSELVES?
6	BY MR. NESBIT:
7	THAT'S A SUGGESTION THAT ONCE WE HAVE COMPLETED
8	WHAT WE HAVE TO DO, IN TERMS OF WHAT IS ALREADY ON
9	THE PLATE, OKAY, UNLESS PRIORITY CHANGES. IF YOU
10	FEEL THAT THAT AREA OR OPENING THOSE TRAILS IS A
11	HIGHER PRIORITY THAN SOME OF THOSE THAT WE HAVE
12	ALREADY IDENTIFIED, THEN WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT THAT
13	AND IT HAS TO GO, NOT JUST FROM CHARLESTON, BUT IT
14	HAS TO GO BEYOND, AS YOU KNOW. SO, THE PRIORITY, IN
15	ITSELF, WILL REALLY ADDRESS HOW AND WHAT WE DO DOWN
16	THE ROAD.
17	BY MR. FOLEY:
18	AND THEN, FOLLOWED BY OOU10, ALTHOUGH WAY DOWN
19	THE ROAD, IT MAY NOT HAPPEN UNTIL 2006, THAT MAY NOT
20	BE OUR PRIORITY AT ALL BECAUSE WE'RE NOT INTERESTED
21	IN THE ACADEMIC EXERCISE. WE'RE INTERESTED IN BEING
22	ABLE TO MAKE AS MUCH OF THE PARK AS PRACTICAL,
23	USABLE TO THE PUBLIC.

24 BY MR. NESBIT:

25 SURE, I UNDERSTAND.

BY MR. FOLEY:

1

6

19

21

2 AND THERE'S A PRIORITY POLICY OUT THERE, YOU 3 KNOW, THAT MAY NOT FIT OUR NEEDS AT ALL AND WILL

4 COST A LOT OF MONEY AND, IN THE LONG RUN, IT WON'T

5 ACCOMPLISH MUCH.

BY MR. McMANUS:

WELL, WE CERTAINLY CAN SIT DOWN WITH THEM, RON, AND IF WE NEED TO ADJUST THOSE PRIORITIES, WE CAN 8 9 CERTAINLY TALK ABOUT WHERE THEY'RE SITTING AND WHETHER WE NEED TO CHANGE THEM. AND OBVIOUSLY, WE 10 11 CAN DO THAT. I GUESS IN THE NEAR TERM, SUZY, MAYBE WE CAN TAKE A LOOK AT THE AREA THAT HE'S TALKING 12 ABOUT, PULL OUT THE DOCUMENTS. I'M NOT AWARE OF THE 13 DOCUMENT THAT YOU'RE REFERRING TO, BUT WE CERTAINLY 14 CAN PREPARE ONE OF THOSE DISCUSSIONS AND SOMETIME 15 16 THAT YOU GUYS WOULD LIKE TO SCHEDULE WHEN WE CAN GET 17 TOGETHER AND DISCUSS, WE'RE CERTAINLY PREPARED TO DO SO. 18

BY MR. NESBIT:

20 SURE.

BY MR. TYNER:

22 IF I COULD MAKE A COMMENT ON THAT, WHEN
23 ORIGINALLY THAT DOCUMENT CAME OUT, THE NO FURTHER
24 ACTION WAS PROBABLY BASED ON THE EXISTING TRAILS AND
25 WITH A CAVEAT IN THERE THAT IF YOU'RE GOING TO BUILD

1	ADDITIONAL TRAILS, WIDEN OR MAINTAIN OR ANY TYPE OF
2	SUBSURFACE STUFF, THEN THERE WOULD BE SOME FURTHER
3	ACTION THAT WE WOULD REQUIRE.
4	BY MR. McMANUS:
5	RIGHT. AND IT'S THAT COMMUNICATION THAT WE
6	WOULD WANT TO MAKE SURE IS CLEAR. THAT WOULD BE, I
7	WOULD THINK, ONE OF THE GOALS OF OUR CONVERSATION.
8	OUR DISCUSSION WOULD BE THAT YOU UNDERSTAND CLEARLY
9	WHAT OUR PERSPECTIVE IS AND, YOU KNOW, IF IT'S AS
10	LIMITING AS YOU SAID, OBVIOUSLY WE WOULD WANT TO
11	MAKE SURE YOU WERE
12	BY MR. FOLEY:
13	WELL, IF I COULD JUST BRING YOU UP TO SPEED ON
14	WHERE WE'VE BEEN AND
15	BY MR. McMANUS:
16	RIGHT. AND IT SOUNDS LIKE SOME OF YOUR LAND
17	USES HAVE CHANGED, MAYBE SINCE
18	BY MR. NESBIT:
19	SOME LAND USE
20	BY MR. McMANUS:
21	YOU KNOW, SINCE '96 OR '97.
22	BY MR. NESBIT:
23	THAT ARE PLANNING TO BE CHANGED.
24	BY MR. FOLEY:
25	RIGHT.

1 BY MR. NESBIT: 2 OKAY. BY MR. OSBORNE: 3 ONE MORE QUESTION, HAROLD OSBORNE. YOU STATED 4 THAT MONEY FOR WEDGEWOOD AND THE GOLF COURSE. 5 BY MR. NESBIT: THE PORTION, YES, BETWEEN THE WEDGEWOOD AREA AND ---8 9 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: THE BUFFER AREA. 10 11 BY MR. NESBIT: THE BUFFER AREA. 12 BY MR. OSBORNE: 13 AROUND THE GOLF COURSE AREA? 14 BY MR. NESBIT: 15 16 MM-HMM (AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSE). 17 BY MR. OSBORNE: THEN, YOU'RE ADMITTING THAT THERE WAS 18 19 AMMUNITION AND THINGS FOUND THERE AND TRANSPORTED NOT TOO AWFUL LONG AGO AND PUT IN A PEACH SHED AND 20 THEN AN OUTFIT FROM CAMP GORDON CAME AND PICKED IT 21 UP? 22 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 23 NO, WE REPORTED ON THAT AT THE OTHER MEETING 24 AND THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT REPORTED AS TO WHAT HAD 25

1	TRANSPIRED. THIS IS THE FOLLOW-ON TO WHEN THE
2	PREVIOUS CONTRACTOR WAS ON SITE IN 2000 AND 2001
3	THAT WAS NOT COMPLETED. THIS ISN'T ANY RESPONSE TO
4	A RECENT EVENT.
5	BY MR. HAYES:
6	BUT THE NEXT REMOVAL OR CLEARANCE IS GOING TO
7	BE DOWN TOWARDS WEDGEWOOD AND THAT SHOULD GO ON THIS
8	YEAR.
9	BY MR. NESBIT:
10	YES.
11	BY MR. HAYES:
12	THAT'S THE NEXT FUNDING.
13	BY MR. NESBIT:
14	YES.
15	BY MR. HAYES:
16	OKAY. ANYMORE QUESTIONS ON THIS PRESENTATION?
17	OKAY. I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY THAT HAD THEIR
18	QUESTIONS. NOW, WE HAVE OLD BUSINESS AND FOR OLD
19	BUSINESS, SOMEBODY WOULD NEED TO WRITE TO SUZY OR
20	BRING IT UP AT THE LAST MEETING. SO, SUZY, DO WE
21	HAVE ANY OLD BUSINESS?
22	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
23	I DID HAVE SOME INQUIRIES THAT I WANTED TO
24	FORMALLY RESPOND TO OVER THE PAST FEW MONTHS, SOME
25	TELEPHONE INQUIRIES. ONE WAS A CALL REGARDING

DISCLOSURE OF PREVIOUS LAND USE FOR PROPERTY

TRANSFERS WITHIN THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE

ROLE THAT THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS HAS TO RESPOND OR

TO PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR THOSE PROPERTY TRANSFERS

AND I FORWARDED THOSE TO RON IN CHARLESTON AND

PLYLER IN HUNTSVILLE. I DID RECEIVE A STATEMENT

THROUGH COUNSEL IN HUNTSVILLE. DO YOU WANT TO STATE

THAT OR WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO?

BY MR. McMANUS:

1

2

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

SURE, I'LL BE GLAD TO. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT WE ALWAYS LOOK AT WHEN WE DO AN EE/CA AND WE DETERMINE, YOU KNOW, HOW WE WANT TO BEST MINIMIZE THE RISK TO THE PUBLIC FROM THE ORDNANCE THAT WAS THERE, WE LOOK AT ALL THE OPTIONS THAT WE HAVE. WE LOOK AT, OBVIOUSLY WE'VE TALKED ABOUT REMOVAL, WE'VE TALKED ABOUT INSTITUTIONAL CONTROLS. ONE OF THE OPTIONS THAT WE HAVE IN SOME STATES IS TO PUT SOME LAND USE RESTRICTIONS ON THE PROPERTY OR POSSIBLY NOTIFICATION RESTRICTIONS ON THE PROPERTY SO THAT WHEN PROPERTY MOVES FROM ONE LANDOWNER TO THE NEXT, THAT THERE IS NOTIFICATION TO THE, YOU KNOW, POTENTIAL NEW LANDOWNER. BUT THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS LIMITED BY STATE LAW IN WHAT THEY CAN DO, AS IT RELATES TO NOTIFICATION OF LANDOWNERS. OUR ATTORNEYS OBVIOUSLY LOOKED AT THE STATE OF SOUTH

CAROLINA AND WHAT ABILITY WE HAD TO PLACE
REQUIREMENTS ON LANDOWNERS TO NOTIFY POTENTIAL
BUYERS OF PROPERTY THAT CAMP CROFT WAS HERE AND THAT
THERE'S POSSIBLE OR POTENTIAL FOR ORDNANCE HERE.
THE FEEDBACK THAT I GOT FROM OUR ATTORNEYS IS THAT
WHEN THEY DID THAT RESEARCH, THAT THEY FOUND THAT
THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA HAS NO REQUIREMENT FOR
LANDOWNERS TO INFORM POTENTIAL NEW LANDOWNERS THAT
THERE WAS, YOU KNOW, CAMP CROFT HERE AND THE
ORDNANCE. WHAT THAT DOES IS IT DOESN'T PUT US IN A
POSITION TO REQUIRE LANDOWNERS TO NOTIFY POTENTIAL
NEW LANDOWNERS. SO, THAT WAS REALLY THE BASIS
THAT WAS THE QUESTION. THE QUESTION WAS CAN WE
FORCE LANDOWNERS TO INFORM NEW LANDOWNERS THAT CAMP
CROFT WAS HERE AND THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION WAS
NO, BECAUSE STATE LAW PROHIBITS WE'RE NOT IN THE
POSITION TO INSIST THAT THAT TAKE PLACE.

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

ANOTHER INQUIRY THAT I RECEIVED, AND THIS HAS
BEEN BROUGHT UP AT PREVIOUS RAB MEETINGS, WAS THE
POTENTIAL VIOLATION OF SOUTH CAROLINA LAW FOR ONE OF
OUR MEMBERS THAT WAS SERVING ON THE RAB, WHETHER OR
NOT WITH THE NEW RAB IN PLACE AND SEVERAL PREVIOUS
MEMBERS NO LONGER ON THE RAB, THIS IS STILL
APPLICABLE. I REQUESTED FOR THAT TO BE THOROUGHLY

1	EVALUATED, THAT WE HAVE THE ACTUAL CITATION OF THE
2	STATE LAW TO BE ABLE TO EVALUATE THAT. SO, MR.
3	OSBORNE HAS INQUIRED AND I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT
4	THAT STILL TO BE AN OPEN POINT, IF YOU WANT TO
5	PROVIDE US THAT INFORMATION.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

I'LL MAKE SURE THAT YOU GET A COPY FROM THE
ETHICS BOOK AND ALSO FROM THE CONSTITUTION OF THE
STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE UPDATE TO IT.

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

THANK YOU. THERE'S ANOTHER INQUIRY REGARDING
CONCERNS -- AND THIS WAS RAISED AT A PREVIOUS BOARD
MEETING AND ALSO IN SOME FOLLOW UP CONVERSATIONS OF
AN AREA IN PACOLET THAT WAS IDENTIFIED IN SOME OF
THE PRIOR CORPS OF ENGINEER REPORTS THAT IS A
CONCERN NEAR A NURSING HOME AND A PLAYGROUND, WHAT
HAS BEEN DONE, HOW HAS THAT BEEN EVALUATED, AND WHY
IS NOTHING BEING DONE. AGAIN, THE EE/CA PROCESS
LOOKS AT AREAS BASED UPON HOW THEY WERE USED DURING
THOSE FORMER MILITARY TRAINING DAYS. IT PRIORITIZES
SITES FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATION, COMPLETES THAT
INVESTIGATION, AND THEN PRIORITIZES THE FOLLOW UP
RESPONSE ACTIONS. WHAT WE WOULD NEED TO BE ABLE TO
FURTHER RESEARCH THAT IS HAS ANY ORDNANCE OR

1	EITHER A CROSSROAD, AN ADDRESS, A NAME OF A LOCATION
2	SO WE COULD SEE WAS THAT AN AREA THAT HAD BEEN
3	FORMERLY INVESTIGATED UNDER THOSE PREVIOUS REPORTS
4	AND, IF NOT, WHAT IS THE CONCERN, HAS SOMETHING BEEN
5	RECENTLY FOUND SO THAT THAT CAN BE EVALUATED, AS
6	WE'VE JUST DISCUSSED, AS POSSIBLY SHIFTING
7	PRIORITIES AND BEING ABLE TO RESPOND APPROPRIATELY.
8	SO, AGAIN, IN ORDER FOR THE CORPS TO RESPOND TO
9	THAT, I NEED TO AT LEAST HAVE A NAME OF A NURSING
10	HOME OR AN ADDRESS OF THAT SPECIFIC AREA OF CONCERN,
11	OTHER THAN JUST AN AREA IN PACOLET. SO, IF WE HAVE
12	THAT SPECIFIC INFORMATION, YOU CAN DOCUMENT THAT TO
13	ME.
14	BY MR. OSBORNE:
15	WELL, TO USE THE I WAS THE ONE THAT CALLED
16	HER ON THIS.
17	BY MR. HAYES:
18	OKAY.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

19

WE'RE BACK TO THE SAME THING. IT'S SITE 18,

WHICH IS LISTED IN THE RECORDS AND THEN, ALSO, SITE

80, WHICH IS KELSEY CREEK, WHICH HAS NOT BEEN DONE.

AND THESE ARE DONE AND DATED 1995, 10-24, WHEN THEY

CHECKED IT ALL OUT AND SO FORTH ON ALL OF THIS, OF

WHAT WOULD NEED TO BE DONE, AND NOTHING HAS BEEN

1	DONE. I CALLED THE MAYOR OF PACOLET TODAY AND ALSO
2	THE FIRE DEPARTMENT TO LET THEM KNOW THAT THAT IS
3	THERE AND NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE AND THIS IS RESEARCH
4	AND ALL OF THAT AND ALL THE RESEARCH THAT I'VE
5	CONTACTED TO THESE PEOPLE AND I REPORTED TO THE
6	CORPS OF ENGINEERS OVER A LIST OF ALL THIS STUFF
7	THAT I'VE TALKED TO YOU GUYS ON. NOTHING HAS BEEN
8	DONE, NUMBER ONE. NUMBER TWO, ON ALL OF THE THINGS
9	THAT I'VE CONTACTED YOU ON AND TOLD YOU ABOUT, NOT A
10	SINGLE PERSON FROM THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS HAS
11	CONTACTED ME ABOUT THIS AND I'M TALKING ABOUT
12	I'LL GIVE YOU JUST SORT OF A RUN-DOWN. A PARK
13	WORKER THAT TOLD ME WHERE CERTAIN BUNKERS ARE IN THE
14	PARK, A DEPUTY SHERIFF THAT WAS SHOWING WHERE THERE
15	ARE CERTAIN THINGS THAT WAS FOUND IN THE
16	CONTAMINATED AREA, A TUNNEL THAT EXISTED AND NEEDED
17	DEBRIS, WITH NO ACTION ON IT. OLD GAS TANKS, I
18	REPORTED ALL OF THIS BACK TO MR. BOGAN, BACK YEARS
19	AGO WANTED TO KNOW ABOUT AND I TOLD HIM AND NOTHING
20	HAS BEEN DONE.

21 BY MR. HAYES:

22 IS ALL THIS ON THE PARK, HAROLD?

23 **BY MR. OSBORNE:**

YES, IT'S ALL ON EVERYTHING HERE, YES.

25 BY MR. HAYES:

IS IT ON THE STATE PARK?

2 BY MR. OSBORNE:

1

8

- 3 YES. NO, CAMP CROFT HERE AND THE OTHER DEAL.
- 4 THE DIGGING OF THE EXPLOSIVES ON NOTTINGHAM STREET
- 5 AND ON CEDAR SPRINGS ROAD, WHEN I TOOK PICTURES AND
- 6 WHEN I SAT THERE AND THEY RAN AND I TOOK PICTURES OF
- 7 ALL OF THAT, WHICH I STILL HAVE.

BY MR. HAYES:

- 9 OKAY. IS THIS PART OF THE NURSING HOME? IS
- 10 THIS WHAT HAS BEEN REPORTED FOR NEW BUSINESS?

BY MR. OSBORNE:

12 THAT WAS REPORTED A LONG TIME AGO.

13 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

- 14 THESE ARE ITEMS THAT HAROLD HAS BROUGHT UP OVER
- 15 THE YEARS DURING THE RAB MEETINGS AND, AS WE
- 16 RESPONDED IN THE YEARS PAST THAT, AGAIN, THE EE/CAS
- 17 AND THE INVESTIGATIONS PRIORITIZED AREAS AND SOME OF
- 18 THOSE PRELIMINARY REPORTS WERE BASED ON A CORPS OF
- 19 ENGINEERS, SOME OF THEM ARE WINDSHIELD SURVEYS,
- 20 DRIVE BYS, AND INITIAL EVALUATIONS OF THIS PROJECT
- 21 SITE FROM AN ARCHIVE SEARCH REPORT THAT HELPS GET
- THE PROJECT IDENTIFIED FOR FUNDING. AND THEN, THOSE
- 23 AREAS ARE FURTHER LOOKED AT, BASED UPON HISTORICAL
- 24 DOCUMENT REVIEWS AS TO HOW THEY'RE GOING TO BE
- 25 ADDRESSED IN FORMAL SITE INVESTIGATIONS. SO, SITES

THAT MIGHT HAVE BEEN LISTED AS A POTENTIAL FOR

CONCERN CAN BE EVALUATED THROUGH HISTORICAL RECORDS

REVIEWS AND THROUGH INTERVIEWS, WITHOUT NECESSARILY

HAVING INTRUSIVE ACTIVITIES. IF THOSE AREAS THAT

WEREN'T FORMALLY CHARACTERIZED AND DON'T HAVE AN OOU

BOX AROUND THEM, AS SHOWN ON THESE MAPS, IF THERE

HAS BEEN EVIDENCE OF MUNITIONS OR CONCERNS, THEN WE

NEED TO PUT A DOT ON THE MAP. AGAIN, GENERAL AREAS

AND BUNKERS, WE'VE GONE THROUGH -- GOLD'S NOT WITHIN

THE PURVIEW OF THIS PROGRAM. GAS TANKS ARE AN HTW

ISSUE THAT IS A SEPARATE ISSUE UNDER FUDS THAT HAS

BEEN RELATED TO, I BELIEVE IT WENT THROUGH YOUR HTW

PROGRAM FOR FOLLOW UP.

BY MR. NESBIT:

15 YES.

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

SO, IT'S NOT THAT THEY'VE BEEN IGNORED. IT'S
THAT THEY'VE EITHER BEEN SENT INTO THE APPROPRIATE
PROGRAM AREAS OR THE EVIDENCE THAT LED US TO THOSE
EE/CAS WASN'T THERE TO TAKE US INTO THAT NEXT
INVESTIGATION STAGE. BUT AGAIN, IF THERE IS A
DOCUMENTED FIND AND WE KNOW THAT THERE IS SOMETHING
ON THE SURFACE THAT IS PRESENTING AN IMMEDIATE RISK,
WE CALL THE BOMB SQUAD. THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS
WANTS TO KNOW ABOUT IT SO WE CAN TRACK WHERE THEY'RE

1	BEING FOUND, BUT THERE IS AN IMMEDIATE RESPONSE THAT
2	WE HAVE WITHIN THE COMMUNITY. WE DO WANT THAT
3	INFORMATION, THAT BEING LISTED IN THESE PRELIMINARY
4	REPORTS AND NOT BEING ADDRESSED, THERE'S MORE TO IT
5	THAN THAT, I BELIEVE. AGAIN, I'M
6	BY MR. OSBORNE:
7	JUST TO GIVE YOU AN EXAMPLE, ON SITE 80, I
8	DIDN'T BRING SITE 18 WITH ME HERE ON PACOLET, BUT
9	THIS IS ON KELSEY CREEK. THIS WAS DONE BY THE
10	ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, INCORPORATED,
11	GAINESVILLE, FLORIDA. I'VE GOT ALL THE PAPER WORK
12	ON ALL OF THAT.
13	BY DAVID MULLINAX:
14	WHERE IS SITE 80?
15	BY MR. OSBORNE:
16	SITE 80 IS KELSEY CREEK, WHICH I GOT THROWN OF
17	THE BOARD BECAUSE OF A HAND GRENADE, BUT ALL OF THIS
18	STUFF THAT'S STILL
19	BY MR. MULLINAX:
20	THAT'S 11C ON THIS MAP.
21	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
22	IT'S PROBABLY 11C.
23	BY MR. MULLINAX:
24	IT IS 11C, AND THAT'S WHERE WE HAD THE PICTURES
25	OF YOU AND THE KIDS HOLDING THE HAND GRENADES.

- 1 BY MR. OSBORNE: MM-HMM (AFFIRMATIVE RESPONSE). YES, I'VE EVEN 2 GOT THAT RIGHT HERE AND THEY HAVE NOT CLEANED UP ANY OF IT YET. BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 5 THAT'S TO BE INVESTIGATED. BY MR. OSBORNE: AND NONE OF IT'S BEEN CLEANED UP. IT'S ---8 9 BY MR. HAYES: NOW, WAS THIS PART OF OLD BUSINESS TO BE 10 BROUGHT UP AT THE MEETING? 11 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 12 WHAT I WANTED TO DO UNDER OLD BUSINESS WAS TO, 13 IF THERE ARE SPECIFIC AREAS THAT WE NEED TO PUT A 14 DOT ON A MAP TO EVALUATE CONCERNS, WE NEED THAT 15 SPECIFIC INFORMATION. 16 17 BY MR. HAYES: 18 OKAY. HAROLD, HAVE YOU GIVEN THEM THE LOCATIONS TO PUT THE DOT ON THE MAP? 19 BY MR. OSBORNE: 20 SURE. I GAVE THEM ALL THIS STUFF. 21 BY MR. HAYES: 22 OKAY. DID YOU ALL RECEIVE THAT, SUZY? 23
- 25 WELL, JUST THE VERBAL.

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

1 BY MR. HAYES: 2 OKAY. BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX: 3 WELL, HOW ABOUT THIS IN PACOLET? I'VE TALKED 4 TO THE MAYOR. SHE CALLED ME WANTING TO KNOW -- IT 5 HAD BEEN REPORTED TO HER, THAT THERE WAS AMMUNITION BURIED ON THE PLAYGROUND AND NURSING HOME AND I WANT TO KNOW IS THERE SOME BURIED THERE. 8 9 BY MR. McMANUS: THAT'S RIGHT AT SITE 18. 10 11 BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX: WELL, WHO SAYS IT'S THERE? 12 BY MR. OSBORNE: 13 THE ENVIRONMENTAL, THE OUTFIT I JUST GAVE TO 14 YOU. I'VE GOT ALL THIS STUFF DOWN AT THE LIBRARY. 15 16 BY MR. ROBIN ZIMMERMAN: 17 IF I COULD ENTER SOMETHING. BY MR. HAYES: 18 19 OKAY. STATE YOUR NAME. 20 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: ROBIN ZIMMERMAN. MR. MULLINAX, I KNOW I CAN'T 21 BRING IT IN HERE BECAUSE I KNOW WHAT HAPPENED WHEN I 22 TRIED TO DO IT BACK IN 2000. I'VE GOT SEVERAL 23

ROUNDS OF DUMMY AMMUNITION THAT WAS FOUND RIGHT DOWN

- 1 THERE BESIDE THAT PLAYGROUND. I'VE GOT THAT IN THE
- 2 TRUNK OF THE CAR.
- 3 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 4 WHICH PLAYGROUND IS THIS?
- 5 **BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:**
- 6 THE ONE DOWN IN PACOLET.
- 7 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 8 SUNNY ACRES ROAD?
- 9 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- 10 SUNNY ACRES ROAD, RIGHT BESIDE THE NURSING
- HOME.
- 12 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 13 WHICH ONE, 150?
- 14 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- 15 YES.
- 16 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 17 SUNNY ACRES ROAD? NEAR THE ARMORY?
- 18 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- NO, NO, NO. THAT'S NOT THE ONE NEAR THE
- 20 ARMORY. THAT'S THE ONE THAT YOU GO ---
- 21 BY MR. OSBORNE:
- 22 BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT.
- 23 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- 24 BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND TURN RIGHT.
- 25 **BY MR. OSBORNE:**

1 RIGHT THERE BY THE NURSING HOME. BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 2 PACOLET MILLS OR PACOLET? 3 BY MR. OSBORNE: 5 PACOLET. BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: PACOLET. BY MR. HAYES: 8 9 OKAY. WAS THIS REPORTED WHEN YOU FOUND IT? BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 10 11 YES. 12 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: DID YOU CALL THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT? DID 13 THEY RESPOND TO IT? 14 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 15 16 NO. 17 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: DID YOU CALL THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT? 18 19 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 20 I DID. BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 21 WHO DID YOU TALK TO? 22 23 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 24 SOME YOUNG LADY. BY MR. HAYES: 25

1 STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE. 2 BY MR. BEATTY: TED BEATTY ON THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. 3 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: INCIDENTALLY, LAST WEEK, I FOUND A DUMMY 105 5 MILLIMETER, TWO OF THEM. ONE OF THEM WAS FRAGMENTED. THE OTHER ONE, I TURNED IN TO OFFICER BEATTY. THAT WAS FOUND RIGHT ACROSS FROM THE GOLF 8 9 COURSE ON PRIVATE PROPERTY. BY MR. HAYES: 10 11 OKAY. WE'RE TALKING ABOUT DIFFERENT PLACES NOW, SO ---12 BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX: 13 YES, WE'RE STILL ON THIS PACOLET. HAS THIS 14 BEEN INVESTIGATED, THIS PACOLET? 15 16 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 17 WHAT I WOULD NEED TO DO IS LOOK ON THE MAP TO SEE IF THAT WAS AN OOU AND PULL OUT ESE'S REPORT AS 18 TO WHAT ACTUALLY IS SUSPECTED TO BE FOUND AND WHAT 19 THE RECOMMENDATION WAS IN THAT EE/CA. I DIDN'T 20 BRING THAT TONIGHT. 21 BY MR. HAYES: 22 OKAY. SO, CAN YOU ALL GET WITH SUZY AND 23 PINPOINT THESE PLACES ON THE MAPS ---24

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

I NEED AN ADDRESS. 1 2 BY MR. HAYES: --- AND GIVE HER THE ADDRESSES AND TELL HER 3 EXACTLY WHAT YOU FOUND, WHEN YOU FOUND IT. 4 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 5 BECAUSE I KNOW THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE HAS INVESTIGATED SOME PACOLET, YOU KNOW, REPORTS. BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 8 9 WELL, I DIDN'T CALL 911, NOW. I CALLED 596-2615 AND TALKED TO SOME YOUNG LADY AND SHE SAID 10 SOMEBODY WILL COME OUT. I WASN'T ABLE TO STAY THERE 11 ALL DAY. BUT NOW, THERE'S A LOT -- DO YOU REMEMBER 12 THAT AMMO THAT I BROUGHT IN HERE BACK IN 2000? 13 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 14 NO, WE DON'T BRING ANYTHING IN ---15 16 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 17 NO, WE DON'T BRING ANYTHING IN HERE, BUT I DIDN'T KNOW THAT AT THE TIME. THERE'S A LOT OF 18 THOSE THINGS DOWN THERE BURIED BECAUSE I ---19 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 20 ANYTHING THAT'S FOUND, THE IMMEDIATE RESPONSE 21 IS TO CALL MR. BEATTY'S OFFICE. 22 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 23 WE HAD THE FIRST EVER CAMP CROFT REUNION, SUZY, 24 BACK IN JUNE. MYSELF AND ANOTHER GENTLEMEN THREW 25

1	THAT UP THERE AT THE AMERICAN LEGION. AND WE TOOK
2	BUS TOURS AROUND OF A LOT OF THESE GUYS ARE WAY
3	UP IN THEIR 80s. NOTHING AGAINST THAT, BUT I MEAN,
4	YOU WOULD NOT BELIEVE HOW MANY OF THOSE GUYS
5	REMEMBERED GOING AND BURYING STUFF, EVEN WHERE THERE
6	WAS A HOUSE OR WHATEVER. I MEAN, THEY WOULD SAY
7	WHEN TRUMAN CAME ON THERE AND SAID WE WEREN'T GOING
8	TO WAR, THE JAPANESE WAR IS OVER AND WE'RE GOING
9	HOME, THE PLACE WENT BEDLAM. AND BOXES OF
10	AMMUNITION, CRATES, MORTARS AND EVERYTHING ELSE,
11	THEY USUALLY DUG IT TO A DEPTH OF THREE OR FOUR
12	FEET. AND I UNDERSTAND BY CONTRACT THAT YOU ALL
13	CAN'T GO BUT FOUR FEET DEEP.
14	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
15	NO, THERE ARE OPPORTUNITIES
16	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
17	THERE'S A MESSED UP AREA OUT THERE AND TO GET
18	IT ALL IS IMPOSSIBLE.
19	BY MR. HAYES:
20	YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT ON THE CAMP CROFT
21	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
22	I'M TALKING ABOUT AT CAMP CROFT.

BY MR. HAYES:

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

OKAY. SO, ANY ---

23

24

1	THE DESIGNATED AREAS THAT
2	BY MR. HAYES:
3	SO, ANY PLACES THAT, YOU KNOW, WE NEED TO HAVE
4	IT PINPOINTED ON THE MAP AN ADDRESS FOR ZAPATA OR
5	THE ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS BEFORE THEY CAN ADDRESS
6	THIS AND START AN EVALUATION PROCESS.
7	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
8	AND WE NEED TO KEEP IN MIND THAT IT'S A
9	SEQUENTIAL PROJECT. IT'S NOT A FAST PROCESS AND
10	THERE ARE MUNITIONS OUT THERE. NO ONE IS SITTING IN
11	THIS ROOM SAYING THAT THERE'S NOTHING THAT IS STILL
12	OUT THERE. IT IS TAKING TIME TO GET THROUGH THAT.
13	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
14	RIGHT. I UNDERSTAND THAT.
15	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
16	SO, AS THINGS ARE FOUND, WE NEED TO KNOW WHAT
17	THE RIGHT RESPONSE ACTION IS. I DON'T KNOW IF
18	PLYLER OR RON WANT TO INTERJECT.
19	BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:
20	WELL, LET ME JUST SAY ONE THING. I TALKED TO
21	THE MAYOR AND SHE SAID THAT A LOT OF THIS PROPERTY
22	HAD BEEN GRADED AND THEY HADN'T FOUND ANYTHING, SO
23	I'M CONCERNED ABOUT THIS. I MEAN, I WANT TO SATISFY
24	HER AND GET BACK WITH HER AND TELL HER IF THERE'S
25	SOMETHING DANGEROUS THERE.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 1 WELL, I THINK ---2 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 3 THEY HAVE NOT REPORTED ANYTHING THROUGH THE 4 CITY? SHE WAS NOT MADE AWARE THAT ANYTHING'S BEEN 5 FOUND? BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 7 T KNOW WHERE YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT WHERE THEY'VE 8 9 GRADED AND THEY MISSED IT BY JUST A FEW FEET. BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX: 10 WELL, I'M JUST SAYING THAT THAT'S WHAT SHE 11 SAID. SHE SAID IT HAD BEEN GRADED, SO I'M CONCERNED 12 ABOUT IT. I WANT TO KNOW -- I WANT THE AREAS 13 PINPOINTED WHERE IT'S FOUND. 14 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 15 WELL, ROBIN, IF YOU'RE BACK DOWN THERE, GET 16 17 WITH THE PACOLET POLICE DEPARTMENT. MAKE SURE THEY KNOW ABOUT IT AND THEY CAN CONTACT THE SHERIFF'S 18 OFFICE AND HAVE THEM DOWN THERE. WE'RE ONLY TALKING 19 ABOUT 20 OR 30 MINUTES ---20 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 21 RIGHT. 22 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 23 --- BEFORE THEY RESPOND, BUT YOU CAN HAVE THE 24

PACOLET POLICE THERE IN A SHORT AMOUNT OF TIME.

- 1 THAT SHOULD BE PRIORITY NUMBER ONE, BECAUSE IF YOU
- 2 CAN'T STAY, YOU CALL ME OR SOMEBODY ELSE AND WE'LL
- 3 BE DOWN THERE AND WE'LL WAIT FOR THEM.
- 4 BY MR. OSBORNE:
- 5 I'LL BE DOWN THERE. I'VE GOT NOTHING ELSE TO
- 6 DO.
- 7 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 8 BUT DO NOT LEAVE IT.
- 9 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- 10 ALL RIGHT. THERE'S ONE OTHER THING, GARY. I
- DON'T IF THIS WOULD BE NEW BUSINESS OR WHAT. THIS
- 12 STUFF, WHAT I'M ABOUT TO TELL YOU ABOUT HAS BEEN
- 13 THERE SINCE I WAS ---
- 14 BY MR. HAYES:
- 15 OKAY. WELL, WE'LL PROBABLY NEED TO BRING THAT
- 16 UP ---
- 17 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- NEXT BUSINESS.
- 19 **BY MR. HAYES:**
- 20 WELL, WE'LL NEED TO BRING THAT UP WITH NEW
- 21 BUSINESS IN A MINUTE. WE NEED TO TALK ABOUT OLD
- 22 BUSINESS RIGHT NOW.
- 23 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- 24 OKAY, OLD BUSINESS, I UNDERSTAND.
- 25 **BY MR. HAYES:**

OKAY. 1 2 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: I THINK THOSE WERE THE RESPONSES FOR THE 3 INQUIRIES THAT I'VE RECEIVED. AGAIN, THE FORMAL PROCEDURE IS TO SUBMIT, IN WRITING, REQUESTS. WE'RE 5 DOING OUR BEST TO RESPOND TO VERBAL REQUESTS AND PROVIDING FEEDBACK. BUT TO BE ON THE AGENDA AND TO HAVE A TOPIC ADDRESSED AND BE ABLE TO BE FURTHER 8 9 DISCUSSED, IT NEEDS TO BE SUBMITTED IN WRITING AND ADDED TO THE SUBSEQUENT MEETING'S AGENDA. 10 11 BY MR. HAYES: OR BROUGHT UP DURING OUR DISCUSSIONS TONIGHT. 12 BY MR. OSBORNE: 13 ALL RIGHT. WHEN I TALK AND TRY TO TELL THESE 14 PEOPLE, JUST LIKE THAT STUFF THAT I'VE READ, ALL 15 16 RIGHT, IS GOING ON AND HAS BEEN WRITTEN DOWN. BUT 17 THEN, LIKE I SAID, SOMEWHERE THEIR REPORTS ARE NOT GOING TO THE CORPS OR WHATEVER OR WHOEVER IT NEEDS 18 TO GO TO AND IT'S WRITTEN DOWN. 19

BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

BUT YOU'RE NOT GIVING SPECIFICS. YOU'RE GIVING
THESE VAGUE STATEMENTS ABOUT HERE, OVER HERE, THIS
DEAL, THAT DEAL. WE NEED SPECIFICS.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

20

24

25 ALL RIGHT.

- 1 BY MR. HAYES:
- 2 HAROLD, IF YOU'VE GOT SPECIFICS, COPIES OF
- THIS, IT NEEDS TO BE TURNED IN.
- 4 BY MR. OSBORNE:
- 5 ALL RIGHT. DO YOU WANT TO SEE SITE 80?
- 6 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 7 BUT I'M GOING TO KEEP THESE. I'M GOING TO KEEP
- 8 THESE BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO ENTER THESE INTO THE
- 9 RECORD. SO IF WE HAVE ANYMORE PICTURES, BRING THEM
- 10 UP HERE SO WE CAN ENTER THEM INTO THE RECORD.
- 11 BECAUSE I'D LIKE TO SEE THOSE OTHER PICTURES THAT
- 12 YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT EARLIER.
- 13 BY MR. HAYES:
- 14 OKAY. DOES THIS COVER WHAT YOU ---
- 15 **BY MR. OSBORNE:**
- 16 WELL, LIKE I SAID, I HAD THE ONE ON PACOLET,
- 17 BUT I DON'T HAVE THE SITE 18. IT'S LIKE I SAID, YOU
- 18 CAN FIND ALL OF THIS STUFF DOWN AT THE LIBRARY.
- 19 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 20 RIGHT. WE'VE DISCUSSED SITE ---
- 21 BY MR. HAYES:
- 22 WE DON'T HAVE FUNDING TO RESEARCH ---
- 23 **BY MR. OSBORNE:**
- 24 BUT I'LL GO DOWN AND MAKE COPIES.
- 25 **BY MR. HAYES:**

	YOU KNOW, IF YOU'VE GOT COPIES, PLEASE SEND
	THEM TO SUZY OR TO RON
BY	MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
	OR EVEN THE PAGE OF THE DOCUMENT AND THE
	DOCUMENT BY TITLE, WITHOUT MAKING COPIES.
BY	MR. HAYES:
	AND THEN, WE CAN THAT'S PART OF JUST
	WRITE DOWN WHAT IT IS AND IF YOU WANT TO BRING IT UP
	AT THE NEXT MEETING, THAT'S ALL WE NEED TO DO. WE
	WANT SOMETHING SPECIFIC TO TALK ABOUT AND THE
	SPECIFIC LOCATION WHERE THINGS ARE.
BY	MR. OSBORNE:
	BUT WE'RE BACK TO THE SAME THING, NOT TRYING TO
	BE REAL SMART, BUT WITH THE PARK GUY SITTING ON THE
	BOARD, HE SHOULD HAVE HOLLERED AND DONE SOMETHING
	ABOUT KELSEY CREEK DOWN THERE BECAUSE IT IS ALL
	STATE PARK AND NOTHING HAS BEEN DONE ABOUT IT.
BY	MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
	IT IS ON THE PRIORITY TO BE ADDRESSED.
BY	MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
	RIGHT, AND HE RESPONDED THAT DAY, THE SAME DAY
	THAT

BY MR. OSBORNE:

WELL, LOOK AT THE YEAR, 1995 AND IF YOU WANT ME 1 TO GET THE HEADLINES WHEN THAT HAND GRENADE WAS 2 FOUND ---3 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: THE ONE THAT YOU WERE HOLDING IN YOUR HAND? 5 6 BY MR. OSBORNE: WHAT? BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 8 9 THE ONE THAT YOU WERE HOLDING IN YOUR HAND? BY MR. OSBORNE: 10 I DIDN'T HOLD IT, BUT IT WAS THERE. BUT I'VE 11 GOT ALL OF THAT STUFF. 12 BY MR. HAYES: 13 OKAY. SO, WE'VE GOT THIS DOCUMENT. 14 BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX: 15 16 WE DON'T HAVE A DOCUMENT ON PACOLET. 17 BY MR. HAYES: YES, SO WE NEED SOME MORE DOCUMENTATION ON 18 PACOLET AND IF THERE'S ANYTHING ELSE THAT YOU CAN 19 TURN IN, WE NEED SOME SPECIFIC INFORMATION TO GO BY. 20 AND HAROLD, WE APPRECIATE YOU DOING THIS. 21 BY MR. OSBORNE: 22 WELL, IT'S NOT -- LIKE I SAID, WE'RE BACK TO 23 THE SAME THING. I GOT HOLLERED AT FOR ONE HAND 24

1 GRENADE, BUT YET, ALL THOSE KIDS CAN BE GOING DOWN

THERE AND FINDING MORE OR JUST LIKE AT PACOLET ---

BY MR. HAYES:

4 YES.

BY MR. OSBORNE:

AND IT'S JUST LIKE I'M NOT GOING TO HOLLER BACK
WHAT I DID. I TOLD THE STATE PARK THAT IF ANYBODY
WAS KILLED DOWN THERE BEFORE THEY CLOSED IT UP, THAT
THEY SHOULD BE CHARGED WITH MURDER AND THREE DAYS
LATER, THEY CLOSED THE PARK. BUT LIKE I SAID, IF
YOU CAN SAVE ONE LIFE, IT'S WORTH IT.

BY MR. HAYES:

YES. WELL, WE DEFINITELY WANT TO SAVE AS MANY
LIVES AS WE CAN. BUT WE HAVE BEEN LIMITED BY

FUNDING, ESPECIALLY SINCE 9-11, AND THINGS ARE

STARTING TO BUILD BACK UP, AS FAR AS RESOURCES, BUT

YOU KNOW, 9-11 IS COMING UP IN A COUPLE OF DAYS, SO

THERE'S A LOT OF THREATS NATIONWIDE THAT THERE MIGHT

BE SOMETHING ELSE HAPPENING ON THE HOMELAND THIS

YEAR. SO, THAT WOULD LOWER THE FUNDS BACK DOWN TO

MORE PRIORITIZE EVENTS. SO, YOU KNOW, WE JUST NEED

TO TAKE THINGS AS WE CAN TAKE THEM AND REPORT AND

SUBSTANTIATE AS MUCH INFORMATION AS WE CAN. THINGS

WILL BE ADDRESSED WHEN THE FUNDING IS THERE. OKAY.

IF THAT'S ALL THE OLD BUSINESS, FOR NEW BUSINESS,

1	THIS IS THINGS THAT WE NEED TO BRING UP FOR THE NEXT
2	MEETING. IF YOU HAVE SOMETHING TO BE BROUGHT UP FOR
3	THE NEXT MEETING, PLEASE BE BRIEF. IF YOU HAVE
4	ANYTHING TO TURN IN, TURN IT IN, ANY DOCUMENTS. AND
5	KEEP IT AS BRIEF AS POSSIBLE. SIR?
6	BY MR. PETER BARG:
7	MY NAME IS PETER BARG. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME
8	I'VE BEEN TO ONE OF THESE MEETINGS. I RECENTLY
9	PURCHASED SOME PROPERTY IN THE CAMP CROFT AREA AND
10	ALL I WANT TO KNOW IS WHAT THE USAGE WAS IN THE
11	MILITARY TIME, YOU KNOW, WHETHER I SHOULD BE ON THE
12	LOOKOUT OR WHATEVER.
13	BY MR. HAYES:
14	OKAY. DO YOU HAVE SOMETHING THAT YOU COULD
15	SEND IN TO SUZY OR TO RON, AS FAR AS
16	BY MR. BARG:
17	I COULD GIVE YOU THE LOCATION.
18	BY MR. HAYES:
19	OKAY.
20	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
21	AND WE HAVE AN ARCHIVE SEARCH REPORT HERE
22	TONIGHT THAT HAS SOME OF THE RANGE MAPS IN THE BACK
23	AND WE COULD AT LEAST LOOK AT THE MAP WHERE YOUR
24	PROPERTY IS TO SEE IF IT FALLS WITHIN ANY OF THOSE
25	RANGES.

1 BY MR. HAYES: DO YOU WANT TO BRING THAT UP AT THE NEXT 2 MEETING, THAT WE ---3 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: OR IF IT'S JUST FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES AND 5 YOU WANT TO JUST LOOK AT THAT AFTER THE MEETING, WE 6 CAN LOOK AT THAT AFTER. BY MR. BARG: 8 9 YES, I'LL JUST LOOK AT THAT. BY MR. HAYES: 10 11 OKAY. BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 12 BEFORE WE TAKE ADDITIONAL NEW BUSINESS FOR THE 13 NEXT MEETING, WHAT I'D LIKE TO DO -- I DON'T KNOW IF 14 IT'S NEW BUSINESS OR OLD BUSINESS -- IS WE DO HAVE 15 MR. BEATTY FROM THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT AND I'D 16 17 LIKE HIM TO REPORT ON ANY RECENT RESPONSE ACTIONS, ANY FINDS THAT YOU HAVE RESPONDED TO. 18 BY MR. BEATTY: 19 TED BEATTY, I'M WITH THE SPARTANBURG COUNTY 20 21 SHERIFF'S OFFICE. WE DID -- WHAT WAS IT, LAST WEEK 22 ___ BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 23 24 YES.

BY MR. BEATTY:

1	THAT WE CAME TO MR. ZIMMERMAN'S HOUSE TO
2	RECOVER THOSE EXPENDED 105 ROUNDS. WE DID 105 ROUND
3	RECOVERY OVER AT GLENDALE, RIGHT AT THE LAWSON FORK
4	CREEK AT THE OLD MILL. THAT ONE WAS TRANSPORTED
5	FROM SOMEWHERE ELSE AND PLACED THERE. SOMEBODY HAD
6	ALREADY TRIED TO DISARM IT, BUT WE PLACED A BLOCK OF
7	C4 ON IT AND IT DIDN'T WORK. IT WAS A LIVE MUNITION
8	ROUND. WE DID IN PACOLET JUST A HANDFUL OF NATO
9	ROUNDS, TRACER ROUNDS DOWN NEXT TO THE OLD ARMORY.
10	IT MAY HAVE BEEN 20 OR 25 ROUNDS THAT WE RECOVERED
11	THERE. WE DID A ROCKET RECOVERY IN WELFORD. WE'VE
12	GOT NO IDEA WHERE IT CAME FROM. A MAN REACHED UP
13	UNDER A WOODPILE ON SOME PROPERTY THAT THEY HAD
14	BOUGHT, THOUGHT HE HAD A SNAKE, AND PULLED OUT A
15	ROCKET. SO, THERE HAS BEEN NO MILITARY ACTION IN
16	THAT AREA. SO, IT CAN COME FROM ANYWHERE. AND
17	THEN, WE'VE HAD SEVERAL MORTAR ROUND RECOVERIES.
18	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
19	SO, AGAIN, THE RESPONSE TO CALL YOU IS THE
20	EFFECTIVE RESPONSE TO TAKE CARE OF THOSE MUNITIONS.
21	BY MR. HAYES:
22	DID YOU ALL RECOVER ANYTHING DOWN IN PACOLET
23	NEXT TO THE SCHOOL WHERE THEY'RE TALKING ABOUT?

24

BY MR. BEATTY:

1 IT WAS RIGHT NEXT TO THE FENCE AT THE NATIONAL 2 GUARD ARMORY. BY MR. OSBORNE: 3 SMALL ARMS OR ---4 BY MR. BEATTY: 5 IT WAS SMALL ARMS, NATO ROUNDS, TRACERS, LIKE FOR AN M16. BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 8 9 IS THAT THE ARMORY ON SUNNY ACRES ROAD THAT NOW BELONGS TO THE RESCUE SQUAD? 10 BY MR. BEATTY: 11 12 YES, WHERE THE RESCUE SQUAD IS NOW. I THINK THERE'S AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLOSE BY. 13 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 14 RIGHT. 15 16 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 17 RIGHT, IT'S BACK ON THIS SIDE. BY MR. BEATTY: 18 19 THIS WAS TRACER ROUNDS. BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 20 ANYTHING IN THIS GENERAL VICINITY IN ANY RECENT 21 PAST? 22 BY MR. BEATTY: 23 JUST THE ONE THAT MR. ZIMMERMAN SAID. WE'VE 24 HAD SOME MORTAR ROUND RECOVERIES DOWN AT WHITE STONE 25

- 1 NEXT TO THE GUN CLUB ON A PRIVATE HUNTING CLUB DOWN
- THERE. THEY'RE DIGGING THEM UP.
- 3 BY MR. OSBORNE:
- 4 WOULDN'T IT BE APPROPRIATE FOR THE CORPS TO
- 5 CALL THE SHERIFF'S OFFICE ON THAT PROPERTY DOWN ON
- 6 CALFORD BRIDGE ROAD THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE HIGHLY
- 7 CONTAMINATED AND LET THEM KNOW ABOUT IT SO YOU GUYS
- 8 COULD LET THE PEOPLE KNOW?
- 9 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- 10 THEY'RE ---
- BY MR. OSBORNE:
- 12 PEOPLE AREN'T GOING TO FIND OUT.
- 13 **BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:**
- 14 THAT'S SOME NEW BUSINESS I WANT TO BRING UP.
- 15 **BY MR. OSBORNE:**
- OH, OKAY.
- 17 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- 18 I WANTED TO INTRODUCE.
- 19 BY MR. HAYES:
- 20 OKAY. DID YOU FINISH WITH THAT?
- 21 BY MR. BEATTY:
- 22 YES.
- BY MR. HAYES:
- 24 OKAY. ROBIN, HAVE YOU GOT SOME NEW ---
- 25 **BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:**

1 YES. 2 BY MR. HAYES: OKAY, BRIEF AND TO THE POINT AND IF YOU HAVE 3 ANYTHING TO TURN IN, PLEASE TURN IT IN AT THIS TIME. BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 5 THE AREA HAROLD IS REFERRING TO OFF OF CALFORD BRIDGE ROAD, WHICH WAS THE CONJUNCTION OF NEWBERRY IS ONE, TOO, WAS A VERY HEAVILY USED FOUR POINT 8 9 DEUCE AND A 75 MILLIMETER HOUSER IMPACT RANGE. BY MR. HAYES: 10 11 OKAY. DO YOU HAVE MAPS TO PINPOINT? BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 12 I HAVE MAPS TO POINT THAT AT HOME, NOT WITH 13 ME. I DO KNOW WHERE THEY RECENTLY FOUND LAST WEEK, 14 WHERE SOME AREAS -- I DON'T KNOW IF THEY WERE 15 UNEXPLORED OR WHAT, BUT THERE WERE PEOPLE THAT SAY 16 17 THAT THEY DID NOT USE A FOUR DEUCE MORTAR OUT THERE. THEY DID. RIGHT BEHIND EDUCATIONAL T.V. 18 TRANSMITTING STATION, THERE'S A LOT OF THOSE SHELLS. 19 I'D SAY ABOUT 60 OR 70 YARDS. I DON'T KNOW IF MR. 20 MILLIKEN OWNS THAT PROPERTY OR NOT, BUT IF YOU GO 21 FURTHER ON DOWN IN THAT AREA, WHERE NEWBERRY STARTS, 22 NEAR ROSELYN AND CALFORD BRIDGE ROAD, YOU'RE GOING 23 TO FIND A LOT ABOUT 16 OR 18 INCHES DEEP, EMBEDDED 24

IN 75 MILLIMETER PACK HOUSER SHELLS.

1	BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
2	WHAT'S THE ADDRESS ON CALFORD BRIDGE ROAD
3	AGAIN?
4	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
5	IT'S NO MAN'S LAND DOWN THERE. IT'S AT THE
6	VERY END OF CALFORD BRIDGE ROAD, DAVID, BETWEEN
7	THERE AND THAT ROSELYN, WHICH THE FAIRFOREST CREEK
8	COMES DOWN IN BETWEEN THAT.
9	BY MR. OSBORNE:
10	ROSELYN AND CALFORD BRIDGE ON THIS SIDE OF
11	FAIRFOREST?
12	BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
13	THAT'S ROSELYN.
14	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
15	YOU'RE GOING TO FIND SOME STUFF DOWN THERE.
16	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
17	IS IT ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC?
18	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
19	IF THEY BUILD ALL THESE HOUSES, SUZY, THAT
20	THEY'RE PLANNING ON, IT'S GOING TO BE VERY
21	ACCESSIBLE.
22	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
23	SO, THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE OF LAND USE THERE
24	
25	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

1	THERE HAS BEEN A CHANGE OF LAND USE. THEY
2	TRIED TO AUCTION A LOT OF THAT OFF THIS PAST JULY.
3	THEY WERE UNSUCCESSFUL. A LOT OF THAT IS STILL
4	THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS AND THIS, TOO. THIS IS NOT
5	COMING OUT OF THE BLUE, WHAT OFFICER BEATTY SAID
6	ABOUT OVER IN WELFORD AND WESTVIEW. ONE OF THE LAST
7	THINGS THEY DID AT THE END OF THE WAR, THEY TOOK TWO
8	IN LATE NOVEMBER AND DECEMBER OF 1946, THEY HAD
9	TWO TRUCK CONVOYS THAT WERE TAKING SOME OF THIS
10	AMMUNITION TO THE GREENVILLE ARMY/AIR FORCE BASE.
1	AS I SAID, THESE ARE 19 AND 20-YEAR-OLD SOLDIERS.
12	THEY DID, INDEED, STOP IN TWO PLACES. ONE OF THEM
13	WAS WESTVIEW OVER THERE. ONE OF THESE GUYS IS ABOUT
14	83 YEARS OLD. HE WAS A SERGEANT THEN. HE REMEMBERS
15	DOING THAT. AND ANOTHER PLACE WAS IN WELFORD. FOR
16	SOME REASON, I DON'T KNOW WHY OR WHAT INSPIRED THESE
17	GUYS TO DO THIS. ONE MAN WILL COME BACK FROM NEW
18	YORK, SUZY, AND TESTIFY THAT THEY TOOK ABOUT 15
19	TRUCKLOADS OF STUFF AND JUST DUMPED IT IN WHAT WAS
20	THEN A NO MAN'S LAND IN THE WESTVIEW AREA.
1 RV M	P HAVES.

BY MR. HAYES:

OKAY. DO YOU WANT TO BRING THAT UP IN NEW

BUSINESS?

24 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

OKAY, I CAN.

1	BY MR. HAYES:
2	DO YOU HAVE THINGS TO SUBSTANTIATE THAT?
3	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
4	JUST THE TESTIMONY OF THREE DIFFERENT ELDERLY
5	GENTLEMEN.
6	BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
7	CAN YOU FORWARD THE NAMES AND ADDRESSES AND
8	PHONE NUMBERS?
9	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
10	I'VE GOT THE NAMES, ADDRESSES AND PHONE
11	NUMBERS. THEY DID THE SAME THING OVER AT WELFORD.
12	NOW, I DON'T KNOW IF THIS IS WHERE OFFICER BEATTY
13	FOUND THAT GRENADE, BUT BY THE TIME THEY GOT TO
14	GREENVILLE, HE SAID THEY WERE ABOUT EMPTY. THEY
15	WERE ABOUT HALF DRUNK DOING THIS AND THE WAR WAS
16	OVER AND THEY WERE HAVING A GOOD TIME.
17	BY MR. HAYES:
18	WELL, I WANT YOU TO UNDERSTAND, WE NEED
19	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
20	SUBSTANTIATED.
21	BY MR. HAYES:
22	SUBSTANTIATED INFORMATION TO BE BROUGHT UP AT
23	THE NEXT MEETING, BEFORE THE NEXT MEETING.
24	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

1	RIGHT. AND IT'S VERY POSSIBLE THAT SOMEBODY
2	MIGHT BE WHERE THAT STUFF'S BURIED NOW, SOMEBODY
3	MIGHT HAVE A \$100,000 HOUSE ON TOP OF IT. I DON'T
4	KNOW.
5	BY MR. HAYES:
6	OKAY. MA'AM?
7	BY MS. STEWART:
8	I'M BEULAH STEWART. I'M VERY CONCERNED AND IT
9	SEEMS LIKE WE ARE HEARING SOME SERIOUS INFORMATION
10	HERE. WHEN YOU SAW THIS OFFICER THERE
11	INVESTIGATING, ARE YOU ROPING THESE AREAS OFF,
12	BECAUSE CHILDREN DO HAVE A TENDENCY TO ROAM AROUND.
13	ARE YOU ROPING THEM OFF WITH YELLOW RIBBON OR ANY
14	TYPE OF RIBBON?
15	BY MR. BEATTY:
16	NO, MA'AM. WE RECOVER THEM AND TAKE THEM AND
17	GO THROUGH A DISPOSAL PROCESS. THEY'RE NOT LEFT.
18	BY MS. STEWART:
19	OKAY. WHAT IF THERE ARE MORE IN THE AREA THAT
20	YOU DON'T
21	BY MR. BEATTY:
22	WHAT WE RECOVER IS LIKE WHAT MR. ZIMMERMAN TOOK
23	ONE HOME WITH HIM. PEOPLE CARRY THEM HOME AS
24	SOUVENIRS THAT'S WHAT WE'RE FINDING THE ONES

	DOWN ON THIS HUNT CLUB IS A PRIVATE, FENCED-IN AREA
	THAT'S NOT ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC.
BY M	IR. HAYES:
	THE HUNT CLUB HE'S TALKING ABOUT WAS NOT GIVEN
	CLEARANCE BEFORE AND IT WAS SOLD TO SOMEONE ELSE
	AND, YOU KNOW, THESE THINGS ARE PRIORITIZED. SO IF
	THEY WANTED TO GO BACK IN THERE FOR REMOVAL NOW, IT
	MIGHT TAKE A LOT LONGER TO GET TO THEM WHERE IF THEY
	HAD GIVEN RIGHT OF ENTRY BEFORE, THE PROPERTY MIGHT
	HAVE BEEN INSPECTED OR WHAT DO YOU CALL THE
BY M	MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
	CHARACTERIZED?
BY M	IR. HAYES:
	YES, CHARACTERIZED FOR INSPECTION.
BY M	IR. ZIMMERMAN:
	SUZY, THAT BLUEPRINT THAT I GAVE YOU IS A VERY
	EXPLICIT DETAILED BLUEPRINT OF EXACTLY WHERE EVERY
	EXPLICIT DETAILED BLUEPRINT OF EXACTLY WHERE EVERY RANGE WAS, LINES OF FIRE THEY USED AND EVERYTHING.
	RANGE WAS, LINES OF FIRE THEY USED AND EVERYTHING.
BY N	RANGE WAS, LINES OF FIRE THEY USED AND EVERYTHING. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ALL HAVE GOT THAT IN YOUR
<u> BY 1</u>	RANGE WAS, LINES OF FIRE THEY USED AND EVERYTHING. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ALL HAVE GOT THAT IN YOUR ARCHIVES OR NOT, BUT I'VE GOT IT.
	RANGE WAS, LINES OF FIRE THEY USED AND EVERYTHING. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ALL HAVE GOT THAT IN YOUR ARCHIVES OR NOT, BUT I'VE GOT IT. MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
	RANGE WAS, LINES OF FIRE THEY USED AND EVERYTHING. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU ALL HAVE GOT THAT IN YOUR ARCHIVES OR NOT, BUT I'VE GOT IT. IS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY: I APPRECIATE THAT.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

1	YOU'RE	WELCOME.

2	D77	MED	HAYES:
,	- T	MR	HAYRS

3 OKAY. IS THERE ANYMORE NEW BUSINESS TO BE

4 BROUGHT UP AT THE NEXT MEETING? SIR?

5 BY MR. DELL:

6 AGAIN, I'M BRUCE DELL. I'M PART OF AN ORGANIZATION CALLED THE EQUESTRIAN TRAIL BLAZERS. WE'RE AN EQUESTRIAN ORGANIZATION. OUR PRIMARY ROLE 9 IS TO MAINTAIN TRAIL SYSTEMS IN THE CAROLINAS, NORTH AND SOUTH CAROLINA. WE WORK WITH STATE 10 11 ORGANIZATIONS, LIKE WITH MIKE FOLEY AND THE STATE PARK RECREATION AND TOURISM OR AT CROFT STATE PARK 12 TO KEEP THE TRAILS SAFE AND OPEN TO ALL USERS. 13 THE THING I'D LIKE TO FIND OUT ABOUT IS HOW DOES A 14 VOLUNTEER ORGANIZATION LIKE OURSELVES WORK WITH THE 15 16 CORPS OF ENGINEERS TO HELP IT ACHIEVE ITS MISSION 17 WITH THE LIMITED RESOURCES IT HAS TO HELP BECAUSE WE HAVE AN INTIMATE KNOWLEDGE OF THESE TRAILS AND WE'D 18 LIKE TO BE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE IN SOME WAY TO OPEN 19 UP SOME OF THESE TRAILS AND HELP WITH YOUR 20 INVESTIGATION. WE'D LIKE TO KNOW HOW WE COULD 21 PARTICIPATE. RIGHT NOW, WE DON'T KNOW HOW TO DO 22 THAT. SO, WE'D LIKE TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THAT. 23

24 BY MR. HAYES:

1	OKAY. IS THAT SOMETHING YOU WANT TO BRING UP
2	FOR NEW BUSINESS FOR THE NEXT MEETING?
3	BY MR. DELL:
4	YES. I'D LIKE TO FIND OUT MORE ABOUT HOW WE
5	COULD WORK WITH THE CORPS HAND IN HAND, AS FAR AS
6	WAYS TO WELL, SPECIFICALLY TO GET ACCESS TO SOME
7	OF THOSE TRAILS
8	BY MR. HAYES:
9	IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU WANT TO ADDRESS NOW
10	OR DO YOU WANT TO ADDRESS IT AT THE NEXT MEETING?
11	BY MR. NESBIT:
12	I CAN ADDRESS IT, NOT AT 100%, BUT I CAN GIVE
13	YOU SOME INPUT. THE EASIEST WAY FOR US TO BE ABLE
14	TO PARTICIPATE WITH YOU WOULD BE AS A PARTICIPANT
15	WITH THE STATE PARK AND RECREATION SERVICE. THROUGH
16	YOUR COORDINATION WITH THEM AND US, IN THAT MEDIUM,
17	WILL PRESENT POTENTIALLY THE OPPORTUNITY FOR US TO
18	BE ABLE TO BE OF USE TO YOU THROUGH THE PARK SERVICE
19	AND US LENDING OUR EXPERTISE TO ASSIST ALL THE WAY
20	AROUND. THAT'S NOT TO SAY THAT YOU CANNOT COME TO
21	US DIRECTLY AND ASK FOR A MEETING WITH US OR
22	ANYTHING LIKE THAT, BUT MOST OFTEN, IT'S SIMPLER FOR
23	YOU, ESPECIALLY SINCE YOU ARE PARTNERED WITH THE

PARK SERVICE, THE PARK AND RECREATIONAL SERVICE TO

1	WORK WITH THEM IN A PARTNERSHIP WITH US, THROUGH
2	THAT MEDIUM.
3	BY MR. DELL:
4	FAIR ENOUGH.
5	BY MR. HAYES:
6	OKAY. ANYMORE NEW BUSINESS TO BE BROUGHT UP AT
7	THE NEXT MEETING?
8	BY MR. OSBORNE:
9	ONE OTHER THING REAL FAST, GOING BACK TO A
10	PIECE OF PAPER I HAVE HERE. A MR. JOHNSON INQUIRED
11	WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF SOMEONE PURCHASED A PIECE OF
12	PROPERTY AND WAS INJURED OR KILLED ON THAT PROPERTY?
13	AFTER THE PROPERTY WAS SUPPOSED TO BE CLEANED UP AND
14	WE KNOW IT HASN'T BEEN CLEANED UP, NOW WHO IS GOING
15	TO BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THAT ON CALFORD BRIDGE ROAD?
16	BY MR. HAYES:
17	SO, WHAT DO YOU WANT TO BE BROUGHT UP AT THE
18	NEXT MEETING?
19	BY MR. OSBORNE:
20	HE'S SAYING THAT THE ATTORNEY SAID THAT THE
21	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA DID NOT REQUIRE THEM TO LET
22	THOSE PEOPLE KNOW. NOW, THIS DON'T SEEM RIGHT.
23	SOMEWHERE ALONG THE LINE, THOSE PEOPLE DOWN THERE
24	BUYING THAT PROPERTY SHOULD KNOW THAT IT HAS NOT
25	BEEN CLEANED UP, IN CASE

1 BY MR. NESBIT:

2	LET ME RESPOND TO THAT, TWOFOLD, OKAY? WE'RE
3	DEALING WITHIN A BUREAUCRACY HERE. THE STATE OF
4	SOUTH CAROLINA HAS IDENTIFIED ITS LAWS AND WE ABIDE
5	BY THOSE LAWS. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IS NOT ONE OF
6	ENFORCING A SPECIFIC LAW HERE THAT DOESN'T APPLY.
7	THAT QUESTION DEALS MORE WITH LITIGATION BETWEEN THE
8	STATE AND THE PERSON INVOLVED, THE FAMILY AND
9	WHOMEVER ELSE THAT MAY BE INVOLVED. SO, I CAN'T
10	ANSWER THAT QUESTION. I DARESAY IF ONE OF OUR
11	LAWYERS WERE HERE, THEY WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO ANSWER
12	THAT QUESTION. THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WOULD BE TAKEN
13	UP IN THE COURTS DEALING WITH RESPONSIBILITY. OKAY?
14	THAT'S NOT SHIRKING ANSWERING YOUR QUESTION, BUT

16 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:

- 17 HAROLD, IS THERE A SPECIFIC SELLER ON THAT?
- 18 BY MR. OSBORNE:
- 19 YES.

15

- 20 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 21 DO YOU HAVE HIS NAME AND HIS ADDRESS?
- 22 BY MR. OSBORNE:
- 23 IT WAS DONE BY CAROLINA ---

THAT'S THE REALITY.

24 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

1	JIM SMITH ASSOCIATES, CAROLINA AUCTION
2	ASSOCIATES. THIS IS NOT TO BE A HARBINGER OF BAD
3	THINGS TO COME, GARY, BECAUSE I DON'T WANT ANYBODY
4	TO THINK I'M TRYING TO BE A RUMOR MONGER OR ANYTHING
5	LIKE THAT. I SIT UP RIGHT NOW AND I GUARANTEE YOU
6	AND I PROMISE YOU WHEN THEY GET DOWN THERE, WAY DOWN
7	IN THOSE WOODS THERE ON THESE LOTS, YOU ARE GOING TO
8	FIND SOME UNEXPLODED ORDNANCE OR ARTILLERY SHELLS,
9	105s, 75s, BAZOOKA AND EVEN MORTAR ROUNDS THAT THEY
10	HAD OUT THERE. IT'S THERE. SO, A LOT OF IT IS IN
11	SOFT MUD FROM THAT LAKE AND EVERY TIME THE
12	FAIRFOREST RISES, SOMETIMES THOSE SMALLER CREEKS,
13	THEY'LL RISE TEN OR 15 FEET OVER IT AND THAT
14	MOISTENS THAT DIRT AND THAT STUFF GOES DOWN, BUT
15	IT'S THERE. IF YOU TAKE A METAL DETECTOR DOWN THERE
16	AND IT GOES CRAZY AND IT'S NOT COKE CANS OR HORSE
17	SHOES OR NAILS.

BY MR. NESBIT:

- 19 TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION, KEEP IN MIND THAT WE
 20 ARE NOT SAYING THAT ORDNANCE IS NOT THERE.
- 21 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- 22 YES, SIR.
- 23 **BY MR. NESBIT:**

	WHAT WE ARE SAYING IS WE HAVE A SPECIFIC WAY OF
	DOING THINGS SAFELY, HOPEFULLY, TO MAINTAIN AS MUCH
	PUBLIC SAFETY AS WE POSSIBLY CAN.
<u>BY</u>	MR. ZIMMERMAN:
	YES, SIR.
<u>BY</u>	MR. NESBIT:
	WE ARE RESTRAINED BY FUNDING AND EVERYTHING
	ELSE. WE ARE NOT SAYING THAT WE ARE NOT WANTING
	THIS INFORMATION. IN FACT, WE WANT THE INFORMATION
	SO THAT WE CAN HAVE GOOD INFORMATION WHEN WE
	CONTINUE ON WITH THE PROCESS AS WE ARE GOING.
<u>BY</u>	MR. ZIMMERMAN:
	YES, SIR. I UNDERSTAND.
<u>BY</u>	MR. NESBIT:
	SO, THE FACT THAT YOU ARE PHYSICALLY AND
	ACTUALLY PROVIDING THE KIND OF ASSISTANCE OF BEING A
	DETECTIVE AND FINDING THESE PLACES, IT'S NOT
	NECESSARILY THAT WE AREN'T APPRECIATIVE OF THAT. WE
	ARE.
<u>BY</u>	MR. ZIMMERMAN:
	YES, SIR.
BY	MR. NESBIT:
	WE JUST NEED TO HAVE SPECIFIC INFORMATION SO
	THAT WE CAN ADDRESS THEM BASED UPON WHAT YOU SAY

YOU FOUND AND WHAT WE ACTUALLY FIND THERE SO WE CAN
ADDRESS IT.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

3

20

23

YES, SIR. WHAT HE WAS TALKING ABOUT, WHAT HAROLD'S TALKING ABOUT, IF I MAY JUST FOR A LITTLE 5 BIT EXPOUND ON THIS, GARY. THIS WAS PROPERTY THAT WAS OWNED BY TWO DIFFERENT TIMBER COMPANIES AND THE DUKE POWER COMPANY, LARGE AREAS THERE. THEY NEVER 8 9 ALLOWED THE CORPS OF ENGINEERS ON THERE TO CLEAN THIS OFF. SOMEHOW, SOME WAY OR ANOTHER, THIS 10 11 CAROLINA AUCTION, THIS SMITH -- AND I DON'T MEAN TO IMPLY ANY WRONGDOINGS WITH THEM -- BUT THEY GET A 12 HOLD OF THIS PROPERTY AND THE NEXT THING YOU KNOW, 13 THEY'RE AUCTIONING IT OFF THIS PAST JULY. 14 KNOWLEDGE, AND MAYBE SOMEBODY KNOWS SOMETHING I 15 16 DON'T, BUT THERE HAS NEVER BEEN ONE METAL DETECTOR 17 OR ONE SURVEY ON THIS AREA, WHICH WAS A VERY HEAVY IMPACT AREA. JIMMY, YOU ADMIT THAT THERE IS A LOT 18 OF STUFF DOWN THERE ---19

BY MR. DAVID ZIMMERMAN:

21 ROBIN, ROBIN, BUT YOU JUST SAID IT. THEY WERE 22 REFUSED RIGHT OF ENTRY.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

24 WELL, I DON'T KNOW THAT THEY WERE REFUSED THE 25 RIGHT OF ENTRY, DAVID.

- 1 BY MR. NESBIT:
- TO US, THEY DID.
- 3 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
- 4 THEY DID.
- 5 **BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:**
- 6 WELL, OKAY, THEY DID.
- 7 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 8 SO, IF HE IS NOW THE OWNER, YOU KNOW, WE NEED
- 9 TO SEE IF HE WILL ALLOW THEM RIGHT OF ENTRY.
- 10 BY MR. OSBORNE:
- 11 THEY'VE AUCTIONED OFF QUITE A BIT OF IT.
- 12 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 13 WAS IT A SINGLE TRACT OR DID THEY ---
- 14 **BY MR. OSBORNE:**
- NO, IT WAS INDIVIDUAL TRACTS.
- 16 **BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:**
- 17 INDIVIDUAL TRACTS. AND I MEAN, THERE'S A LOT
- OF STUFF THAT'S DOWN THERE. THERE REALLY IS. THAT
- 19 AREA HAS NEVER BEEN, YOU KNOW, BY THE CORPS.
- 20 BY MR. HAYES:
- 21 OKAY. IS THIS SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO BRING
- UP AT THE NEXT MEETING?
- 23 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
- 24 PROBABLY. I THINK SO, GARY.
- 25 **BY MR. HAYES:**

1	OKAY. ARE THERE THINGS THAT YOU CAN
2	SUBSTANTIATE AND SEND IN FOR REVIEW BEFORE THE NEXT
3	MEETING?
4	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
5	I'D LIKE TO PICK ONE OR TWO DAYS WHEN I CAN GET
6	TOGETHER WITH JOHN DYES OF THE SPARTANBURG COUNTY
7	SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. I'D JUST LIKE TO TAKE HIM
8	DOWN THERE AND SHOW HIM WHERE I'M TALKING ABOUT.
9	BY MR. HAYES:
10	WELL, YOU KNOW IF YOU'RE GOING ON PRIVATE
11	PROPERTY, YOU PROBABLY NEED TO GET PERMISSION.
12	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
13	YES, I KNOW IT. I DON'T KNOW IF MR. SMITH'S
14	GOING TO GIVE ME PERMISSION TO DO THAT.
15	BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
16	COULD WE MAYBE CALL MR. SMITH AND SEE IF MAYBE
17	HE COULD PROVIDE THE NEW OWNERS OF THOSE TRACTS
18	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
19	OR SHOULD WE GO THROUGH
20	BY MR. NESBIT:
21	WHAT WE WOULD HAVE TO DO IS CONTACT OUR REAL
22	ESTATE OFFICES AND ACTUALLY GO THROUGH THEIR
23	PROCESS, OF WHICH THEY WILL ACTUALLY DETERMINE WHO
24	THE OWNERS OF THE PROPERTY IS, NUMBER ONE. ONCE
25	THEY HAVE IDENTIFIED WHO THE OWNERS OF THE PROPERTY

1	ARE, THEN THEY WILL PROCEED WITH TRYING TO GAIN
2	ACCESS TO THE PROPERTY, RIGHT OF ENTRY OR WHATEVER
3	THE CASE MAY BE. THE PURPOSE WILL BE BASED UPON THE
4	REQUESTS THAT'S BEEN ASKED HERE TONIGHT, THE
5	INFORMATION THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT HERE TONIGHT, TO
6	DEFINE WHETHER OR NOT THIS IS AN AREA THAT, BASED
7	UPON THEIR CURRENT USE, AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THERE
8	IS A NEED AND/OR A DESIRE FOR US TO LOOK AT POSSIBLY
9	CONSIDERING IT FOR PROPERTY TO BE CLEARED. THE FACT
10	THAT YOU SAID THAT THEY ARE SELLING PROPERTY IN THAT
11	AREA, EVEN THOUGH FROM A HUMANITARIAN PERSPECTIVE,
12	YOU WOULD THINK THAT THEY WOULD WANT IT CLEARED
13	AND/OR BROUGHT TO PEOPLE'S ATTENTION, FINANCIALLY,
14	THEY MAY NOT WANT ANYBODY EVEN TO PUT THAT
15	INFORMATION OUT OR TALK ABOUT IT OR WHATEVER BECAUSE
16	YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT VALUABLE PROPERTY. OKAY. AND
17	WE'RE NOT IN THE BUSINESS OF AND WE WILL NOT BUY
18	PROPERTY. THAT'S NOT A VENUE OF THE FEDERAL
19	GOVERNMENT, OKAY. IT'S PRIVATELY OWNED PROPERTY AND
20	WE'VE GOT TO ASK PERMISSION JUST LIKE YOU DO.

21 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

22 YES, SIR.

23 BY MR. NESBIT:

AND IF THEY REFUSE US THE AVAILABILITY TO DO

ANYTHING, THERE'S NOTHING WE CAN DO. WE'LL DOCUMENT

IT AND WE'LL REPORT BACK AND LET YOU KNOW THAT.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

5 YES, SIR, BUT IT OCCURRED THIS PAST JUNE.

6 BY MR. OSBORNE:

7 I'VE GOT A COPY OF THE MAP. I THINK I'VE STILL
8 GOT IT WHERE THEY BROKE IT DOWN INTO HOME DEALS AND
9 EVERYTHING LIKE THAT THAT I CAN BRING YOU.

BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

AND ALSO, YOU CAN SEE PLACES WHERE THEY WOULD HAVE THESE FRONT END LOADERS. THEY'RE CLEARING THESE BIG PATCHES OUT AND I WOULD ASK THEM, I'D SAY, "WHAT ARE YOU DOING?" AND THEY'D SAY, "OH, WE'RE JUST TRYING TO FIND WATER MAINS AND THINGS LIKE THAT." IF YOU COME BACK THERE A WEEK LATER AND THEY'VE GOT EVERYTHING STAKED OUT, LOT 31, 32, 33, 34. WITHIN A MONTH, MR. NESBIT, THEY HAD A GREAT BIG SIGN AND HAD PUT ONE OF THOSE THINGS IN THE PAPER ABOUT THAT BIG THAT SAYS LAND AUCTION, JULY 23RD. HAROLD, YOU WENT TO THE ONE THAT SAID JULY 26TH. AND THEY AUCTIONED OFF WHAT WAS THEIR MAIN --THAT'S WHERE THEY DID THE BATTALION, TWO BATTALION. THAT WAS THEIR MAIN AREA AT CALFORD BRIDGE ROAD AND THEY FIRED LIVE AMMUNITION THERE.

BY MR. SCOTT WILSON: 1 MY NAME IS SCOTT WILSON. I'M WITH DHEC. JUST 2 A QUESTION. DOES THE CORPS NOT HAVE NAMES FOR WARRANTS FOR ACCESS OF PROPERTY IF THEY THINK EMINENT THREAT MATERIAL IS THAT? 5 BY MR. OSBORNE: THAT'S A GOOD QUESTION. BY MR. WILSON: 8 9 I'M JUST CURIOUS. I MEAN, I KNOW THE STATE HAS THAT RIGHT. 10 11 BY MR. NESBIT: WE DON'T, THAT I KNOW OF. IN FACT, PROPERTY, 12 EVEN THOUGH WE MAY KNOW THAT THERE IS A HAZARD, AT 13 LEAST FROM THE PERSPECTIVE OF ORDNANCE, IF PEOPLE 14 HAVE RESTRICTED ACCESS TO THE PROPERTY OR THEY 15 16 REFUSE US FROM ENTERING THEIR PROPERTY, THEN WE 17 CAN'T GO ON THEIR PROPERTY. IT'S PRIVATE OWNED. CAN'T MANDATE ANYTHING. HOWEVER, THE STATE HAS, 18 THROUGH YOUR OFFICE, CERTAIN DIRECTIONS THAT YOU CAN 19 -- CERTAIN THINGS THAT YOU CAN DO, OF COURSE. 20 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 21 EVEN THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT HAS MORE POWER THAN 22 23 THAT.

25 YES, EXACTLY.

BY MR. WILSON:

- 1 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
- 2 YOU KNOW, AT A MOMENT'S NOTICE.
- 3 BY MR. HAYES:
- 4 HAVE YOU GOT SOME NEW BUSINESS?
- 5 **BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:**
- 6 YES, SORT OF. I WASN'T MEANING TO IMPLY THAT
- 7 ANY WRONGDOING OR ANY LAWS HAD BEEN BROKEN OR
- 8 ANYTHING LIKE THAT. WHAT I'M SAYING IS MERELY THE
- 9 FACTS AS THEY HAPPENED THIS PAST SUMMER. I MEAN, IT
- 10 WAS JUST -- IN THE SPRING, THAT PLACE WAS -- BY
- JUNE, THEY WERE FLATTENING OUT THESE BIG PLACES AND
- 12 THE NEXT THING YOU KNOW, THEY HAVE STAKES JUST GOING
- 13 UP AND DOWN, LOT SO AND SO AND LOT SO AND SO. I
- 14 WONDERED HOW THEY DID THAT SO FAST.
- 15 BY MR. HAYES:
- 16 OKAY. I'M JUST ---
- 17 **BY MR. OSBORNE:**
- 18 ONE OTHER THING REAL FAST.
- 19 **BY MR. HAYES:**
- 20 WAIT A MINUTE, HAROLD.
- 21 **BY MR. OSBORNE:**
- 22 OKAY.
- 23 BY MR. HAYES:
- 24 I'M JUST TRYING TO DEFINE WHAT THE NEW BUSINESS
- 25 IS HERE.

1	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
2	YES, FOR THE RECORD SO THAT WE CAN LOOK, IS
3	THAT AN AREA THAT WAS INVESTIGATED IN THE PAST OR
4	SLATED FOR INVESTIGATION?
5	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
6	NO. AS FAR AS I KNOW, IT'S NEVER BEEN
7	INVESTIGATED, SUZY. I DON'T THINK THE CORPS HAS
8	EVER BEEN DOWN THERE.
9	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
10	IT'S A LACK OF RIGHT OF ENTRY. SO, WHAT IS THE
11	NEXT ACTION, OTHER THAN YOU REPORTING ON IT FOR US
12	TO BE AWARE OF IT?
13	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
14	WELL, I MEAN, IF SOMEBODY BUILDS A HOUSE DOWN
15	THERE TWO OR THREE MONTHS FROM NOW, OFFICER BEATTY
16	HERE, THEY'RE LIABLE TO START GETTING A LOT OF PHONE
17	CALLS.
18	BY MR. HAYES:
19	WELL, FOR OUR NEW BUSINESS, CAN YOUR REAL
20	ESTATE DIVISION CONTACT SOME OF THESE PEOPLE? I'M
21	TRYING TO DEFINE WHAT OUR NEW BUSINESS IS TO REPORT
22	BACK AT THE NEXT MEETING.
23	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

- 1 THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, YOU'VE RESPONDED --IS THIS AN AREA WHERE YOU'VE HAD RESPONSES FOR 2 MUNITION FINDS? 3 BY MR. BEATTY: IS THAT AN AREA ---5 6 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: NO, SIR. IT'S ON THE OTHER SIDE OF THE LAKE. IT'S REALLY IN A PLACE WHERE VERY FEW PEOPLE KNOW IT 8 9 EXISTS, TRUTHFULLY. BY MR. BEATTY: 10 NONE THAT I KNOW OF. 11 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 12 YOU KNOW, CALFORD BRIDGE ROAD, A LOT OF PEOPLE 13 IN SPARTANBURG COUNTY HAVE NEVER EVEN BEEN DOWN THAT 14 ROAD. 15 BY MR. OSBORNE: 16 17 IT WAS FORMERLY OWNED BY CHAMPION PAPER. BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 18 19 CHAMPION PAPER. BY MR. OSBORNE: 20 AND THEY SOLD IT TO INTERNATIONAL PAPER AND 21 INTERNATIONAL SOLD IT TO JIM SMITH AND JIM SMITH 22
- 24 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:

23

BROKE IT INTO LOTS.

1	AND THESE PAPER COMPANIES HAVE NEVER ALLOWED
2	ANYBODY ON THERE, NEVER, TO CHECK IT OUT.
3	BY MR. HAYES:
4	STATE YOUR NAME, PLEASE.
5	BY MR. DON McCLURE:
6	I'M DON McCLURE.
7	BY MS. CANTOR-MCKINNEY:
8	AGAIN, WE'RE TRYING TO DEFINE WHAT OUR FOLLOW
9	ON ACTION IS, SO THIS CAN BE ADDED. IS WHAT WE'RE
10	LOOKING FOR AN IDENTIFICATION OF THE PROPERTY OWNERS
11	AS THEY STAND, BASED UPON A REAL ESTATE OFFICE
12	REVIEW AND THEN GET THOSE FOLKS ON THE MAILING LIST
13	AND GET THEM INTO THE NEXT MEETING TO, AGAIN, MAKE
14	THEM AWARE OF WHAT THE PROBLEM IS AND UNDERSTAND THE
15	PROCESS, WHERE WE ARE WITH THE FUDS PROCESS, WHAT
16	THE IMMEDIATE RESPONSE ACTION IS TO ADDRESS
17	CONCERNS.
18	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
19	I THINK THAT'S THE WAY IT SHOULD BE HANDLED.
20	BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:
21	OKAY.
22	BY MR. HAYES:
23	OKAY.

24 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

1	SO, THAT'S WHAT WE'LL DO. YOU HAVE PROVIDED ON
2	THE RECORD THE NAME OF THE AUCTION COMPANY. THE
3	REAL ESTATE OFFICE IN SAVANNAH CAN AT LEAST TRY TO
4	IDENTIFY THOSE LANDOWNERS. WE CAN GET MAILING
5	FLYERS OUT TO THEM TO INVITE THEM TO THE NEXT
6	MEETING AND I ENCOURAGE ALL OF YOU THIS IS A GOOD
7	SEGUEWAY THAT AS YOU ARE TALKING TO MORE PEOPLE
8	AND DIFFERENT GROUPS, MAKE SURE YOU INVITE THEM.
9	THESE MEETINGS ARE OPEN TO THE PUBLIC. THE MORE
10	FOLKS THAT CAN BE MADE AWARE AND UNDERSTAND THE
1	PROCESS AND THAT CAN PROVIDE US SOME MORE
12	INFORMATION, AGAIN, THE MORE INFORMATION
13	BY MR. NESBIT:
14	KEEP IN MIND, WHEN WE DO MAKE CONTACT OR TRY TO
15	MAKE CONTACT WITH THESE LANDOWNERS, THEY HAVE THE
16	RIGHT OF REFUSAL TO EVEN TALK TO US.
17	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
18	YES, SIR.
19	BY MR. NESBIT:
20	WE'VE RUN INTO THAT, AS WELL.
21	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
22	YES, SIR.
23	BY MR. NESBIT:
24	AND IF THEY DO REFUSE TO NUMBER ONE, DISCUSS

THE MATTER, GIVE US ACCESS TO THEIR PROPERTY OR

1	ANYTHING ELSE IN A NEGATIVE VEIN, WE'LL LET YOU KNOW					
2	THAT, AS WELL. BUT JUST BE AWARE THAT WE WILL TRY					
3	TO FIND OUT WHAT WE CAN. NOW, LET'S SAY THAT THEY					
4	ARE, IN FACT, RECEPTIVE TO WHAT OUR SERVICES ARE					
5	POTENTIALLY THAT WILL COME DOWN THE ROAD. FIRST OF					
6	ALL, I'M HOPING YOU'RE NOT SUGGESTING THAT WE RE-					
7	LOOK AT OUR PRIORITY TO GO TAKE CARE OF THIS					
8	PROPERTY BEFORE WE TAKE CARE OF OTHER PROPERTY THAT					
9	WE HAVE ALREADY IDENTIFIED AND TESTED.					
10	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:					
11	NO, SIR.					
12	BY MR. NESBIT:					
13	OKAY. THERE ARE OTHER SITES THAT WE HAVE					
14	ALREADY BEEN NOTIFIED OF THAT WE DIDN'T HAVE ACCESS					
15	TO BEFORE THAT WE HAVE MADE NOTE OF TO GO BACK TO					
16	AND ACTUALLY TRY TO GAIN ACCESS TO DO THE					
17	INVESTIGATION THAT'S NECESSARY. THAT MAY BE A PART					
18	OF AN EE/CA THREE THAT MIGHT NEED TO BE DONE.					
19	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:					
20	YES, SIR.					
21	BY MR. NESBIT:					
22	THAT'S PART OF THE REASSESSMENT PROCESS OF					
23	PROPERTY LAND USE. OKAY. SO, IT'S A CONTINUAL					
24	THING THAT WILL CONTINUALLY GO ON AS TO HOW THE					
25	PROPERTY IS BEING USED AND TO WHAT WAS DONE BEFORE					

1	AND WHAT POTENTIALLY MIGHT NEED TO BE DONE IN THE
2	FUTURE TO ADDRESS THE NEW WAY THE PROPERTY IS BEING
3	USED. AND THAT'S OUR PROCESS.
4	BY MR. McCLURE:
5	AND THAT'S WHAT HE IS ABOUT TO POINT OUT, THAT
6	THIS AREA IS ABOUT 1,800 OR 2,000 ACRES THAT HAS
7	JUST BECOME AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC TO BUY AND BUILD
8	HOMESITES ON.
9	BY MR. NESBIT:
10	SURE.
11	BY MR. McCLAIN:
12	SO, IT'S A MAJOR CHANGE IN USE OF THAT AREA.
13	BY MR. NESBIT:
14	SURE.
15	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
16	I DO KNOW THAT CHAMPION AND INTERNATIONAL NEVER
17	ALLOWED THE CORPS ON THERE. IN FACT, THEY STOOD
18	FAST AND REFUSED TO LET ANYBODY ON IT.
19	BY MR. HAYES:
20	OKAY. WELL, WE HAVE EVERYTHING DEFINED NOW FOR
21	THIS SUBJECT FOR THE NEXT MEETING. YES, MA'AM?
22	BY MS. JULIE DELL:
23	JULIE DELL. AS A FORMER REAL ESTATE AGENT, IT
24	SEEMS TO ME THAT CAROLINA AUCTION TEAM, THEY SHOULD
25	DISCLOSE TO PEOPLE, MAYBE, THAT THERE'S A PROBLEM

1	THERE. I KNOW THAT I WAS NOT AWARE OF IT AND I'VE
2	REFERRED SOME PEOPLE TO LOOK AT LOTS THERE, NOT
3	KNOWING THAT. BUT THERE'S SOMETHING WITH REAL
4	ESTATE, I KNOW IF YOU SELL A HOUSE AND YOU KNOW IT
5	HAS A PROBLEM, YOU HAVE TO DISCLOSE THIS TO THE
6	PROSPECTIVE BUYERS. SO, IT SEEMS LIKE THAT SHOULD
7	BE SOMETHING THAT
8	BY MR. McMANUS:
9	AND THAT WAS THE QUESTION THAT WE WERE ASKED TO
10	LOOK INTO, BUT ACCORDING TO SOUTH CAROLINA STATE
11	LAW, YOU'RE NOT REQUIRED TO DISCLOSE THAT.
12	BY MR. WILSON:
13	IT'S ALL PART OF LOCATION. BASICALLY, IF
14	PROPERTY OWNERS CHOOSES NOT TO DISCLOSE, THEN
15	THEY'RE ROLLING THE DICE FOR FUTURE LOCATIONS.
16	BY MR. NESBIT:
17	EXACTLY.
18	BY MS. DELL:
19	BUT THEY WOULD BE LIABLE?
20	BY MR. SCOTT WILSON:
21	THAT'S CORRECT.
22	BY MR. ZIMMERMAN:
23	WELL, THEY'RE GOING TO BE LIABLE FOR ABOUT 898
24	ACRES BECAUSE THAT'S ABOUT
25	BY MR. HAYES:

1 OKAY. SO, ALL OF THIS SHOULD BE ADDRESSED ON OUR ---2 BY MR. ZIMMERMAN: 3 NEXT MEETING. 4 BY MR. HAYES: 5 ON THIS SUBJECT FOR THE NEXT MEETING. 7 BY MR. OSBORNE: AND THE ONE OTHER ITEM I ASKED EARLIER, WHY ARE 8 9 THE MILITARY HELICOPTERS FLYING AROUND HERE? BY MR. HAYES: 10 DO YOU WANT THIS ADDRESSED FOR NEW BUSINESS? 11 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 12 NO, I DON'T THINK THAT THAT'S GERMANE TO THE 13 MEETING BECAUSE THAT'S A D.O.T. QUESTION AND I DON'T 14 15 16 BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY: 17 WE DON'T HAVE CONTRACTORS OUT THERE. BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 18 19 NO, WE DON'T HAVE CONTRACTORS OUT THERE. 20 BY MR. OSBORNE: THE HELICOPTERS ---21 BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX: 22 NO, THAT'S A MILITARY QUESTION. 23 BY MR. OSBORNE: 24 THE ENGINEERS OUGHT TO ---25

BY MR. HAYES:

2 OKAY. ANYBODY HAVE ANYTHING NEW FOR THE NEXT

3 MEETING? NEW BUSINESS FOR NEXT MEETING?

BY MS. CANTOR-McKINNEY:

I'LL ADD ONE NOTE BEFORE WE CLOSE. FOR THE

NEXT MEETING, I WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO REEVALUATE

-- I WOULD LIKE TO PUT FORTH THE BOARD TO REEVALUATE

BYLAWS, GRAPHIC APPLICABILITY BASED ON NOW A SMALLER

GROUP AND THE SHIFT IN OUR MEETING SCHEDULES. AND I

WILL FORWARD THAT TO THE ABSENT MEMBERS. SO, I

WOULD LIKE TO ADD THAT FOR AN AGENDA ITEM FOR THE

NEXT MEETING.

BY MR. HAYES:

OKAY. ANYBODY ELSE? NEW BUSINESS FOR THE NEXT MEETING? LET ME BRIEFLY GO OVER -- IF SOMETHING COMES UP BETWEEN NOW AND THE NEXT MEETING AND YOU WANT TO BE BROUGHT UP, YOU CAN SEND IT IN WRITING TO SUZY. IF YOU DON'T HAVE HER ADDRESS, YOU CAN CALL HER TOLL-FREE AT 888-242-8862. THAT'S SUZY MCKINNEY AT ZAPATA ENGINEERING. THAT'S THE BEST WAY YOU CAN GET ON THE AGENDA FOR THE NEXT MEETING. IT'S PREFERABLE TO HAVE IT IN WRITING. ANY DOCUMENTATION THAT YOU HAVE, ANY PINPOINTS ON THE MAPS, WE NEED TO HAVE IT DOCUMENTED. WE'LL BE GLAD TO BRING EVERYTHING UP AT THE NEXT MEETING. ANYTIME BETWEEN

1	NOW AND THE NEXT MEETING, WHATEVER HASN'T BEEN
2	BROUGHT UP TONIGHT FOR THE NEXT MEETING, THAT'S HOW
3	YOU GET IT ON THE AGENDA FOR THE NEXT MEETING. WE
4	WANT TO TRY TO HELP THE STATE PARK, ALL LANDOWNERS,
5	AND ANYBODY OUT THERE THAT HAS A CONCERN ABOUT THEIR
6	PROPERTY FROM THE FORMER CAMP CROFT. WE'RE NOT
7	TRYING TO HIDE ANYTHING. WE'RE NOT TRYING TO SLIDE
8	ANYBODY ASIDE AND I THINK EVERYBODY UNDERSTANDS THE
9	LACK OF FUNDING THAT WE'VE EXPERIENCED IN THE LAST
10	COUPLE OF YEARS. IF THERE'S NO OTHER NEW BUSINESS
11	FOR THE NEXT MEETING, I BELIEVE WE CAN SAY WE'RE
12	CLOSING THE MEETING. DO I HAVE A MOTION TO ADJOURN?
13	BY MR. DAVID MULLINAX:
14	MOTION TO ADJOURN.
15	BY MR. GEORGE MULLINAX:
16	SECOND.

17 BY MR. HAYES:

OKAY. WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. I CALL
THIS MEETING TO ADJOURNED.

STATE	OF	SOUTH	CAROLINA)	
)	CERTIFICATE
COUNTY	7 OF	SPART	PANBURG)	

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE WITHIN MEETING WAS TAKEN
ON THE 9TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2003;

THAT THE FOREGOING IS AN ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT OF THE MEETING GIVEN;

THAT COPIES OF ALL EXHIBITS, IF ANY, ENTERED HEREIN ARE MADE A PART OF THIS RECORD;

THAT THE UNDERSIGNED COURT REPORTER, A NOTARY PUBLIC FOR THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA, IS NOT AN EMPLOYEE OR RELATIVE OF ANY OF THE PARTIES, COUNSEL OR WITNESS AND IS IN NO MANNER INTERESTED IN THE OUTCOME OF THIS ACTION.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I HAVE HEREUNTO SET MY HAND AND SEAL AT SPARTANBURG, SOUTH CAROLINA, THIS 17TH DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2003.

DIXY MOORE TURNER, CVR NOTARY PUBLIC FOR SOUTH CAROLINA COMMISSION EXPIRES: 1-16-2008